1		JACKSONVILLE CITY COUNCIL		
2	SPECIAL	INVESTIGATORY COMMITTEE ON JEA MATTER		
3				
4				
5		ZOOM INTERVIEW OF		
6				
7				
8				
9	DATE TAKEN:	Monday, October 19, 2020		
10	TIME:	10:37 a.m. to 12:09 p.m.		
11	LOCATION:	Smith Hulsey & Busey		
12		One Independent Drive Suite 3300 Jacksonville, Florida 32202		
13	Evominoti			
14	Examinati	on of the witness by Zoom taken before:		
15	Terrie L.	Cook, RPR, CRR, FPR, and a Notary Public		
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22		Hedquist and Associates 345 East Forsyth Street Jacksonville, Florida 32202 (904)354-4111 FAX (904)791-9103		
23	(Jacksonville, Florida 32202 (904)354-4111 FAX (904)791-9103		
24				
25				

1

r	2	+		
1	2 APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL			4
2	On behalf of Special Investigatory Committee	1	EXHIBITS	_
3	E. Lanny Russell, Esquire	2	FOR IDENTIFICATION	Page
4	Kevin Blodgett, Ésquire Smith, Hulsey & Busey	3	Mace's Exhibit 1 Mace's Exhibit 2	8
	One Independent Drive	4	Mace's Exhibit 2 Mace's Exhibit 3	10 12
5	Suite 3300 Jacksonville, Florida 32202	6	Mace's Exhibit 4	12
6	On behalf of the Witness	7	Mace's Exhibit 8	31
7	Cheryl Maddox, Esquire, via Zoom	8	Mace's Exhibit 9	32
8	General Counsel of PFM	9	Mace's Exhibit 13	35
9	1735 Market Street 43rd Floor	10	Mace's Exhibit 14	43
10	Philadelphia, PA 19103	11	Mace's Exhibit 19	53
11		12	Mace's Exhibit 21	59
		13		
12		14		
13		15		
14		16		
15		17		
16		18		
17		19		
18		20		
		21		
19 20		22		
21 22		23		
23 24		24		
25		25	Hedquist & Associates Reporte	ra Ina
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc. 3			5
1	INDEX	1	<u>S T I P U L A T I O N</u>	5
2		2	It was stipulated and agreed by	and between
3	ΕΧΑΜΙΝΑΤΙΟΝ	3	counsel for the respective parties, ar	
4		4	that the reading and signing of the in	nterview by the
5	WITNESS Page	5	witness was not waived.	
6	MICHAEL MACE	6		
7	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. RUSSELL 5	7	MICHAEL MACE	,
8		8	testified as follows:	
9		9	DIRECT EXAMINAT	ION
10		10	BY MR. RUSSELL:	
11		11	Q Good morning, Michael.	
12		12	A Good morning, Lanny.	
13		13	Q How can we talk with me	, .
14		14	Kevin Blodgett, who's helping me on	
15 16		15 16	court reporter here yes, you can g this on, the court reporter, who just	
17		17	wanted this recorded, her name is To	
18		18	be making a transcript of what we're	
19		19	today.	
20		20	I thank you for taking your	time to participate
21		21	in this interview. It'll be very helpfu	
22		22	investigation in understanding what	
23		23	matter.	
1		24	What I'd like to do, I don't v	vant this to turn
24		24		
24 25		24 25	into an interrogation, Mike, I'd like it	

	2	1	<u>^</u>
	6		8
1	what we've called it, as an interview, so I'm not going	1	primarily an up the back office. So we hold
2	to be asking you aggressive, limited questions. It'll	2	in-shared services, marketing, accounting and so
3	be more open-ended and see if we can't get this done	3	forth, provide services through the corporation of
4	more efficiently that way.	4	Public Financial Management, Inc.
5	One thing you can do to start off, Michael, is	5	So it is PFM Financial Advisors is the entity
6	help me understand the difference between PFM in the	6	that provides the municipal advisory services for
7	sense of Financial Management, Inc., what is that	7	JEA.
8	entity? Performance Financial Management, Inc.?	8	MR. RUSSELL: Will you say say the full name
9	A Public Financial?	9	again, Cheryl?
10	Q I'm sorry, Public, yes. Public, the initial	10	MS. MADDOX: Public Financial Management, Inc.
11	Public Financial Management, Inc., is that the company	11	MR. RUSSELL: Management. Okay.
12	you work with?	12	MS. MADDOX: And PFM Financial Advisors, LLC,
13	A Well, that is the prior name of the company	13	is the financial advisor or the municipal
14	that I currently work with. So there are two or,	14	advisory business.
15	rather, more than two entities within the broader PFM.	15	MR. RUSSELL: Got it.
16	And the entity that I'm in is PFM Financial Advisors is	16	BY MR. RUSSELL:
17	what it's called now. And so we're the group of about	17	Q Good. You have your exhibits that we sent to
18	250, 300 people that provide primarily financial advice	18	you in front of you, Michael?
19	to state and local governments.	19	A I have them such that I think I can access them
20	And then we have a separate entity of about the	20	pretty quickly.
21	same size that provides investment advice. So	21	Q Okay. Would you go to Exhibit Number 1, which
22	they're they're an SEC registered investment advisor.	22	is the minutes from the JEA board meeting of November
23	We are a SEC registered I RMA or municipal financial	23	28th, 2017?
24	advisor. And I'm in that group.	24	A Okay. I have that up.
25	Q Okay. And what is the group, just so we have	25	(Mace's Exhibit 1 was marked for
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
	7		9
1	7 it on the record, that principally works for JEA?	1	9 identification.)
1 2		1 2	
	it on the record, that principally works for JEA?		identification.)
2	it on the record, that principally works for JEA?A For JEA, it's it's PFM Financial Advisors	2	identification.) Q Do you know if you attended this meeting?
2	 it on the record, that principally works for JEA? A For JEA, it's it's PFM Financial Advisors Q Okay. 	2 3	identification.)Q Do you know if you attended this meeting?A I did not attend that meeting.
2 3 4	 it on the record, that principally works for JEA? A For JEA, it's it's PFM Financial Advisors Q Okay. A that I'm in. 	2 3 4	 identification.) Q Do you know if you attended this meeting? A I did not attend that meeting. Q Okay. It's a question that you may have some
2 3 4 5	 it on the record, that principally works for JEA? A For JEA, it's it's PFM Financial Advisors Q Okay. A that I'm in. Q And PFM is a management company? The other 	2 3 4 5	 identification.) Q Do you know if you attended this meeting? A I did not attend that meeting. Q Okay. It's a question that you may have some information on regardless. On page 4 of this document,
2 3 4 5 6	 it on the record, that principally works for JEA? A For JEA, it's it's PFM Financial Advisors Q Okay. A that I'm in. Q And PFM is a management company? The other entity the works mostly for the City of 	2 3 4 5 6	 identification.) Q Do you know if you attended this meeting? A I did not attend that meeting. Q Okay. It's a question that you may have some information on regardless. On page 4 of this document, there's the chair's report. And what the chair does is
2 3 4 5 6 7	 it on the record, that principally works for JEA? A For JEA, it's it's PFM Financial Advisors Q Okay. A that I'm in. Q And PFM is a management company? The other entity that works mostly for the City of Jacksonville 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 identification.) Q Do you know if you attended this meeting? A I did not attend that meeting. Q Okay. It's a question that you may have some information on regardless. On page 4 of this document, there's the chair's report. And what the chair does is he turns the meeting over to Tom Petway.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 it on the record, that principally works for JEA? A For JEA, it's it's PFM Financial Advisors Q Okay. A that I'm in. Q And PFM is a management company? The other entity that works mostly for the City of Jacksonville MS. MADDOX: Public Financial Management, Inc. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 identification.) Q Do you know if you attended this meeting? A I did not attend that meeting. Q Okay. It's a question that you may have some information on regardless. On page 4 of this document, there's the chair's report. And what the chair does is he turns the meeting over to Tom Petway. Do you know Mr. Petway?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 it on the record, that principally works for JEA? A For JEA, it's it's PFM Financial Advisors Q Okay. A that I'm in. Q And PFM is a management company? The other entity that works mostly for the City of Jacksonville MS. MADDOX: Public Financial Management, Inc. So so just really quickly, so Public Financial 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 identification.) Q Do you know if you attended this meeting? A I did not attend that meeting. Q Okay. It's a question that you may have some information on regardless. On page 4 of this document, there's the chair's report. And what the chair does is he turns the meeting over to Tom Petway. Do you know Mr. Petway? A I don't think I've ever met him. I certainly
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 it on the record, that principally works for JEA? A For JEA, it's it's PFM Financial Advisors Q Okay. A that I'm in. Q And PFM is a management company? The other entity that works mostly for the City of Jacksonville MS. MADDOX: Public Financial Management, Inc. So so just really quickly, so Public Financial Management, Inc., is the is the original entity, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 identification.) Q Do you know if you attended this meeting? A I did not attend that meeting. Q Okay. It's a question that you may have some information on regardless. On page 4 of this document, there's the chair's report. And what the chair does is he turns the meeting over to Tom Petway. Do you know Mr. Petway? A I don't think I've ever met him. I certainly knew him as the board chair, but I did not I don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 it on the record, that principally works for JEA? A For JEA, it's it's PFM Financial Advisors Q Okay. A that I'm in. Q And PFM is a management company? The other entity that works mostly for the City of Jacksonville MS. MADDOX: Public Financial Management, Inc. So so just really quickly, so Public Financial Management, Inc., is the is the original entity, if you will, Lanny. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 identification.) Q Do you know if you attended this meeting? A I did not attend that meeting. Q Okay. It's a question that you may have some information on regardless. On page 4 of this document, there's the chair's report. And what the chair does is he turns the meeting over to Tom Petway. Do you know Mr. Petway? A I don't think I 've ever met him. I certainly knew him as the board chair, but I did not I don't think I ever spoke with Mr. Petway.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 it on the record, that principally works for JEA? A For JEA, it's it's PFM Financial Advisors Q Okay. A that I'm in. Q And PFM is a management company? The other entity that works mostly for the City of Jacksonville MS. MADDOX: Public Financial Management, Inc. So so just really quickly, so Public Financial Management, Inc., is the is the original entity, if you will, Lanny. MR. RUSSELL: Okay. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 identification.) Q Do you know if you attended this meeting? A I did not attend that meeting. Q Okay. It's a question that you may have some information on regardless. On page 4 of this document, there's the chair's report. And what the chair does is he turns the meeting over to Tom Petway. Do you know Mr. Petway? A I don't think I've ever met him. I certainly knew him as the board chair, but I did not I don't think I ever spoke with Mr. Petway. Q Okay. And what Mr. Petway does in the part of the document we've highlighted on page 4, going over to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 it on the record, that principally works for JEA? A For JEA, it's it's PFM Financial Advisors Q Okay. A that I'm in. Q And PFM is a management company? The other entity that works mostly for the City of Jacksonville MS. MADDOX: Public Financial Management, Inc. So so just really quickly, so Public Financial Management, Inc., is the is the original entity, if you will, Lanny. MR. RUSSELL: Okay. MS. MADDOX: And then when Dodd-Frank was 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 identification.) Q Do you know if you attended this meeting? A I did not attend that meeting. Q Okay. It's a question that you may have some information on regardless. On page 4 of this document, there's the chair's report. And what the chair does is he turns the meeting over to Tom Petway. Do you know Mr. Petway? A I don't think I 've ever met him. I certainly knew him as the board chair, but I did not I don't think I ever spoke with Mr. Petway. Q Okay. And what Mr. Petway does in the part of the document we've highlighted on page 4, going over to page 5, is he talks about JEA and its performance and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 it on the record, that principally works for JEA? A For JEA, it's it's PFM Financial Advisors Q Okay. A that I'm in. Q And PFM is a management company? The other entity that works mostly for the City of Jacksonville MS. MADDOX: Public Financial Management, Inc. So so just really quickly, so Public Financial Management, Inc., is the is the original entity, if you will, Lanny. MR. RUSSELL: Okay. MS. MADDOX: And then when Dodd-Frank was passed and municipal advisor regulations were put in place, we decided or because of that, we're 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 identification.) Q Do you know if you attended this meeting? A I did not attend that meeting. Q Okay. It's a question that you may have some information on regardless. On page 4 of this document, there's the chair's report. And what the chair does is he turns the meeting over to Tom Petway. Do you know Mr. Petway? A I don't think I've ever met him. I certainly knew him as the board chair, but I did not I don't think I ever spoke with Mr. Petway. Q Okay. And what Mr. Petway does in the part of the document we've highlighted on page 4, going over to page 5, is he talks about JEA and its performance and its value to the City and other items related to JEA.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 it on the record, that principally works for JEA? A For JEA, it's it's PFM Financial Advisors Q Okay. A that I'm in. Q And PFM is a management company? The other entity that works mostly for the City of Jacksonville MS. MADDOX: Public Financial Management, Inc. So so just really quickly, so Public Financial Management, Inc., is the is the original entity, if you will, Lanny. MR. RUSSELL: Okay. MS. MADDOX: And then when Dodd-Frank was passed and municipal advisor regulations were put in 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 identification.) Q Do you know if you attended this meeting? A I did not attend that meeting. Q Okay. It's a question that you may have some information on regardless. On page 4 of this document, there's the chair's report. And what the chair does is he turns the meeting over to Tom Petway. Do you know Mr. Petway? A I don't think I've ever met him. I certainly knew him as the board chair, but I did not I don't think I ever spoke with Mr. Petway. Q Okay. And what Mr. Petway does in the part of the document we've highlighted on page 4, going over to page 5, is he talks about JEA and its performance and its value to the City and other items related to JEA. And the last entry that's recorded in the minutes was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 it on the record, that principally works for JEA? A For JEA, it's it's PFM Financial Advisors Q Okay. A that I'm in. Q And PFM is a management company? The other entity that works mostly for the City of Jacksonville MS. MADDOX: Public Financial Management, Inc. So so just really quickly, so Public Financial Management, Inc., is the is the original entity, if you will, Lanny. MR. RUSSELL: Okay. MS. MADDOX: And then when Dodd-Frank was passed and municipal advisor regulations were put in place, we decided or because of that, we're coming out of that. We decided to move the financial advisor business out of Public Financial 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 identification.) Q Do you know if you attended this meeting? A I did not attend that meeting. Q Okay. It's a question that you may have some information on regardless. On page 4 of this document, there's the chair's report. And what the chair does is he turns the meeting over to Tom Petway. Do you know Mr. Petway? A I don't think I've ever met him. I certainly knew him as the board chair, but I did not I don't think I ever spoke with Mr. Petway. Q Okay. And what Mr. Petway does in the part of the document we've highlighted on page 4, going over to page 5, is he talks about JEA and its performance and its value to the City and other items related to JEA.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 it on the record, that principally works for JEA? A For JEA, it's it's PFM Financial Advisors Q Okay. A that I'm in. Q And PFM is a management company? The other entity that works mostly for the City of Jacksonville MS. MADDOX: Public Financial Management, Inc. So so just really quickly, so Public Financial Management, Inc., is the is the original entity, if you will, Lanny. MR. RUSSELL: Okay. MS. MADDOX: And then when Dodd-Frank was passed and municipal advisor regulations were put in place, we decided or because of that, we're coming out of that. We decided to move the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 identification.) Q Do you know if you attended this meeting? A I did not attend that meeting. Q Okay. It's a question that you may have some information on regardless. On page 4 of this document, there's the chair's report. And what the chair does is he turns the meeting over to Tom Petway. Do you know Mr. Petway? A I don't think I 've ever met him. I certainly knew him as the board chair, but I did not I don't think I ever spoke with Mr. Petway. Q Okay. And what Mr. Petway does in the part of the document we've highlighted on page 4, going over to page 5, is he talks about JEA and its performance and its value to the City and other items related to JEA. And the last entry that's recorded in the minutes was Mr. Petway the JEA Mr. Petway suggested, and I'll
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 it on the record, that principally works for JEA? A For JEA, it's it's PFM Financial Advisors Q Okay. A that I'm in. Q And PFM is a management company? The other entity that works mostly for the City of Jacksonville MS. MADDOX: Public Financial Management, Inc. So so just really quickly, so Public Financial Management, Inc., is the is the original entity, if you will, Lanny. MR. RUSSELL: Okay. MS. MADDOX: And then when Dodd-Frank was passed and municipal advisor regulations were put in place, we decided or because of that, we're coming out of that. We decided to move the financial advisor business out of Public Financial Management, Inc., and put it into PFM Financial Advisors, as the municipal advisors, so that we can 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 identification.) Q Do you know if you attended this meeting? A I did not attend that meeting. Q Okay. It's a question that you may have some information on regardless. On page 4 of this document, there's the chair's report. And what the chair does is he turns the meeting over to Tom Petway. Do you know Mr. Petway? A I don't think I've ever met him. I certainly knew him as the board chair, but I did not I don't think I ever spoke with Mr. Petway. Q Okay. And what Mr. Petway does in the part of the document we've highlighted on page 4, going over to page 5, is he talks about JEA and its performance and its value to the City and other items related to JEA. And the last entry that's recorded in the minutes was Mr. Petway the JEA Mr. Petway suggested, and I'll quote from number 8, "The JEA board should evaluate where JEA fits in this emerging private marketplace of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 it on the record, that principally works for JEA? A For JEA, it's it's PFM Financial Advisors Q Okay. A that I'm in. Q And PFM is a management company? The other entity that works mostly for the City of Jacksonville MS. MADDOX: Public Financial Management, Inc. So so just really quickly, so Public Financial Management, Inc., is the is the original entity, if you will, Lanny. MR. RUSSELL: Okay. MS. MADDOX: And then when Dodd-Frank was passed and municipal advisor regulations were put in place, we decided or because of that, we're coming out of that. We decided to move the financial advisor business out of Public Financial Management, Inc., and put it into PFM Financial Advisors, as the municipal advisors, so that we can segregate the entities primarily by business line 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 identification.) Q Do you know if you attended this meeting? A I did not attend that meeting. Q Okay. It's a question that you may have some information on regardless. On page 4 of this document, there's the chair's report. And what the chair does is he turns the meeting over to Tom Petway. Do you know Mr. Petway? A I don't think I've ever met him. I certainly knew him as the board chair, but I did not I don't think I ever spoke with Mr. Petway. Q Okay. And what Mr. Petway does in the part of the document we've highlighted on page 4, going over to page 5, is he talks about JEA and its performance and its value to the City and other items related to JEA. And the last entry that's recorded in the minutes was Mr. Petway the JEA Mr. Petway suggested, and I'll quote from number 8, "The JEA board should evaluate where JEA fits in this emerging private marketplace of utility companies."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 it on the record, that principally works for JEA? A For JEA, it's it's PFM Financial Advisors Q Okay. A that I'm in. Q And PFM is a management company? The other entity that works mostly for the City of Jacksonville MS. MADDOX: Public Financial Management, Inc. So so just really quickly, so Public Financial Management, Inc., is the is the original entity, if you will, Lanny. MR. RUSSELL: Okay. MS. MADDOX: And then when Dodd-Frank was passed and municipal advisor regulations were put in place, we decided or because of that, we're coming out of that. We decided to move the financial advisor business out of Public Financial Management, Inc., and put it into PFM Financial Advisors, as the municipal advisors, so that we can segregate the entities primarily by business line and by regulated entity. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 identification.) Q Do you know if you attended this meeting? A I did not attend that meeting. Q Okay. It's a question that you may have some information on regardless. On page 4 of this document, there's the chair's report. And what the chair does is he turns the meeting over to Tom Petway. Do you know Mr. Petway? A I don't think I've ever met him. I certainly knew him as the board chair, but I did not I don't think I ever spoke with Mr. Petway does in the part of the document we've highlighted on page 4, going over to page 5, is he talks about JEA and its performance and its value to the City and other items related to JEA. And the last entry that's recorded in the minutes was Mr. Petway the JEA Mr. Petway suggested, and I'll quote from number 8, "The JEA board should evaluate where JEA fits in this emerging private marketplace of utility companies."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 it on the record, that principally works for JEA? A For JEA, it's it's PFM Financial Advisors Q Okay. A that I'm in. Q And PFM is a management company? The other entity that works mostly for the City of Jacksonville MS. MADDOX: Public Financial Management, Inc. So so just really quickly, so Public Financial Management, Inc., is the is the original entity, if you will, Lanny. MR. RUSSELL: Okay. MS. MADDOX: And then when Dodd-Frank was passed and municipal advisor regulations were put in place, we decided or because of that, we're coming out of that. We decided to move the financial advisor business out of Public Financial Management, Inc., and put it into PFM Financial Advisors, as the municipal advisors, so that we can segregate the entities primarily by business line and by regulated entity. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 identification.) Q Do you know if you attended this meeting? A I did not attend that meeting. Q Okay. It's a question that you may have some information on regardless. On page 4 of this document, there's the chair's report. And what the chair does is he turns the meeting over to Tom Petway. Do you know Mr. Petway? A I don't think I 've ever met him. I certainly knew him as the board chair, but I did not I don't think I ever spoke with Mr. Petway. Q Okay. And what Mr. Petway does in the part of the document we've highlighted on page 4, going over to page 5, is he talks about JEA and its performance and its value to the City and other items related to JEA. And the last entry that's recorded in the minutes was Mr. Petway the JEA Mr. Petway suggested, and I'll quote from number 8, "The JEA board should evaluate where JEA fits in this emerging private marketplace of utility companies."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 it on the record, that principally works for JEA? A For JEA, it's it's PFM Financial Advisors Q Okay. A that I'm in. Q And PFM is a management company? The other entity that works mostly for the City of Jacksonville MS. MADDOX: Public Financial Management, Inc. So so just really quickly, so Public Financial Management, Inc., is the is the original entity, if you will, Lanny. MR. RUSSELL: Okay. MS. MADDOX: And then when Dodd-Frank was passed and municipal advisor regulations were put in place, we decided or because of that, we're coming out of that. We decided to move the financial advisor business out of Public Financial Advisors, as the municipal advisors, so that we can segregate the entities primarily by business line and by regulated entity. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 identification.) Q Do you know if you attended this meeting? A I did not attend that meeting. Q Okay. It's a question that you may have some information on regardless. On page 4 of this document, there's the chair's report. And what the chair does is he turns the meeting over to Tom Petway. Do you know Mr. Petway? A I don't think I've ever met him. I certainly knew him as the board chair, but I did not I don't think I ever spoke with Mr. Petway. Q Okay. And what Mr. Petway does in the part of the document we've highlighted on page 4, going over to page 5, is he talks about JEA and its performance and its value to the City and other items related to JEA. And the last entry that's recorded in the minutes was Mr. Petway the JEA Mr. Petway suggested, and I'll quote from number 8, "The JEA board should evaluate where JEA fits in this emerging private marketplace of utility companies." Did I guess you said you've never met him so it's the answer to my question, you never discussed this topic with Tom Petway?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 it on the record, that principally works for JEA? A For JEA, it's it's PFM Financial Advisors Q Okay. A that I'm in. Q And PFM is a management company? The other entity that works mostly for the City of Jacksonville MS. MADDOX: Public Financial Management, Inc. So so just really quickly, so Public Financial Management, Inc., is the is the original entity, if you will, Lanny. MR. RUSSELL: Okay. MS. MADDOX: And then when Dodd-Frank was passed and municipal advisor regulations were put in place, we decided or because of that, we're coming out of that. We decided to move the financial advisor business out of Public Financial Advisors, as the municipal advisors, so that we can segregate the entities primarily by business line and by regulated entity. Public Financial Management, Inc., currently there may be a few clients, not very many at all, that are still within Public Financial Management, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 identification.) Q Do you know if you attended this meeting? A I did not attend that meeting. Q Okay. It's a question that you may have some information on regardless. On page 4 of this document, there's the chair's report. And what the chair does is he turns the meeting over to Tom Petway. Do you know Mr. Petway? A I don't think I've ever met him. I certainly knew him as the board chair, but I did not I don't think I ever spoke with Mr. Petway. Q Okay. And what Mr. Petway does in the part of the document we've highlighted on page 4, going over to page 5, is he talks about JEA and its performance and its value to the City and other items related to JEA. And the last entry that's recorded in the minutes was Mr. Petway the JEA Mr. Petway suggested, and I'll quote from number 8, "The JEA board should evaluate where JEA fits in this emerging private marketplace of utility companies." Did I guess you said you've never met him so it's the answer to my question, you never discussed this topic with Tom Petway? A No, I didn't.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 it on the record, that principally works for JEA? A For JEA, it's it's PFM Financial Advisors Q Okay. A that I'm in. Q And PFM is a management company? The other entity that works mostly for the City of Jacksonville MS. MADDOX: Public Financial Management, Inc. So so just really quickly, so Public Financial Management, Inc., is the is the original entity, if you will, Lanny. MR. RUSSELL: Okay. MS. MADDOX: And then when Dodd-Frank was passed and municipal advisor regulations were put in place, we decided or because of that, we're coming out of that. We decided to move the financial advisor business out of Public Financial Advisors, as the municipal advisors, so that we can segregate the entities primarily by business line and by regulated entity. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 identification.) Q Do you know if you attended this meeting? A I did not attend that meeting. Q Okay. It's a question that you may have some information on regardless. On page 4 of this document, there's the chair's report. And what the chair does is he turns the meeting over to Tom Petway. Do you know Mr. Petway? A I don't think I've ever met him. I certainly knew him as the board chair, but I did not I don't think I ever spoke with Mr. Petway. Q Okay. And what Mr. Petway does in the part of the document we've highlighted on page 4, going over to page 5, is he talks about JEA and its performance and its value to the City and other items related to JEA. And the last entry that's recorded in the minutes was Mr. Petway the JEA Mr. Petway suggested, and I'll quote from number 8, "The JEA board should evaluate where JEA fits in this emerging private marketplace of utility companies." Did I guess you said you've never met him so it's the answer to my question, you never discussed this topic with Tom Petway?

	10		12
1	which is a chain of e-mails.	1	And just to put this in context, I think this
2	A I have that one up.	2	is something where the folks from JEA were looking to a
3	(Mace's Exhibit 2 was marked for	3	number of different parties potentially to get their
4	identification.)	4	views on this information and they were asking me to
5	Q Okay. And the first e-mail in the chain is	5	provide my feedback quickly on this topic. So that's
6	appears to be from Joe Orfano to you. And Mr. Orfano,	6	the nature of the feedback I provided.
7	in this e-mail, asked that you please confirm your	7	Q Do you know for sure that JEA was asking other
8	opinion that it would take two years at least two	8	entities for this kind of information?
9	years to privatize JEA.	9	A I don't know that for a fact. No.
10	Do you know why Mr. Orfano was asking you this	10	Q Okay. I was going to ask you who else was
11	question on December 4th, 2017?	11	doing it. You wouldn't know that either, I take it?
12	A I think that was in relation to the bond	12	A No.
13	transactions that they were doing. So there are some	13	MR. BLODGETT: Mr. Mace, this is Kevin
14	tax considerations on municipal bond deals, such that	14	Blodgett.
15	the owner of the asset doing the financing has to have	15	I notice that your e-mail focuses on the sale
16	some reasonable expectation of maintaining control of	16	of JEA. Do you know if JEA was looking at other
17	those assets for some period of time in order to do tax	17	privatization options at this time or just the
18	exempt financing. And I believe that was the those	18	sale?
19	were the circumstances of that discussion.	19	THE WITNESS: I I don't know.
20	Q And let's see. And your response to make	20	MR. BLODGETT: Thank you.
21	sure I got that right to Mr. Orfano is the e-mail	21	BY MR. RUSSELL:
22	that you sent to him, looks like it was sent on 9:35 and	22	Q Let's go ahead and look at Exhibit Number 3, if
23	you answered that it would take at least two years from	23	we could, Mr. Mace.
24	today to close a transaction to sell a substantial	24	A Okay. I have that one.
25	portion of JEA's assets.	25	(Mace's Exhibit 3 was marked for
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
	11		13
1	How were you able to come up with that time	1	identification.)
1 2		1 2	identification.) Q Okay. In this e-mail from Tyler Calderone I
_	How were you able to come up with that time		identification.)
2	How were you able to come up with that time period so quickly, in the matter of minutes?	2 3 4	identification.) Q Okay. In this e-mail from Tyler Calderone I
2 3	How were you able to come up with that time period so quickly, in the matter of minutes? A I think if you look at what is above there in the at least if we're looking at the same e-mail the five points, I think it would be pretty obvious that	2 3 4 5	identification.) Q Okay. In this e-mail from Tyler Calderone I want to make sure I say his name right to Jeremy Niedfeldt, who is Jeremy Niedfeldt? A He is a person who works in the PFM Orlando
2 3 4 5 6	How were you able to come up with that time period so quickly, in the matter of minutes? A I think if you look at what is above there in the at least if we're looking at the same e-mail the five points, I think it would be pretty obvious that those are some pretty significant components of a	2 3 4 5 6	identification.) Q Okay. In this e-mail from Tyler Calderone I want to make sure I say his name right to Jeremy Niedfeldt, who is Jeremy Niedfeldt? A He is a person who works in the PFM Orlando office and is a financial advisor there. I believe that
2 3 4 5 6 7	How were you able to come up with that time period so quickly, in the matter of minutes? A I think if you look at what is above there in the at least if we're looking at the same e-mail the five points, I think it would be pretty obvious that those are some pretty significant components of a process that anybody would have to go through, such that	2 3 4 5 6 7	 identification.) Q Okay. In this e-mail from Tyler Calderone I want to make sure I say his name right to Jeremy Niedfeldt, who is Jeremy Niedfeldt? A He is a person who works in the PFM Orlando office and is a financial advisor there. I believe that he was working as financial advisor to the City of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	How were you able to come up with that time period so quickly, in the matter of minutes? A I think if you look at what is above there in the at least if we're looking at the same e-mail the five points, I think it would be pretty obvious that those are some pretty significant components of a process that anybody would have to go through, such that all of them in and of themselves would take a decent	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 identification.) Q Okay. In this e-mail from Tyler Calderone I want to make sure I say his name right to Jeremy Niedfeldt, who is Jeremy Niedfeldt? A He is a person who works in the PFM Orlando office and is a financial advisor there. I believe that he was working as financial advisor to the City of Jacksonville at the time.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	How were you able to come up with that time period so quickly, in the matter of minutes? A I think if you look at what is above there in the at least if we're looking at the same e-mail the five points, I think it would be pretty obvious that those are some pretty significant components of a process that anybody would have to go through, such that all of them in and of themselves would take a decent amount of time.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 identification.) Q Okay. In this e-mail from Tyler Calderone I want to make sure I say his name right to Jeremy Niedfeldt, who is Jeremy Niedfeldt? A He is a person who works in the PFM Orlando office and is a financial advisor there. I believe that he was working as financial advisor to the City of Jacksonville at the time. Q Okay. And in this matter, it says in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	How were you able to come up with that time period so quickly, in the matter of minutes? A I think if you look at what is above there in the at least if we're looking at the same e-mail the five points, I think it would be pretty obvious that those are some pretty significant components of a process that anybody would have to go through, such that all of them in and of themselves would take a decent amount of time. Q Yeah. I would understand that's evidence of a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 identification.) Q Okay. In this e-mail from Tyler Calderone I want to make sure I say his name right to Jeremy Niedfeldt, who is Jeremy Niedfeldt? A He is a person who works in the PFM Orlando office and is a financial advisor there. I believe that he was working as financial advisor to the City of Jacksonville at the time. Q Okay. And in this matter, it says in the e-mail that Public Financial Management, Inc., PFM, on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	How were you able to come up with that time period so quickly, in the matter of minutes? A I think if you look at what is above there in the at least if we're looking at the same e-mail the five points, I think it would be pretty obvious that those are some pretty significant components of a process that anybody would have to go through, such that all of them in and of themselves would take a decent amount of time. Q Yeah. I would understand that's evidence of a lot of the time. But how could you get to the number	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 identification.) Q Okay. In this e-mail from Tyler Calderone I want to make sure I say his name right to Jeremy Niedfeldt, who is Jeremy Niedfeldt? A He is a person who works in the PFM Orlando office and is a financial advisor there. I believe that he was working as financial advisor to the City of Jacksonville at the time. Q Okay. And in this matter, it says in the e-mail that Public Financial Management, Inc., PFM, on behalf of the City of Jacksonville, Florida, the City
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	How were you able to come up with that time period so quickly, in the matter of minutes? A I think if you look at what is above there in the at least if we're looking at the same e-mail the five points, I think it would be pretty obvious that those are some pretty significant components of a process that anybody would have to go through, such that all of them in and of themselves would take a decent amount of time. Q Yeah. I would understand that's evidence of a lot of the time. But how could you get to the number approximately two years? Is that a result of prior	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 identification.) Q Okay. In this e-mail from Tyler Calderone I want to make sure I say his name right to Jeremy Niedfeldt, who is Jeremy Niedfeldt? A He is a person who works in the PFM Orlando office and is a financial advisor there. I believe that he was working as financial advisor to the City of Jacksonville at the time. Q Okay. And in this matter, it says in the e-mail that Public Financial Management, Inc., PFM, on behalf of the City of Jacksonville, Florida, the City submits the attached request for proposal for strategic
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	How were you able to come up with that time period so quickly, in the matter of minutes? A I think if you look at what is above there in the at least if we're looking at the same e-mail the five points, I think it would be pretty obvious that those are some pretty significant components of a process that anybody would have to go through, such that all of them in and of themselves would take a decent amount of time. Q Yeah. I would understand that's evidence of a lot of the time. But how could you get to the number approximately two years? Is that a result of prior experience and transactions of this nature or is there	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 identification.) Q Okay. In this e-mail from Tyler Calderone I want to make sure I say his name right to Jeremy Niedfeldt, who is Jeremy Niedfeldt? A He is a person who works in the PFM Orlando office and is a financial advisor there. I believe that he was working as financial advisor to the City of Jacksonville at the time. Q Okay. And in this matter, it says in the e-mail that Public Financial Management, Inc., PFM, on behalf of the City of Jacksonville, Florida, the City submits the attached request for proposal for strategic initiatives and advice financial advisory services.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 How were you able to come up with that time period so quickly, in the matter of minutes? A I think if you look at what is above there in the at least if we're looking at the same e-mail the five points, I think it would be pretty obvious that those are some pretty significant components of a process that anybody would have to go through, such that all of them in and of themselves would take a decent amount of time. Q Yeah. I would understand that's evidence of a lot of the time. But how could you get to the number approximately two years? Is that a result of prior experience and transactions of this nature or is there any other thought process that went into determining 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 identification.) Q Okay. In this e-mail from Tyler Calderone I want to make sure I say his name right to Jeremy Niedfeldt, who is Jeremy Niedfeldt? A He is a person who works in the PFM Orlando office and is a financial advisor there. I believe that he was working as financial advisor to the City of Jacksonville at the time. Q Okay. And in this matter, it says in the e-mail that Public Financial Management, Inc., PFM, on behalf of the City of Jacksonville, Florida, the City submits the attached request for proposal for strategic initiatives and advice financial advisory services. Okay. And who is Mr. Jeremy Niedfeldt
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 How were you able to come up with that time period so quickly, in the matter of minutes? A I think if you look at what is above there in the at least if we're looking at the same e-mail the five points, I think it would be pretty obvious that those are some pretty significant components of a process that anybody would have to go through, such that all of them in and of themselves would take a decent amount of time. Q Yeah. I would understand that's evidence of a lot of the time. But how could you get to the number approximately two years? Is that a result of prior experience and transactions of this nature or is there any other thought process that went into determining that number? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 identification.) Q Okay. In this e-mail from Tyler Calderone I want to make sure I say his name right to Jeremy Niedfeldt, who is Jeremy Niedfeldt? A He is a person who works in the PFM Orlando office and is a financial advisor there. I believe that he was working as financial advisor to the City of Jacksonville at the time. Q Okay. And in this matter, it says in the e-mail that Public Financial Management, Inc., PFM, on behalf of the City of Jacksonville, Florida, the City submits the attached request for proposal for strategic initiatives and advice financial advisory services. Okay. And who is Mr. Jeremy Niedfeldt Niedfeldt?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 How were you able to come up with that time period so quickly, in the matter of minutes? A I think if you look at what is above there in the at least if we're looking at the same e-mail the five points, I think it would be pretty obvious that those are some pretty significant components of a process that anybody would have to go through, such that all of them in and of themselves would take a decent amount of time. Q Yeah. I would understand that's evidence of a lot of the time. But how could you get to the number approximately two years? Is that a result of prior experience and transactions of this nature or is there any other thought process that went into determining that number? A Well, I think it's as much of a lack of prior 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 identification.) Q Okay. In this e-mail from Tyler Calderone I want to make sure I say his name right to Jeremy Niedfeldt, who is Jeremy Niedfeldt? A He is a person who works in the PFM Orlando office and is a financial advisor there. I believe that he was working as financial advisor to the City of Jacksonville at the time. Q Okay. And in this matter, it says in the e-mail that Public Financial Management, Inc., PFM, on behalf of the City of Jacksonville, Florida, the City submits the attached request for proposal for strategic initiatives and advice financial advisory services. Okay. And who is Mr. Jeremy Niedfeldt Niedfeldt? A So as I indicated previously, he is a financial
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 How were you able to come up with that time period so quickly, in the matter of minutes? A I think if you look at what is above there in the at least if we're looking at the same e-mail the five points, I think it would be pretty obvious that those are some pretty significant components of a process that anybody would have to go through, such that all of them in and of themselves would take a decent amount of time. Q Yeah. I would understand that's evidence of a lot of the time. But how could you get to the number approximately two years? Is that a result of prior experience and transactions of this nature or is there any other thought process that went into determining that number? A Well, I think it's as much of a lack of prior data points on large-scale municipal privatization 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 identification.) Q Okay. In this e-mail from Tyler Calderone I want to make sure I say his name right to Jeremy Niedfeldt, who is Jeremy Niedfeldt? A He is a person who works in the PFM Orlando office and is a financial advisor there. I believe that he was working as financial advisor to the City of Jacksonville at the time. Q Okay. And in this matter, it says in the e-mail that Public Financial Management, Inc., PFM, on behalf of the City of Jacksonville, Florida, the City submits the attached request for proposal for strategic initiatives and advice financial advisory services. Okay. And who is Mr. Jeremy Niedfeldt Niedfeldt? A So as I indicated previously, he is a financial advisor in our Orlando office.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 How were you able to come up with that time period so quickly, in the matter of minutes? A I think if you look at what is above there in the at least if we're looking at the same e-mail the five points, I think it would be pretty obvious that those are some pretty significant components of a process that anybody would have to go through, such that all of them in and of themselves would take a decent amount of time. Q Yeah. I would understand that's evidence of a lot of the time. But how could you get to the number approximately two years? Is that a result of prior experience and transactions of this nature or is there any other thought process that went into determining that number? A Well, I think it's as much of a lack of prior data points on large-scale municipal privatization because while there's been a number of them discussed, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 identification.) Q Okay. In this e-mail from Tyler Calderone I want to make sure I say his name right to Jeremy Niedfeldt, who is Jeremy Niedfeldt? A He is a person who works in the PFM Orlando office and is a financial advisor there. I believe that he was working as financial advisor to the City of Jacksonville at the time. Q Okay. And in this matter, it says in the e-mail that Public Financial Management, Inc., PFM, on behalf of the City of Jacksonville, Florida, the City submits the attached request for proposal for strategic initiatives and advice financial advisory services. Okay. And who is Mr. Jeremy Niedfeldt Niedfeldt? A So as I indicated previously, he is a financial advisor in our Orlando office. Q Okay. Sorry, I thought that was Tyler
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 How were you able to come up with that time period so quickly, in the matter of minutes? A I think if you look at what is above there in the at least if we're looking at the same e-mail the five points, I think it would be pretty obvious that those are some pretty significant components of a process that anybody would have to go through, such that all of them in and of themselves would take a decent amount of time. Q Yeah. I would understand that's evidence of a lot of the time. But how could you get to the number approximately two years? Is that a result of prior experience and transactions of this nature or is there any other thought process that went into determining that number? A Well, I think it's as much of a lack of prior data points on large-scale municipal privatization because while there's been a number of them discussed, if you look at that even step one, evaluate and 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 identification.) Q Okay. In this e-mail from Tyler Calderone I want to make sure I say his name right to Jeremy Niedfeldt, who is Jeremy Niedfeldt? A He is a person who works in the PFM Orlando office and is a financial advisor there. I believe that he was working as financial advisor to the City of Jacksonville at the time. Q Okay. And in this matter, it says in the e-mail that Public Financial Management, Inc., PFM, on behalf of the City of Jacksonville, Florida, the City submits the attached request for proposal for strategic initiatives and advice financial advisory services. Okay. And who is Mr. Jeremy Niedfeldt Niedfeldt? A So as I indicated previously, he is a financial advisor in our Orlando office. Q Okay. Sorry, I thought that was Tyler Calderone?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 How were you able to come up with that time period so quickly, in the matter of minutes? A I think if you look at what is above there in the at least if we're looking at the same e-mail the five points, I think it would be pretty obvious that those are some pretty significant components of a process that anybody would have to go through, such that all of them in and of themselves would take a decent amount of time. Q Yeah. I would understand that's evidence of a lot of the time. But how could you get to the number approximately two years? Is that a result of prior experience and transactions of this nature or is there any other thought process that went into determining that number? A Well, I think it's as much of a lack of prior data points on large-scale municipal privatization because while there's been a number of them discussed, if you look at that even step one, evaluate and decision-making process, seems to take quite a long time 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 identification.) Q Okay. In this e-mail from Tyler Calderone I want to make sure I say his name right to Jeremy Niedfeldt, who is Jeremy Niedfeldt? A He is a person who works in the PFM Orlando office and is a financial advisor there. I believe that he was working as financial advisor to the City of Jacksonville at the time. Q Okay. And in this matter, it says in the e-mail that Public Financial Management, Inc., PFM, on behalf of the City of Jacksonville, Florida, the City submits the attached request for proposal for strategic initiatives and advice financial advisory services. Okay. And who is Mr. Jeremy Niedfeldt Niedfeldt? A So as I indicated previously, he is a financial advisor in our Orlando office. Q Okay. Sorry, I thought that was Tyler Calderone I asked about. Who is Tyler Calderone? A I don't I assume, based on this, that he was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 How were you able to come up with that time period so quickly, in the matter of minutes? A I think if you look at what is above there in the at least if we're looking at the same e-mail the five points, I think it would be pretty obvious that those are some pretty significant components of a process that anybody would have to go through, such that all of them in and of themselves would take a decent amount of time. Q Yeah. I would understand that's evidence of a lot of the time. But how could you get to the number approximately two years? Is that a result of prior experience and transactions of this nature or is there any other thought process that went into determining that number? A Well, I think it's as much of a lack of prior data points on large-scale municipal privatization because while there's been a number of them discussed, if you look at that even step one, evaluate and decision-making process, seems to take quite a long time in the municipal environment. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 identification.) Q Okay. In this e-mail from Tyler Calderone I want to make sure I say his name right to Jeremy Niedfeldt, who is Jeremy Niedfeldt? A He is a person who works in the PFM Orlando office and is a financial advisor there. I believe that he was working as financial advisor to the City of Jacksonville at the time. Q Okay. And in this matter, it says in the e-mail that Public Financial Management, Inc., PFM, on behalf of the City of Jacksonville, Florida, the City submits the attached request for proposal for strategic initiatives and advice financial advisory services. Okay. And who is Mr. Jeremy Niedfeldt Niedfeldt? A So as I indicated previously, he is a financial advisor in our Orlando office. Q Okay. Sorry, I thought that was Tyler Calderone? A I don't I assume, based on this, that he was a senior analyst who worked with Jeremy in that office.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	How were you able to come up with that time period so quickly, in the matter of minutes? A I think if you look at what is above there in the at least if we're looking at the same e-mail the five points, I think it would be pretty obvious that those are some pretty significant components of a process that anybody would have to go through, such that all of them in and of themselves would take a decent amount of time. Q Yeah. I would understand that's evidence of a lot of the time. But how could you get to the number approximately two years? Is that a result of prior experience and transactions of this nature or is there any other thought process that went into determining that number? A Well, I think it's as much of a lack of prior data points on large-scale municipal privatization because while there's been a number of them discussed, if you look at that even step one, evaluate and decision-making process, seems to take quite a long time in the municipal environment.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 identification.) Q Okay. In this e-mail from Tyler Calderone I want to make sure I say his name right to Jeremy Niedfeldt, who is Jeremy Niedfeldt? A He is a person who works in the PFM Orlando office and is a financial advisor there. I believe that he was working as financial advisor to the City of Jacksonville at the time. Q Okay. And in this matter, it says in the e-mail that Public Financial Management, Inc., PFM, on behalf of the City of Jacksonville, Florida, the City submits the attached request for proposal for strategic initiatives and advice financial advisory services. Okay. And who is Mr. Jeremy Niedfeldt Niedfeldt? A So as I indicated previously, he is a financial advisor in our Orlando office. Q Okay. Sorry, I thought that was Tyler Calderone I asked about. Who is Tyler Calderone? A I don't I assume, based on this, that he was a senior analyst who worked with Jeremy in that office, but I have not met or worked with Tyler.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 How were you able to come up with that time period so quickly, in the matter of minutes? A I think if you look at what is above there in the at least if we're looking at the same e-mail the five points, I think it would be pretty obvious that those are some pretty significant components of a process that anybody would have to go through, such that all of them in and of themselves would take a decent amount of time. Q Yeah. I would understand that's evidence of a lot of the time. But how could you get to the number approximately two years? Is that a result of prior experience and transactions of this nature or is there any other thought process that went into determining that number? A Well, I think it's as much of a lack of prior data points on large-scale municipal privatization because while there's been a number of them discussed, if you look at that even step one, evaluate and decision-making process, seems to take quite a long time in the municipal environment. And then if you look at other investor on utility asset sales and the approval processes that they 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 identification.) Q Okay. In this e-mail from Tyler Calderone I want to make sure I say his name right to Jeremy Niedfeldt, who is Jeremy Niedfeldt? A He is a person who works in the PFM Orlando office and is a financial advisor there. I believe that he was working as financial advisor to the City of Jacksonville at the time. Q Okay. And in this matter, it says in the e-mail that Public Financial Management, Inc., PFM, on behalf of the City of Jacksonville, Florida, the City submits the attached request for proposal for strategic initiatives and advice financial advisory services. Okay. And who is Mr. Jeremy Niedfeldt Niedfeldt? A So as I indicated previously, he is a financial advisor in our Orlando office. Q Okay. Sorry, I thought that was Tyler Calderone I asked about. Who is Tyler Calderone? A I don't I assume, based on this, that he was a senior analyst who worked with Jeremy in that office, but I have not met or worked with Tyler. Q Okay. Are you familiar with this request for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 How were you able to come up with that time period so quickly, in the matter of minutes? A I think if you look at what is above there in the at least if we're looking at the same e-mail the five points, I think it would be pretty obvious that those are some pretty significant components of a process that anybody would have to go through, such that all of them in and of themselves would take a decent amount of time. Q Yeah. I would understand that's evidence of a lot of the time. But how could you get to the number approximately two years? Is that a result of prior experience and transactions of this nature or is there any other thought process that went into determining that number? A Well, I think it's as much of a lack of prior data points on large-scale municipal privatization because while there's been a number of them discussed, if you look at that even step one, evaluate and decision-making process, seems to take quite a long time in the municipal environment. And then if you look at other investor on utility asset sales and the approval processes that they have to go through, put the combination of those 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 identification.) Q Okay. In this e-mail from Tyler Calderone I want to make sure I say his name right to Jeremy Niedfeldt, who is Jeremy Niedfeldt? A He is a person who works in the PFM Orlando office and is a financial advisor there. I believe that he was working as financial advisor to the City of Jacksonville at the time. Q Okay. And in this matter, it says in the e-mail that Public Financial Management, Inc., PFM, on behalf of the City of Jacksonville, Florida, the City submits the attached request for proposal for strategic initiatives and advice financial advisory services. Okay. And who is Mr. Jeremy Niedfeldt Niedfeldt? M So as I indicated previously, he is a financial advisor in our Orlando office. Q Okay. Sorry, I thought that was Tyler Calderone I asked about. Who is Tyler Calderone? A I don't I assume, based on this, that he was a senior analyst who worked with Jeremy in that office, but I have not met or worked with Tyler. Q Okay. Are you familiar with this request for proposal?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 How were you able to come up with that time period so quickly, in the matter of minutes? A I think if you look at what is above there in the at least if we're looking at the same e-mail the five points, I think it would be pretty obvious that those are some pretty significant components of a process that anybody would have to go through, such that all of them in and of themselves would take a decent amount of time. Q Yeah. I would understand that's evidence of a lot of the time. But how could you get to the number approximately two years? Is that a result of prior experience and transactions of this nature or is there any other thought process that went into determining that number? A Well, I think it's as much of a lack of prior data points on large-scale municipal privatization because while there's been a number of them discussed, if you look at that even step one, evaluate and decision-making process, seems to take quite a long time in the municipal environment. And then if you look at other investor on utility asset sales and the approval processes that they 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 identification.) Q Okay. In this e-mail from Tyler Calderone I want to make sure I say his name right to Jeremy Niedfeldt, who is Jeremy Niedfeldt? A He is a person who works in the PFM Orlando office and is a financial advisor there. I believe that he was working as financial advisor to the City of Jacksonville at the time. Q Okay. And in this matter, it says in the e-mail that Public Financial Management, Inc., PFM, on behalf of the City of Jacksonville, Florida, the City submits the attached request for proposal for strategic initiatives and advice financial advisory services. Okay. And who is Mr. Jeremy Niedfeldt Niedfeldt? A So as I indicated previously, he is a financial advisor in our Orlando office. Q Okay. Sorry, I thought that was Tyler Calderone I asked about. Who is Tyler Calderone? A I don't I assume, based on this, that he was a senior analyst who worked with Jeremy in that office, but I have not met or worked with Tyler. Q Okay. Are you familiar with this request for

	14		16
1	in December of 2017.	1	A I can remember when it first came out that we
2	Q Do you know why PFM issued this RFP on behalf	2	were all we discussed what it was and what it might
3	of the City of Jacksonville as proposed as opposed to	3	relate to and speculated on that, but there was no
4	the City of Jacksonville itself issuing the RFP?	4	official work or discussion in my capacity in work with
5	A I was not involved in the this process for	5	JEA where where this was work done or discussed.
6	the City, never worked for the City itself, so I I	6	Q Okay. Let's look at Exhibit 4, if we could,
7	don't know.	7	please. That's a J
8	Q Okay. And you had no input into the	8	MR. RUSSELL: You have a question, Kevin?
9	preparation of the response to this request for	9	MR. BLODGETT: One quick question. Michael,
10	proposal?	10	who was or who were the team members with Public
11	A That's correct.	11	Financial Management, Inc., representing the City in
12	Q Okay. Okay. Maybe I can get through a lot of	12	connection with this RFP in 2017 and 2018?
13	questions. Do you have any knowledge about this RFP,	13	THE WITNESS: I believe it was Jeremy, Tyler
14	this is Exhibit attached to Exhibit 3?	14	and I think also David Moore in our Orlando office,
15	A I I was I saw it when it came out in	15	who is a managing director.
16	December, I read this, but I was not involved in the	16	MR. BLODGETT: Thank you.
17	in the process of this in any way.	17	THE WITNESS: There may have been others, but I
18	Q Okay. Independent of this RFP, are you aware	18	didn't we were kept pretty separately in our
19	in 2017, December 2017, was the City of Jacksonville	19	assignments.
20	considering privatizing any of its assets?	20	BY MR. RUSSELL:
21	A Other than from what I saw with this memo and	21	Q Okay. On Exhibit 4, if you'll turn about three
22	then or, rather, this memo and RFP and then other	22	pages in, Mr. Mace, there's an entry beside your name
23	the public comments that were made at at the JEA	23	for 12/20/2017 excuse me, 12/20 yeah, that's
24	level and board meeting, no.	24	right 2017, and the language next to that is "Discuss
25	Q Okay. So do you have any knowledge whether	25	potential JEA evaluation for Board."
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
1	15 or not this RFP covered the potential privatization of	1	17 Do you recall who you discussed that issue
2	JEA?	2	with?
3	A I don't have any knowledge that that was the	3	A That would have been Melissa Dykes. And I
4	intent at the time. I certainly that was raised and	4	don't remember for certain whether Ryan Wannemacher was
_		-	
5	questioned and discussed fairly broadly in the industry	5	there, but I he I would guess he was. And I think
5 6	questioned and discussed fairly broadly in the industry when this came out, but I I didn't have any awareness	5 6	there, but I he I would guess he was. And I think that was it in our initial discussions.
		_	-
6	when this came out, but I I didn't have any awareness	6	that was it in our initial discussions.
6 7	when this came out, but I I didn't have any awareness that it was oriented toward JEA.	6 7	that was it in our initial discussions. (Mace's Exhibit 4 was marked for
6 7 8	when this came out, but I I didn't have any awareness that it was oriented toward JEA. MR. BLODGETT: Mr. Mace, do you recall how you	6 7 8	that was it in our initial discussions. (Mace's Exhibit 4 was marked for identification.)
6 7 8 9	when this came out, but I I didn't have any awareness that it was oriented toward JEA. MR. BLODGETT: Mr. Mace, do you recall how you received this RFP initially?	6 7 8 9	that was it in our initial discussions. (Mace's Exhibit 4 was marked for identification.) Q Do you recall any of the substance of those
6 7 8 9 10	when this came out, but I I didn't have any awareness that it was oriented toward JEA. MR. BLODGETT: Mr. Mace, do you recall how you received this RFP initially? THE WITNESS: I believe that we were at JEA for	6 7 8 9 10	<pre>that was it in our initial discussions. (Mace's Exhibit 4 was marked for identification.) Q Do you recall any of the substance of those discussions?</pre>
6 7 8 9 10 11	when this came out, but I I didn't have any awareness that it was oriented toward JEA. MR. BLODGETT: Mr. Mace, do you recall how you received this RFP initially? THE WITNESS: I believe that we were at JEA for a closing of a bond transaction and that went it	6 7 8 9 10 11	 that was it in our initial discussions. (Mace's Exhibit 4 was marked for identification.) Q Do you recall any of the substance of those discussions? A Yes. This this initial discussion came as a
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	when this came out, but I I didn't have any awareness that it was oriented toward JEA. MR. BLODGETT: Mr. Mace, do you recall how you received this RFP initially? THE WITNESS: I believe that we were at JEA for a closing of a bond transaction and that went it became public. I think I may have had an e-mail to	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>that was it in our initial discussions. (Mace's Exhibit 4 was marked for identification.) Q Do you recall any of the substance of those discussions? A Yes. This this initial discussion came as a result of what was discussed at the recent board</pre>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	when this came out, but I I didn't have any awareness that it was oriented toward JEA. MR. BLODGETT: Mr. Mace, do you recall how you received this RFP initially? THE WITNESS: I believe that we were at JEA for a closing of a bond transaction and that went it became public. I think I may have had an e-mail to me that time.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 that was it in our initial discussions. (Mace's Exhibit 4 was marked for identification.) Q Do you recall any of the substance of those discussions? A Yes. This this initial discussion came as a result of what was discussed at the recent board meeting. And then I think there was a subsequent board
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 when this came out, but I I didn't have any awareness that it was oriented toward JEA. MR. BLODGETT: Mr. Mace, do you recall how you received this RFP initially? THE WITNESS: I believe that we were at JEA for a closing of a bond transaction and that went it became public. I think I may have had an e-mail to me that time. MR. BLODGETT: Would that have been in December 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 that was it in our initial discussions. (Mace's Exhibit 4 was marked for identification.) Q Do you recall any of the substance of those discussions? A Yes. This this initial discussion came as a result of what was discussed at the recent board meeting. And then I think there was a subsequent board meeting where this was also brought up. And this was to
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 when this came out, but I I didn't have any awareness that it was oriented toward JEA. MR. BLODGETT: Mr. Mace, do you recall how you received this RFP initially? THE WITNESS: I believe that we were at JEA for a closing of a bond transaction and that went it became public. I think I may have had an e-mail to me that time. MR. BLODGETT: Would that have been in December of 2017? 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 that was it in our initial discussions. (Mace's Exhibit 4 was marked for identification.) Q Do you recall any of the substance of those discussions? A Yes. This this initial discussion came as a result of what was discussed at the recent board meeting. And then I think there was a subsequent board meeting where this was also brought up. And this was to try and figure out how to go about getting an evaluation done that would relate to what the board so it was a discussion of what could possibly be the content of that
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 when this came out, but I I didn't have any awareness that it was oriented toward JEA. MR. BLODGETT: Mr. Mace, do you recall how you received this RFP initially? THE WITNESS: I believe that we were at JEA for a closing of a bond transaction and that went it became public. I think I may have had an e-mail to me that time. MR. BLODGETT: Would that have been in December of 2017? THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. RUSSELL: Q Do you know who paid get the name right 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 that was it in our initial discussions. (Mace's Exhibit 4 was marked for identification.) Q Do you recall any of the substance of those discussions? A Yes. This this initial discussion came as a result of what was discussed at the recent board meeting. And then I think there was a subsequent board meeting where this was also brought up. And this was to try and figure out how to go about getting an evaluation done that would relate to what the board so it was a discussion of what could possibly be the content of that evaluation or or information, as well as what parties
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 when this came out, but I I didn't have any awareness that it was oriented toward JEA. MR. BLODGETT: Mr. Mace, do you recall how you received this RFP initially? THE WITNESS: I believe that we were at JEA for a closing of a bond transaction and that went it became public. I think I may have had an e-mail to me that time. MR. BLODGETT: Would that have been in December of 2017? THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. RUSSELL: Q Do you know who paid get the name right this time PFM Financial Advisors, LLC, for the work 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 that was it in our initial discussions. (Mace's Exhibit 4 was marked for identification.) Q Do you recall any of the substance of those discussions? A Yes. This this initial discussion came as a result of what was discussed at the recent board meeting. And then I think there was a subsequent board meeting where this was also brought up. And this was to try and figure out how to go about getting an evaluation done that would relate to what the board so it was a discussion of what could possibly be the content of that evaluation or or information, as well as what parties might be involved in getting that work done.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 when this came out, but I I didn't have any awareness that it was oriented toward JEA. MR. BLODGETT: Mr. Mace, do you recall how you received this RFP initially? THE WITNESS: I believe that we were at JEA for a closing of a bond transaction and that went it became public. I think I may have had an e-mail to me that time. MR. BLODGETT: Would that have been in December of 2017? THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. RUSSELL: Q Do you know who paid get the name right this time PFM Financial Advisors, LLC, for the work PFM Financial Advisors, LLC, did in response to this 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 that was it in our initial discussions. (Mace's Exhibit 4 was marked for identification.) Q Do you recall any of the substance of those discussions? A Yes. This this initial discussion came as a result of what was discussed at the recent board meeting. And then I think there was a subsequent board meeting where this was also brought up. And this was to try and figure out how to go about getting an evaluation done that would relate to what the board so it was a discussion of what could possibly be the content of that evaluation or or information, as well as what parties might be involved in getting that work done. Q Okay. What was there an agreement in place
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 when this came out, but I I didn't have any awareness that it was oriented toward JEA. MR. BLODGETT: Mr. Mace, do you recall how you received this RFP initially? THE WITNESS: I believe that we were at JEA for a closing of a bond transaction and that went it became public. I think I may have had an e-mail to me that time. MR. BLODGETT: Would that have been in December of 2017? THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. RUSSELL: Q Do you know who paid get the name right this time PFM Financial Advisors, LLC, for the work PFM Financial Advisors, LLC, did in response to this in issuing this request to produce, request for 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 that was it in our initial discussions. (Mace's Exhibit 4 was marked for identification.) Q Do you recall any of the substance of those discussions? A Yes. This this initial discussion came as a result of what was discussed at the recent board meeting. And then I think there was a subsequent board meeting where this was also brought up. And this was to try and figure out how to go about getting an evaluation done that would relate to what the board so it was a discussion of what could possibly be the content of that evaluation or or information, as well as what parties might be involved in getting that work done. Q Okay. What was there an agreement in place with JEA for PFM to do a financial evaluation?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 when this came out, but I I didn't have any awareness that it was oriented toward JEA. MR. BLODGETT: Mr. Mace, do you recall how you received this RFP initially? THE WITNESS: I believe that we were at JEA for a closing of a bond transaction and that went it became public. I think I may have had an e-mail to me that time. MR. BLODGETT: Would that have been in December of 2017? THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. RUSSELL: Q Do you know who paid get the name right this time PFM Financial Advisors, LLC, for the work PFM Financial Advisors, LLC, did in response to this in issuing this request to produce, request for proposal? 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 that was it in our initial discussions. (Mace's Exhibit 4 was marked for identification.) Q Do you recall any of the substance of those discussions? A Yes. This this initial discussion came as a result of what was discussed at the recent board meeting. And then I think there was a subsequent board meeting where this was also brought up. And this was to try and figure out how to go about getting an evaluation done that would relate to what the board so it was a discussion of what could possibly be the content of that evaluation or or information, as well as what parties might be involved in getting that work done. Q Okay. What was there an agreement in place with JEA for PFM to do a financial advisor contract, but
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 when this came out, but I I didn't have any awareness that it was oriented toward JEA. MR. BLODGETT: Mr. Mace, do you recall how you received this RFP initially? THE WITNESS: I believe that we were at JEA for a closing of a bond transaction and that went it became public. I think I may have had an e-mail to me that time. MR. BLODGETT: Would that have been in December of 2017? THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. RUSSELL: Q Do you know who paid get the name right this time PFM Financial Advisors, LLC, for the work PFM Financial Advisors, LLC, did in response to this in issuing this request to produce, request for proposal? A No, I don't. 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 that was it in our initial discussions. (Mace's Exhibit 4 was marked for identification.) Q Do you recall any of the substance of those discussions? A Yes. This this initial discussion came as a result of what was discussed at the recent board meeting. And then I think there was a subsequent board meeting where this was also brought up. And this was to try and figure out how to go about getting an evaluation done that would relate to what the board so it was a discussion of what could possibly be the content of that evaluation or or information, as well as what parties might be involved in getting that work done. Q Okay. What was there an agreement in place with JEA for PFM to do a financial advisor contract, but there was no nothing specific in that contract,
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 when this came out, but I I didn't have any awareness that it was oriented toward JEA. MR. BLODGETT: Mr. Mace, do you recall how you received this RFP initially? THE WITNESS: I believe that we were at JEA for a closing of a bond transaction and that went it became public. I think I may have had an e-mail to me that time. MR. BLODGETT: Would that have been in December of 2017? THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. RUSSELL: Q Do you know who paid get the name right this time PFM Financial Advisors, LLC, for the work PFM Financial Advisors, LLC, did in response to this in issuing this request to produce, request for proposal? A No, I don't. Q In your work with JEA, did you ever discuss 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 that was it in our initial discussions. (Mace's Exhibit 4 was marked for identification.) Q Do you recall any of the substance of those discussions? A Yes. This this initial discussion came as a result of what was discussed at the recent board meeting. And then I think there was a subsequent board meeting where this was also brought up. And this was to try and figure out how to go about getting an evaluation done that would relate to what the board so it was a discussion of what could possibly be the content of that evaluation or or information, as well as what parties might be involved in getting that work done. Q Okay. What was there an agreement in place with JEA for PFM to do a financial evaluation? A We had a broad financial advisor contract, but there was no nothing specific in that contract, because it dated back quite a while, that related to
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 when this came out, but I I didn't have any awareness that it was oriented toward JEA. MR. BLODGETT: Mr. Mace, do you recall how you received this RFP initially? THE WITNESS: I believe that we were at JEA for a closing of a bond transaction and that went it became public. I think I may have had an e-mail to me that time. MR. BLODGETT: Would that have been in December of 2017? THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. RUSSELL: Q Do you know who paid get the name right this time PFM Financial Advisors, LLC, for the work PFM Financial Advisors, LLC, did in response to this in issuing this request to produce, request for proposal? A No, I don't. 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 that was it in our initial discussions. (Mace's Exhibit 4 was marked for identification.) Q Do you recall any of the substance of those discussions? A Yes. This this initial discussion came as a result of what was discussed at the recent board meeting. And then I think there was a subsequent board meeting where this was also brought up. And this was to try and figure out how to go about getting an evaluation done that would relate to what the board so it was a discussion of what could possibly be the content of that evaluation or or information, as well as what parties might be involved in getting that work done. Q Okay. What was there an agreement in place with JEA for PFM to do a financial advisor contract, but there was no nothing specific in that contract,

1QOkay. But the agreement which this work was1subset of firms that were selected by part of t2being done under was that older, broad contract?2the City process.3AThat's correct.3MR. BLODGETT: Okay. We're going to g4QDo you recall when that contract was entered4that later. I just want to make sure that we're5into with JEA?5track and we understand your general knowled6AI think at least five years ago. We've worked67with JEA since 2002 or so, as their financial advisor.7MR. RUSSELL: And I if we could, I need8I think there may have been a couple of rebids to the8take about a five-minute break.	et into e on dge of
3AThat's correct.3MR. BLODGETT: Okay. We're going to go4QDo you recall when that contract was entered4that later. I just want to make sure that we're5into with JEA?5track and we understand your general knowled6AI think at least five years ago. We've worked67with JEA since 2002 or so, as their financial advisor.7MR. RUSSELL: And I if we could, I need8I think there may have been a couple of rebids to the8take about a five-minute break.	e on Ige of
3AThat's correct.3MR. BLODGETT: Okay. We're going to go4QDo you recall when that contract was entered4that later. I just want to make sure that we're5into with JEA?5track and we understand your general knowled6AI think at least five years ago. We've worked67with JEA since 2002 or so, as their financial advisor.7MR. RUSSELL: And I if we could, I need8I think there may have been a couple of rebids to the8take about a five-minute break.	e on lge of
4QDo you recall when that contract was entered4that later. I just want to make sure that we're5into with JEA?5track and we understand your general knowled6AI think at least five years ago. We've worked6those topics. Thank you.7with JEA since 2002 or so, as their financial advisor.7MR. RUSSELL: And I if we could, I nee8I think there may have been a couple of rebids to the8take about a five-minute break.	e on Ige of
5into with JEA?5track and we understand your general knowled6AI think at least five years ago. We've worked6those topics. Thank you.7with JEA since 2002 or so, as their financial advisor.7MR. RUSSELL: And I if we could, I nee8I think there may have been a couple of rebids to the8take about a five-minute break.	lge of
 7 with JEA since 2002 or so, as their financial advisor. 8 I think there may have been a couple of rebids to the 8 Think there may have been a couple of rebids to the 8 Think there may have been a couple of rebids to the 8 Think there may have been a couple of rebids to the 9 Think there may have been a couple of rebids to the 9 Think there may have been a couple of rebids to the 9 Think there may have been a couple of rebids to the 9 Think there may have been a couple of rebids to the 9 Think there may have been a couple of rebids to the 9 Think there may have been a couple of rebids to the 9 Think there may have been a couple of rebids to the 9 Think there may have been a couple of rebids to the 9 Think there may have been a couple of rebids to the 9 Think there may have been a couple of rebids to the 9 Think there may have been a couple of rebids to the 9 Think there may have been a couple of rebids to the 9 Think there may have been a couple of rebids to the 9 Think there may have been a couple of rebids to the 9 Think there may have been a couple of rebids to the 9 Think there may have been a couple of rebids to the 9 Think there may have been a couple of rebids to the 9 Think there may have been a couple of rebids to the 9 Think there may have been a couple of rebids to the 9 Think there may have been a couple of rebids to the 9 Think there may have been a couple of rebids to the 9 Think there may have been a couple of rebids to the 9 Think there may have been a couple of rebids to the 9 Think there may have been a couple of rebids to the 9 Think there may have been a couple of rebids to the 9 Think there may have been a couple of rebids to the 9 Think there may have been a couple of rebids to the 	d to
 7 with JEA since 2002 or so, as their financial advisor. 8 I think there may have been a couple of rebids to the 8 take about a five-minute break. 	ed to
8 I think there may have been a couple of rebids to the 8 take about a five-minute break.	
9 contract and extensions, but I think the original 9 THE WITNESS: Okay.	
10contract was probably at least five years old.10MR. RUSSELL: Thank you.	
11 MR. BLODGETT: Mr. Mace, the date of this 11 (Recess taken.)	
12 meeting is the same date that the RFP was issued by 12 BY MR. RUSSELL:	
13 the City. Do you recall discussing the RFP with 13 Q I did want to take a few minutes and bac	k up
14 Melissa Dykes or any of the other attendees of that 14 and clarify the record as it relates to the specific	
15meeting on December 20th, 2017?15names of the two entities.	
16 THE WITNESS: No. I don't think we did because 16 Can you tell me, Michael, what work Public	ic
17 the meeting was maybe mid-day-ish because I was 17 Financial Management, Inc., did for the City of	
18 there working on it. And I don't think we saw that 18 Jacksonville between December of 2017 and Janua	ıry
19the RFP came out until later that day.192018 June excuse me. I can't read my own w	vriting.
20MR. BLODGETT: Do you recall ever discussing20June of 2018.	
21the RFP with any members of the JEA senior21MS. MADDOX: And I just want to just	and
22 leadership team, including Melissa Dykes, Ryan 22 I'll let Michael answer in a second, but just as	а
23Wannemacher?23clarification, again, we were transferring or has	d
24THE WITNESS: I don't recall specific24been, since 2016, transferring all of our finance	ial
25discussions, but I I can I'm pretty sure we25advisory contracts out of Public Financial	
Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc. Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.	
19	21
1 did discuss it. 1 Management, Inc., into PFM Financial Advisors	. And
2 MR. BLODGETT: Can you recall the general 2 I'm not certain if the contract for the City of	
3 subject matter of those discussions and when those 3 Jacksonville has been transferred over. And I	
4 discussions occurred? 4 know if Mike, you may know that. Certainly 5 THE WITNESS: 4 know if Mike, you may know that. Certainly	
5 THE WITNESS: I I expect that they occurred 5 free to answer if you do know that question.	sut
6 in the weeks following the 20th. And I think there 6 just the again, a clarification point there.	ш
 7 was general discussion about whether or not this RFP 8 related to the JEA and related to some of the 8 you can go ahead and answer that and we'l 	
	5, 11
 10 definitive meetings that were were called, 11 discussions that related specifically to the 11 A Yeah, our original contract with JEA 	was Public
12these RFP or RFP revision.12Financial Management, Inc. And then we did	
13 MR. BLODGETT: Did you come to understand that 13 contract, with their consent, to PFM Financial	0
14 the RFP related to the potential privatization of 14 when we did reorganize pursuant to the SEC	
15JEA?15independent financial advisors.	ights for
16THE WITNESS: No. I that was never made16So I don't I can't recall if at that ti	ne
17 clear to me that that was the purpose of it.17 the contract had already transitioned to PFM	
18 MR. BLODGETT: Do you know whether it was ever 18 Advisors, but either way, the entity that I wa	
19 used, that being the RFP, was ever used to explore 19 the group that I was in, the work we did for a	
20 the privatization of JEA? 20 general financial advisory work.	
THE WITNESS: Well, I think they they made a 21 So that would have been pretty much	anything
22 selection out of this RFP of the four firms. And I 22 related to the liability side of their balance sh	5 0
23 believe when JEA further wanted to have 23 when they did finance things, when they did	
24 informational discussions with certain firms that I 24 lines of credit, when they might have needed	
25 believe they the firms they spoke with were a 25 commentary on policies that financial policies	ies that
Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc. Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.	

1	22	1	24
1	they had for other financial activities.	1	materials that had been provided from JP Morgan
2	Q Okay. We were talking about December 20th, in	2	historically to JEA about financial modeling evaluation.
3	your time sheet, you had an entry about an evaluation of	3	And also it would have been further work on
4	JEA. And we learned, I think during this process,	4	building our initial model for the evaluation of of
5	Mr. Mace, that there was a member there was a	5	JEA.
6	meeting, excuse me, in Jacksonville, on December 21st,	6	Q So the information that J.P. Morgan was
7	2017, that was the day after the RFP was issued.	7	providing, you believe, related to modeling
8	Do you recall coming to Jacksonville and	8	information?
9	meeting with JEA personnel on that date?	9	A I don't think it was JP modeling information,
10	A I don't know. I think I was there for that	10	but it may have been the output of some of the
11	closing. I don't know whether that was the 20th or 21st	11	information from their own models.
12	or 22nd, but I do know that I did have a I was in	12	Q Okay. Do you know why J.P. Morgan was giving
13	Jacksonville when we had the initial discussion.	13	that information to JEA in early January of 2018?
14	Q Okay. Do you recall where you met?	14	A I I don't know for certain, but I would
15	A We were in the JEA office building.	15	assume it was as a result of this being a a topic
16	Q And who did you meet with?	16	that was raised at those public board meetings. And
17	A Well, that was the meeting with Melissa Dykes	17	then that JP would and then other investment banks,
18	and I believe it was Ryan Wannemacher as well.	18	typically on an unsolicited basis, would provide
19	Q Okay. If you look at your time sheet, which	19	information that they thought might be relevant to what
20	was Exhibit 5, I believe, you'll see a number of entries	20	a client was interested in.
21	on	21	Q Okay. Move down to the entry on January 18th,
22	A Is this still Exhibit 4?	22	2018. Do you see that entry, Mr. Mace?
23	Q Yes. Exhibit you have a number of	23	A Yes.
24	entries it's actually one on 12/21/17. It says,	24	Q And that entry says, Eval project banker
25	Board project discussion and materials review.	25	discussions and data review.
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
	23		25
1	Is that an entry for the meeting you had here	1	In that entry, what does project refer to?
2	in Jacksonville, on December 21, 2017?	2	A I think that would have been the eval project,
3	A I don't know if that was a meeting. I I'd	3	just that the project that we were working on with JEA
4	have to check my my records and schedule to see if I	4	generally.
5	was actually still in Jacksonville. I know I was in	5	Q Do you know who the well, it says an
6	Jacksonville for either/or both of those two days.	6	individual. Was it a single banker that was involved in
7	Q Okay. And what was discussed at those	7	this discussion or was it more than one banking firm?
8	meetings that meeting? Excuse me.	8	A I don't remember.
9	A Well, the the initial discussions we had, as	9	Q Okay. The entry on January 18th, do you know
10	I indicated, were for generally about the content of	10	if there were any City of Jacksonville employees
11	the any information for the board, who would be	11	participating in these discussions?
12	who would be the parties that would be involved. It	12	A I don't know. Oh, City of Jacksonville
13	was, to some extent, deciphering the comments and	13	Q Yes.
14	requests from those board meetings to try to figure out	14	A as opposed
15	the best way to to move forward and be responsive	15	Q Yes, sir. Mike Weinstein, Sam Mousa, Brian
16	to to what the board was looking for.	16	Hughes.
17	Q Okay. And if you go down on the same time	17	A Oh, I don't I don't remember.
18	sheet, which was, in fact, Exhibit 5, there's an entry	18	Q Okay. If you'll look, please, at the January
19	for January 3rd, 2018.	19	23 entry on the next page. It references meetings in
20	A Right.	20	Jacksonville on that date. Do you recall how many
21	Q And the entry says, Eval filing and info	21	meetings you had on January 23, 2018?
22	info from JP model build.	22	A 23 and 24 or
23	Can you tell me what that entry means?	23	Q 23 with 24 was you were still here in
n A	A I think that would have have a median of		
24	A I think that would have been a review of	24 25	Jacksonville on the 24th of January, both days?
24 25	A I think that would have been a review of various filings made by investor in utilities and Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.	24 25	 A I believe so. Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

1		1	
4	26		28
1	Q Okay. That was trying to let's talk about	1	Q And the meeting you mentioned with Mayor Curry,
2	the 23rd first.	2	I think it didn't happen prior to this meeting on the
3	Did you have multiple meetings in Jacksonville	3	23rd, it actually happened with the mayor and
4	on January 23rd, 2018?	4	representatives of Moelis the following day, on January
5	A I don't recall if we had two on the 23rd.	5	24th, 2018.
6	Q Okay.	6	MR. BLODGETT: It happened after the July
7	A I know I'm pretty sure we had one of them.	7	the meeting with JEA on January 23rd, 2018. But
8	Q Okay. Tell me about the meeting you do recall.	8	you did not attend that meeting between Moelis and
9	A I think that was a meeting in the morning with	9	Mayor Curry, correct?
10	representatives of a firm called Moelis, who was a	10	THE WITNESS: That's correct.
11	specialized financial advisory firm that deals largely	11	BY MR. RUSSELL:
12	in mergers and acquisitions.	12	Q Okay. Let's go to the 24th entry, January
13	Q Okay. And do you recall the substance of those	13	24th entry. And the entry says, Evaluate project
14	meetings? Can you tell me what was discussed? That	14	meeting in Jax, update outline and review market comps
15	meeting, excuse me, you said one meeting.	15	and filings.
16	A It was a combination of a of a presentation	16	Where was this meeting held?
17	of their credentials and a general discussion of the	17	A I believe this would have been in
18	components involved in consideration related to an asset	18	Jacksonville.
19	sale of the type of JEA.	19	Q Do you recall where in Jacksonville it was
20	Q Okay. And who attended this actual meeting on	20	held?
21	January 23rd, 2018, if you recall?	21	A No.
22	A I I can't recall for certain the names, but	22	Q Do you recall having meetings with JEA
23	I would assume that it would have been the JEA financial	23	personnel, about this time, near the airport in
24	staff, that's, like, Melissa, Ryan, potentially Joe	24	Jacksonville?
25	Orfano. I don't know if there were City people there or	25	A I think we had a meeting that was actually in
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
	27		29
1	not. I don't maybe Paul McElroy, but I don't know	1	the airport with them. And I I kind of confused the
2	for certain.	2	Feb 15 meetings, I think the Feb 15 meetings were at a
3	Q Was it do you recall recollect that it	3	hotel near the airport, but I I think we did have one
4	was only JEA employees that were at the meeting?	4	meeting where we actually had to go through airport
5	A I I don't remember whether there were any	5	security to get there or meet at airport security.
6	City people. I I think they had met that Moelis	6	Q Okay. The meetings on January 24th, 2018, do
7	had either stopped by or met with City people prior to	7	you recall if there were any representatives from the
8	that, before coming over, so I can't remember if any of	8	City at that meeting, the one that
9	those City folks joined in the actual meeting.	9	A I can't remember. I don't remember if there
10	Q Okay. At the meeting on the 23rd with Moelis	10	were or there were not.
11	and the JEA people, do you know, did was JEA, at that	11	Q Okay. Patrick Greive, do you know who that
12	time, a client of Moelis?	12	is?
13	A I don't believe they were.	13	A It's would he be referred to as Joey Greive
14	Q Then why was Moelis there?	14	or
15	A I think similar to what we talked about with	15	Q Yes, yes.
16	the J.P. Morgan information that they had submitted	16	A Okay. I think he worked for the the City in
17	to to JEA, that this would have been the case, that a	17	some capacity on the financial side.
18	number of firms in this business, on an unsolicited	18	Q And do you recall him being present at this
19	basis, would have wanted to talk to JEA about this,	19	meeting?
20	having seen the information about it in the media and	20	A No, I don't.
21	the board materials.	21	Q Okay. And you recall no City employees, Sam
22	Q So Moelis's role at this meeting was more of	22	Mousa or Mike Weinstein, being at this meeting?
23	the nature of a prospective JEA as a prospective	23	A I'd say it's very possible that they were, but
24	client of Moelis?	24	I don't remember who was there.
25	A That's my understanding.	25	Q Okay. Can you tell me, to the extent they were
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

1	30	1	32
1		1	
1	there, City employees, do you know why City employees	1	in in this selection process. I know they were
2	were at this meeting?	2	involved in the sending out of the RFP, but I don't know
3	A Well, if they were there, I I would assume	3	what the activities were related to the selection.
4	to get general information about the credentials of the	4	Q Okay. Let's look at Exhibit 9. Actually,
5	firms and their views on the potential sale of JEA, the	5	Exhibit 9, please. Should have a cover e-mail from Jody
6	process and considerations.	6	Brooks to you.
7	Q Okay. At this meeting at the airport on	7	A Okay. I have that up.
8	January 24th, were there consultants present also?	8	(Mace's Exhibit 9 was marked for
9	A Were there did you say consultants?	9	identification.)
10	Q Consultants, yes, sir.	10	Q Okay. And just to be clear, the name of the
11	A I I don't know. I don't remember that.	11	entity entering into this nondisclosure and
12	Q You don't recall Morgan Stanley representatives	12	confidentiality agreement that Jody Brooks, the chief
13	being present?	13	legal officer for JEA, sent to you. Do you know what
14	A Oh, well, I I think if this was a meeting	14	PFM entity that was?
15	with Morgan Stanley, then there would have been a few	15	A I don't think this was intended for PFM to
16	folks from Morgan Stanley, but I don't know if I would	16	execute this.
17	call them consultants in their capacity. I think they	17	Q Who was intended to execute this?
18	would probably be in a similar position as Moelis folks	18	A Right. I don't I don't think the purpose of
19	to present credentials and discuss a transaction.	19	her sending me this NDA was for PFM to enter the NDA
20	Q Okay. So their presence there was in	20	with the City. We were I believe we were going
21	connection with prospectively acquiring JEA as a client	21	around to various investment banks that they had
22	as an investment bank, to your understanding?	22	intended to meet with for the February 15 meetings,
23	A Correct.	23	trying to get them to send the NDA.
24	Q Okay. And, again, are you aware of any	24	So I was working with representatives of those
25	connection between this January 24, 2018, meeting and	25	various companies to get them to execute the NDA.
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
	31		33
	01		33
1	the earlier RFP that we discussed?	1	Q Okay. I understand.
1 2		1 2	Q Okay. I understand.And can you identify the companies that you
	the earlier RFP that we discussed?		Q Okay. I understand.
2 3 4	 the earlier RFP that we discussed? A No. I don't know if they were able to have that meeting as a function of that other RFP or or not. 	2	 Q Okay. I understand. And can you identify the companies that you were asking to sign NDAs? A I believe it was Morgan Stanley, J.P. Morgan
2 3 4 5	 the earlier RFP that we discussed? A No. I don't know if they were able to have that meeting as a function of that other RFP or or not. MR. RUSSELL: Okay. And then I'm going to skip 	2 3	 Q Okay. I understand. And can you identify the companies that you were asking to sign NDAs? A I believe it was Morgan Stanley, J.P. Morgan and Goldman Sachs.
2 3 4 5 6	the earlier RFP that we discussed? A No. I don't know if they were able to have that meeting as a function of that other RFP or or not. MR. RUSSELL: Okay. And then I'm going to skip 4, Terrie, and there will not be a 6 and 7. And the	2 3 4 5 6	 Q Okay. I understand. And can you identify the companies that you were asking to sign NDAs? A I believe it was Morgan Stanley, J.P. Morgan and Goldman Sachs. MR. BLODGETT: Mr. Mace, Joe Orfano stated that
2 3 4 5 6 7	 the earlier RFP that we discussed? A No. I don't know if they were able to have that meeting as a function of that other RFP or or not. MR. RUSSELL: Okay. And then I'm going to skip 4, Terrie, and there will not be a 6 and 7. And the next exhibit I want to use is Exhibit 8. 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q Okay. I understand. And can you identify the companies that you were asking to sign NDAs? A I believe it was Morgan Stanley, J.P. Morgan and Goldman Sachs. MR. BLODGETT: Mr. Mace, Joe Orfano stated that he chose those banks because they won the RFP. Is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the earlier RFP that we discussed? A No. I don't know if they were able to have that meeting as a function of that other RFP or or not. MR. RUSSELL: Okay. And then I'm going to skip 4, Terrie, and there will not be a 6 and 7. And the next exhibit I want to use is Exhibit 8. (Mace's Exhibit 8 was marked for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q Okay. I understand. And can you identify the companies that you were asking to sign NDAs? A I believe it was Morgan Stanley, J.P. Morgan and Goldman Sachs. MR. BLODGETT: Mr. Mace, Joe Orfano stated that he chose those banks because they won the RFP. Is that consistent with your knowledge?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the earlier RFP that we discussed? A No. I don't know if they were able to have that meeting as a function of that other RFP or or not. MR. RUSSELL: Okay. And then I'm going to skip 4, Terrie, and there will not be a 6 and 7. And the next exhibit I want to use is Exhibit 8. (Mace's Exhibit 8 was marked for identification.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q Okay. I understand. And can you identify the companies that you were asking to sign NDAs? A I believe it was Morgan Stanley, J.P. Morgan and Goldman Sachs. MR. BLODGETT: Mr. Mace, Joe Orfano stated that he chose those banks because they won the RFP. Is that consistent with your knowledge? THE WITNESS: I don't really remember how
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the earlier RFP that we discussed? A No. I don't know if they were able to have that meeting as a function of that other RFP or or not. MR. RUSSELL: Okay. And then I'm going to skip 4, Terrie, and there will not be a 6 and 7. And the next exhibit I want to use is Exhibit 8. (Mace's Exhibit 8 was marked for identification.) BY MR. RUSSELL: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q Okay. I understand. And can you identify the companies that you were asking to sign NDAs? A I believe it was Morgan Stanley, J.P. Morgan and Goldman Sachs. MR. BLODGETT: Mr. Mace, Joe Orfano stated that he chose those banks because they won the RFP. Is that consistent with your knowledge? THE WITNESS: I don't really remember how the how these banks were whether it was solely
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 the earlier RFP that we discussed? A No. I don't know if they were able to have that meeting as a function of that other RFP or or not. MR. RUSSELL: Okay. And then I'm going to skip 4, Terrie, and there will not be a 6 and 7. And the next exhibit I want to use is Exhibit 8. (Mace's Exhibit 8 was marked for identification.) BY MR. RUSSELL: Q And this letter, Mr. Mace, is a letter dated 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q Okay. I understand. And can you identify the companies that you were asking to sign NDAs? A I believe it was Morgan Stanley, J.P. Morgan and Goldman Sachs. MR. BLODGETT: Mr. Mace, Joe Orfano stated that he chose those banks because they won the RFP. Is that consistent with your knowledge? THE WITNESS: I don't really remember how the how these banks were whether it was solely as a function of that RFP or there was some other
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 the earlier RFP that we discussed? A No. I don't know if they were able to have that meeting as a function of that other RFP or or not. MR. RUSSELL: Okay. And then I'm going to skip 4, Terrie, and there will not be a 6 and 7. And the next exhibit I want to use is Exhibit 8. (Mace's Exhibit 8 was marked for identification.) BY MR. RUSSELL: Q And this letter, Mr. Mace, is a letter dated February 1, 2018, that Joey let's see if I can say 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q Okay. I understand. And can you identify the companies that you were asking to sign NDAs? A I believe it was Morgan Stanley, J.P. Morgan and Goldman Sachs. MR. BLODGETT: Mr. Mace, Joe Orfano stated that he chose those banks because they won the RFP. Is that consistent with your knowledge? THE WITNESS: I don't really remember how the how these banks were whether it was solely as a function of that RFP or there was some other process by which they were narrowed down from an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 the earlier RFP that we discussed? A No. I don't know if they were able to have that meeting as a function of that other RFP or or not. MR. RUSSELL: Okay. And then I'm going to skip 4, Terrie, and there will not be a 6 and 7. And the next exhibit I want to use is Exhibit 8. (Mace's Exhibit 8 was marked for identification.) BY MR. RUSSELL: Q And this letter, Mr. Mace, is a letter dated February 1, 2018, that Joey let's see if I can say his name right Patrick Joey Greive sent to Mike 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q Okay. I understand. And can you identify the companies that you were asking to sign NDAs? A I believe it was Morgan Stanley, J.P. Morgan and Goldman Sachs. MR. BLODGETT: Mr. Mace, Joe Orfano stated that he chose those banks because they won the RFP. Is that consistent with your knowledge? THE WITNESS: I don't really remember how the how these banks were whether it was solely as a function of that RFP or there was some other process by which they were narrowed down from an RFP.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 the earlier RFP that we discussed? A No. I don't know if they were able to have that meeting as a function of that other RFP or or not. MR. RUSSELL: Okay. And then I'm going to skip 4, Terrie, and there will not be a 6 and 7. And the next exhibit I want to use is Exhibit 8. (Mace's Exhibit 8 was marked for identification.) BY MR. RUSSELL: Q And this letter, Mr. Mace, is a letter dated February 1, 2018, that Joey let's see if I can say his name right Patrick Joey Greive sent to Mike Weinstein and identifies in the letter the four winners 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q Okay. I understand. And can you identify the companies that you were asking to sign NDAs? A I believe it was Morgan Stanley, J.P. Morgan and Goldman Sachs. MR. BLODGETT: Mr. Mace, Joe Orfano stated that he chose those banks because they won the RFP. Is that consistent with your knowledge? THE WITNESS: I don't really remember how the how these banks were whether it was solely as a function of that RFP or there was some other process by which they were narrowed down from an RFP. MR. BLODGETT: But it was Joe Orfano that made
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 the earlier RFP that we discussed? A No. I don't know if they were able to have that meeting as a function of that other RFP or or not. MR. RUSSELL: Okay. And then I'm going to skip 4, Terrie, and there will not be a 6 and 7. And the next exhibit I want to use is Exhibit 8. (Mace's Exhibit 8 was marked for identification.) BY MR. RUSSELL: Q And this letter, Mr. Mace, is a letter dated February 1, 2018, that Joey let's see if I can say his name right Patrick Joey Greive sent to Mike Weinstein and identifies in the letter the four winners of the City's RFP that was issued on January 17, 2017 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q Okay. I understand. And can you identify the companies that you were asking to sign NDAs? A I believe it was Morgan Stanley, J.P. Morgan and Goldman Sachs. MR. BLODGETT: Mr. Mace, Joe Orfano stated that he chose those banks because they won the RFP. Is that consistent with your knowledge? THE WITNESS: I don't really remember how the how these banks were whether it was solely as a function of that RFP or there was some other process by which they were narrowed down from an RFP. MR. BLODGETT: But it was Joe Orfano that made that selection of who would receive the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 the earlier RFP that we discussed? A No. I don't know if they were able to have that meeting as a function of that other RFP or or not. MR. RUSSELL: Okay. And then I'm going to skip 4, Terrie, and there will not be a 6 and 7. And the next exhibit I want to use is Exhibit 8. (Mace's Exhibit 8 was marked for identification.) BY MR. RUSSELL: Q And this letter, Mr. Mace, is a letter dated February 1, 2018, that Joey let's see if I can say his name right Patrick Joey Greive sent to Mike Weinstein and identifies in the letter the four winners of the City's RFP that was issued on January 17, 2017 yeah, December 27 December 20th, 2017, and it 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q Okay. I understand. And can you identify the companies that you were asking to sign NDAs? A I believe it was Morgan Stanley, J.P. Morgan and Goldman Sachs. MR. BLODGETT: Mr. Mace, Joe Orfano stated that he chose those banks because they won the RFP. Is that consistent with your knowledge? THE WITNESS: I don't really remember how the how these banks were whether it was solely as a function of that RFP or there was some other process by which they were narrowed down from an RFP. MR. BLODGETT: But it was Joe Orfano that made that selection of who would receive the nondisclosure agreements, correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 the earlier RFP that we discussed? A No. I don't know if they were able to have that meeting as a function of that other RFP or or not. MR. RUSSELL: Okay. And then I'm going to skip 4, Terrie, and there will not be a 6 and 7. And the next exhibit I want to use is Exhibit 8. (Mace's Exhibit 8 was marked for identification.) BY MR. RUSSELL: Q And this letter, Mr. Mace, is a letter dated February 1, 2018, that Joey let's see if I can say his name right Patrick Joey Greive sent to Mike Weinstein and identifies in the letter the four winners of the City's RFP that was issued on January 17, 2017 yeah, December 27 December 20th, 2017, and it identifies the entities that were awarded work pursuant 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q Okay. I understand. And can you identify the companies that you were asking to sign NDAs? A I believe it was Morgan Stanley, J.P. Morgan and Goldman Sachs. MR. BLODGETT: Mr. Mace, Joe Orfano stated that he chose those banks because they won the RFP. Is that consistent with your knowledge? THE WITNESS: I don't really remember how the how these banks were whether it was solely as a function of that RFP or there was some other process by which they were narrowed down from an RFP. MR. BLODGETT: But it was Joe Orfano that made that selection of who would receive the nondisclosure agreements, correct? THE WITNESS: I don't know if I don't know
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 the earlier RFP that we discussed? A No. I don't know if they were able to have that meeting as a function of that other RFP or or not. MR. RUSSELL: Okay. And then I'm going to skip 4, Terrie, and there will not be a 6 and 7. And the next exhibit I want to use is Exhibit 8. (Mace's Exhibit 8 was marked for identification.) BY MR. RUSSELL: Q And this letter, Mr. Mace, is a letter dated February 1, 2018, that Joey let's see if I can say his name right Patrick Joey Greive sent to Mike Weinstein and identifies in the letter the four winners of the City's RFP that was issued on January 17, 2017 yeah, December 27 December 20th, 2017, and it identifies the entities that were awarded work pursuant to that RFP. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q Okay. I understand. And can you identify the companies that you were asking to sign NDAs? A I believe it was Morgan Stanley, J.P. Morgan and Goldman Sachs. MR. BLODGETT: Mr. Mace, Joe Orfano stated that he chose those banks because they won the RFP. Is that consistent with your knowledge? THE WITNESS: I don't really remember how the how these banks were whether it was solely as a function of that RFP or there was some other process by which they were narrowed down from an RFP. MR. BLODGETT: But it was Joe Orfano that made that selection of who would receive the nondisclosure agreements, correct? THE WITNESS: I don't know if I don't know if Joe was responsible for the selection. I think
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 the earlier RFP that we discussed? A No. I don't know if they were able to have that meeting as a function of that other RFP or or not. MR. RUSSELL: Okay. And then I'm going to skip 4, Terrie, and there will not be a 6 and 7. And the next exhibit I want to use is Exhibit 8. (Mace's Exhibit 8 was marked for identification.) BY MR. RUSSELL: Q And this letter, Mr. Mace, is a letter dated February 1, 2018, that Joey let's see if I can say his name right Patrick Joey Greive sent to Mike Weinstein and identifies in the letter the four winners of the City's RFP that was issued on January 17, 2017 yeah, December 27 December 20th, 2017, and it identifies the entities that were awarded work pursuant to that RFP. Do you know who selected these four winners of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q Okay. I understand. And can you identify the companies that you were asking to sign NDAs? A I believe it was Morgan Stanley, J.P. Morgan and Goldman Sachs. MR. BLODGETT: Mr. Mace, Joe Orfano stated that he chose those banks because they won the RFP. Is that consistent with your knowledge? THE WITNESS: I don't really remember how the how these banks were whether it was solely as a function of that RFP or there was some other process by which they were narrowed down from an RFP. MR. BLODGETT: But it was Joe Orfano that made that selection of who would receive the nondisclosure agreements, correct? THE WITNESS: I don't know if I don't know if Joe was responsible for the selection. I think he may have communicated to me who they wanted to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 the earlier RFP that we discussed? A No. I don't know if they were able to have that meeting as a function of that other RFP or or not. MR. RUSSELL: Okay. And then I'm going to skip 4, Terrie, and there will not be a 6 and 7. And the next exhibit I want to use is Exhibit 8. (Mace's Exhibit 8 was marked for identification.) BY MR. RUSSELL: Q And this letter, Mr. Mace, is a letter dated February 1, 2018, that Joey let's see if I can say his name right Patrick Joey Greive sent to Mike Weinstein and identifies in the letter the four winners of the City's RFP that was issued on January 17, 2017 yeah, December 27 December 20th, 2017, and it identifies the entities that were awarded work pursuant to that RFP. Do you know who selected these four winners of the RFP? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q Okay. I understand. And can you identify the companies that you were asking to sign NDAs? A I believe it was Morgan Stanley, J.P. Morgan and Goldman Sachs. MR. BLODGETT: Mr. Mace, Joe Orfano stated that he chose those banks because they won the RFP. Is that consistent with your knowledge? THE WITNESS: I don't really remember how the how these banks were whether it was solely as a function of that RFP or there was some other process by which they were narrowed down from an RFP. MR. BLODGETT: But it was Joe Orfano that made that selection of who would receive the nondisclosure agreements, correct? THE WITNESS: I don't know if I don't know if Joe was responsible for the selection. I think he may have communicated to me who they wanted to execute the nondisclosure agreement with, but I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 the earlier RFP that we discussed? A No. I don't know if they were able to have that meeting as a function of that other RFP or or not. MR. RUSSELL: Okay. And then I'm going to skip 4, Terrie, and there will not be a 6 and 7. And the next exhibit I want to use is Exhibit 8. (Mace's Exhibit 8 was marked for identification.) BY MR. RUSSELL: Q And this letter, Mr. Mace, is a letter dated February 1, 2018, that Joey let's see if I can say his name right Patrick Joey Greive sent to Mike Weinstein and identifies in the letter the four winners of the City's RFP that was issued on January 17, 2017 yeah, December 27 December 20th, 2017, and it identifies the entities that were awarded work pursuant to that RFP. Do you know who selected these four winners of the RFP? A No. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q Okay. I understand. And can you identify the companies that you were asking to sign NDAs? A I believe it was Morgan Stanley, J.P. Morgan and Goldman Sachs. MR. BLODGETT: Mr. Mace, Joe Orfano stated that he chose those banks because they won the RFP. Is that consistent with your knowledge? THE WITNESS: I don't really remember how the how these banks were whether it was solely as a function of that RFP or there was some other process by which they were narrowed down from an RFP. MR. BLODGETT: But it was Joe Orfano that made that selection of who would receive the nondisclosure agreements, correct? THE WITNESS: I don't know if I don't know if Joe was responsible for the selection. I think he may have communicated to me who they wanted to execute the nondisclosure agreement with, but I I'm not aware of whether or not Joe made that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 the earlier RFP that we discussed? A No. I don't know if they were able to have that meeting as a function of that other RFP or or not. MR. RUSSELL: Okay. And then I'm going to skip 4, Terrie, and there will not be a 6 and 7. And the next exhibit I want to use is Exhibit 8. (Mace's Exhibit 8 was marked for identification.) BY MR. RUSSELL: Q And this letter, Mr. Mace, is a letter dated February 1, 2018, that Joey let's see if I can say his name right Patrick Joey Greive sent to Mike Weinstein and identifies in the letter the four winners of the City's RFP that was issued on January 17, 2017 yeah, December 27 December 20th, 2017, and it identifies the entities that were awarded work pursuant to that RFP. Do you know who selected these four winners of the RFP? A No. Q And are you aware if PFM, or any of its 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q Okay. I understand. And can you identify the companies that you were asking to sign NDAs? A I believe it was Morgan Stanley, J.P. Morgan and Goldman Sachs. MR. BLODGETT: Mr. Mace, Joe Orfano stated that he chose those banks because they won the RFP. Is that consistent with your knowledge? THE WITNESS: I don't really remember how the how these banks were whether it was solely as a function of that RFP or there was some other process by which they were narrowed down from an RFP. MR. BLODGETT: But it was Joe Orfano that made that selection of who would receive the nondisclosure agreements, correct? THE WITNESS: I don't know if I don't know if Joe was responsible for the selection. I think he may have communicated to me who they wanted to execute the nondisclosure agreement with, but I I'm not aware of whether or not Joe made that selection or or he was I don't know if he was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A No. I don't know if they were able to have that meeting as a function of that other RFP or or not. MR. RUSSELL: Okay. And then I'm going to skip 4, Terrie, and there will not be a 6 and 7. And the next exhibit I want to use is Exhibit 8. (Mace's Exhibit 8 was marked for identification.) BY MR. RUSSELL: Q And this letter, Mr. Mace, is a letter dated February 1, 2018, that Joey let's see if I can say his name right Patrick Joey Greive sent to Mike Weinstein and identifies in the letter the four winners of the City's RFP that was issued on January 17, 2017 yeah, December 27 December 20th, 2017, and it identifies the entities that were awarded work pursuant to that RFP. Do you know who selected these four winners of the RFP? A No. Q And are you aware if PFM, or any of its affiliates, had any input into the selection of these 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q Okay. I understand. And can you identify the companies that you were asking to sign NDAs? A I believe it was Morgan Stanley, J.P. Morgan and Goldman Sachs. MR. BLODGETT: Mr. Mace, Joe Orfano stated that he chose those banks because they won the RFP. Is that consistent with your knowledge? THE WITNESS: I don't really remember how the how these banks were whether it was solely as a function of that RFP or there was some other process by which they were narrowed down from an RFP. MR. BLODGETT: But it was Joe Orfano that made that selection of who would receive the nondisclosure agreements, correct? THE WITNESS: I don't know if I don't know if Joe was responsible for the selection. I think he may have communicated to me who they wanted to execute the nondisclosure agreement with, but I I'm not aware of whether or not Joe made that selection or or he was I don't know if he was even representing a selection of his when he asked
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A No. I don't know if they were able to have that meeting as a function of that other RFP or or not. MR. RUSSELL: Okay. And then I'm going to skip 4, Terrie, and there will not be a 6 and 7. And the next exhibit I want to use is Exhibit 8. (Mace's Exhibit 8 was marked for identification.) BY MR. RUSSELL: Q And this letter, Mr. Mace, is a letter dated February 1, 2018, that Joey let's see if I can say his name right Patrick Joey Greive sent to Mike Weinstein and identifies in the letter the four winners of the City's RFP that was issued on January 17, 2017 yeah, December 27 December 20th, 2017, and it identifies the entities that were awarded work pursuant to that RFP. Do you know who selected these four winners of the RFP? A No. Q And are you aware if PFM, or any of its affiliates, had any input into the selection of these winners of the RFP? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q Okay. I understand. And can you identify the companies that you were asking to sign NDAs? A I believe it was Morgan Stanley, J.P. Morgan and Goldman Sachs. MR. BLODGETT: Mr. Mace, Joe Orfano stated that he chose those banks because they won the RFP. Is that consistent with your knowledge? THE WITNESS: I don't really remember how the how these banks were whether it was solely as a function of that RFP or there was some other process by which they were narrowed down from an RFP. MR. BLODGETT: But it was Joe Orfano that made that selection of who would receive the nondisclosure agreements, correct? THE WITNESS: I don't know if I don't know if Joe was responsible for the selection. I think he may have communicated to me who they wanted to execute the nondisclosure agreement with, but I I'm not aware of whether or not Joe made that selection or or he was I don't know if he was even representing a selection of his when he asked me to or I don't think that Joe or Jody asked me
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A No. I don't know if they were able to have that meeting as a function of that other RFP or or not. MR. RUSSELL: Okay. And then I'm going to skip 4, Terrie, and there will not be a 6 and 7. And the next exhibit I want to use is Exhibit 8. (Mace's Exhibit 8 was marked for identification.) BY MR. RUSSELL: Q And this letter, Mr. Mace, is a letter dated February 1, 2018, that Joey let's see if I can say his name right Patrick Joey Greive sent to Mike Weinstein and identifies in the letter the four winners of the City's RFP that was issued on January 17, 2017 yeah, December 27 December 20th, 2017, and it identifies the entities that were awarded work pursuant to that RFP. Do you know who selected these four winners of the RFP? A No. Q And are you aware if PFM, or any of its affiliates, had any input into the selection of these 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q Okay. I understand. And can you identify the companies that you were asking to sign NDAs? A I believe it was Morgan Stanley, J.P. Morgan and Goldman Sachs. MR. BLODGETT: Mr. Mace, Joe Orfano stated that he chose those banks because they won the RFP. Is that consistent with your knowledge? THE WITNESS: I don't really remember how the how these banks were whether it was solely as a function of that RFP or there was some other process by which they were narrowed down from an RFP. MR. BLODGETT: But it was Joe Orfano that made that selection of who would receive the nondisclosure agreements, correct? THE WITNESS: I don't know if I don't know if Joe was responsible for the selection. I think he may have communicated to me who they wanted to execute the nondisclosure agreement with, but I I'm not aware of whether or not Joe made that selection or or he was I don't know if he was even representing a selection of his when he asked

r		1	
4	34	4	36
1	BY MR. RUSSELL:	1	Bank Evaluation Questions. It's one of the
2	Q And why would Joe or Jody be asking you to	2	attachments to that February 6, 2018, e-mail.
3	pursue obtaining these signatures?	3	THE WITNESS: I've got it up.
4	A Well, I work with these investment banks	4	MR. RUSSELL: It's the second attach okay.
5	frequently and I think what they wanted me to do was to	5	MR. BLODGETT: And just to provide some
6	reach out to them. And then within their firms, to try	6	context, Mr. Mace. My understanding is that after
7	to figure out who the right people were to execute the	7	you received signed nondisclosure agreements from
8	NDAs within the broader groups of people that were	8	Morgan Stanley, Goldman Sachs and J.P. Morgan, you
9	working or wanted to work with JEA. And that it would	9	sent that collection of attachments, a package, so
10	be kind of a centralized means of communicating with	10	to speak, to each of those to each of those
11	these banks and alleviate JEA of some of that that	11	investment banks after they signed the nondisclosure
12	activity.	12	agreements. Is that is that consistent with your
13	MR. BLODGETT: Mr. Mace, the template	13	recollection?
14	non-disclosure agreement that Jody sent you	14	THE WITNESS: That's correct.
15	references a transaction. What was the transaction	15	BY MR. RUSSELL:
16	being contemplated by that agreement?	16	Q And if you look at section 2A of that bank
17	THE WITNESS: I believe it would have been	17	evaluation questions. Question reads, Thoughts on the
18	the the general activity related to the asset	18	sale of the entire authority verses individual systems.
19	fail of of either all or parts of JEA.	19	Do you know why the consultants were only being
20	BY MR. RUSSELL:	20	asked to evaluate the sale of JEA as opposed to other
21	Q Just one moment, please. All right. All	21	options that could be used in connection with JEA?
22	right. I'm going to skip Exhibits 11 and 12 and go to	22	A I I don't know. I don't remember any
23	Exhibit 13, which is quite a volume of documents, but I	23	specific discussions related to getting the input from
24	only have a few questions about that, I believe.	24	this group, only on the sale as opposed to those other
25	The document at the beginning, cover document	25	alternatives. I think the expertise of this group and
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
	35		37
1	for Exhibit 13, Mr. Mace, is let me see which one it	1	what they focus on would have been more on a sale than,
2	is an e-mail from you to Grant Fraunfelder; is that	2	say, some of those other alternatives.
3	correct?	3	Q Do you know who actually prepared this bank
4	A This is 13-1?	4	evaluation questionnaire?
5	(Mace's Exhibit 13 was marked for	5	A I I believe it was that I provided a lot
6	identification.)	6	of the input for this.
7	Q Yeah, first page.	7	Q Okay.
8	A Okay. Okay. I have that e-mail up.	8	MR. BLODGETT: Do you recall who at JEA worked
9	Q Great. And in the e-mail, it references a	9	with you on preparing this document?
10	meeting on which was going to be held on February	10	THE WITNESS: That probably would have been Joe
11	15th, at noon.	11	and potentially Ryan, but they would have been
12	Do you know where that the e-mail goes on to	12	involved in this.
13	say the location to be provided. Where was this meeting	13	BY MR. RUSSELL:
14	actually held?	14	Q Okay. And 2(b) of this document states,
15	A I believe that was at a a hotel near the	15	Incorporation specifics with respect to managing a
16	airport.	16	public process.
17	Q Do you recall if it was the SpringHill Suites	17	Could you tell me what you meant by that
18	hotel?	18	statement, Mr. Mace?
19	A I I believe that was the case.	19	A I think it would be timeline considerations in
20	Q Okay. One of the attachments to the e-mail	20	relation to doing a a major asset sale like this that
21	is let me find it it's about three the large	21	would be governed by a public process and public records
1		22	availability as opposed to maybe the way they would
22	first document, Rating Agency Presentation, and right		
	first document, Rating Agency Presentation, and right after that is the document of part of this document I	23	typically be doing it for private companies and be able
22		23 24	typically be doing it for private companies and be able to do it in a a completely different environment.
22 23	after that is the document of part of this document I	23	
22 23 24	after that is the document of part of this document I want to refer to.	23 24	to do it in a a completely different environment.

	38		40
1	I understand what happened at this meeting we're talking	1	A Well, I think as you and most folks would be
2	about a brief overview of what occurred at the	2	aware, that JEA had this power purchase agreement with
3	meeting, what was said by whom and to who?	3	MEAG Power. And it was a fairly, as I would describe
4	A I I think this was a I recall it may be a	4	it, iron clad agreement and that they had a private
5	typical banker presentation where they would have	5	entity, a for-profit entity, if they were to purchase
6	focused on their credentials, other transactions they	6	JEA, that that would constitute what we, in the
7	had done. They would have been responsive to these	7	industry, call a change in use from from that of a
8	topics. I think they all provided some level of	8	municipal utility to a private for-profit utility. And
9	financial analysis as to what the economic potential was	9	that the assets that were financed to source this power
10	of selling an asset like this, who the potential	10	purchase agreement would no longer be eligible for tax
11	investors were and the time line, the considerations	11	exempt financing.
12	obstacles, those types of things.	12	So that the bulk of the financing associated
13	Q Okay. And from the comment at the bottom of	13	with the MEAG this portion of the MEAG project, would
14	the memo, my understanding is that each of the	14	have to be what we called remediated and somehow fixed
15	consultants separately met with JEA people.	15	such that you wouldn't violate tax rules related to this
16	A That's correct.	16	transaction.
17	Q And I don't believe I asked about this meeting,	17	And there are a lot of possible ways that this
18	were there people there, other than JEA employees, at	18	contract could have been handled. And it was a general
19	this meeting?	19	discussion as to what some of those alternatives were
20	 A I believe there were. Characterized and the second s	20	and how those alternatives might affect the sale price
21	Q Okay. Do you know who?	21	of JEA.
22 23	A There were representatives. I don't remember	22 23	Q Do you recall any of the specifics of the
23 24	the names	23 24	alternatives? A No. I don't. I I think that all of the
24 25	Q Okay.A of but I'm I'm pretty sure there were	24	A No, I don't. I I think that all of the firms viewed this as a very challenging issue to address
23	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.	25	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
	39		41
1	City people there.	1	at that stage without having very much information as to
2	MR. BLODGETT: Do you recall how many City	2	what some of the the options were, either
3	people were in attendance?	3	contractually or from a tax standpoint.
4	THE WITNESS: No, I don't.	4	Q Okay.
5	MR. BLODGETT: Do you recall that Sam Mousa was	5	A So I I'd say we had some fairly open-ended
6	present?	6	discussions that were nonconclusive at this point.
7	THE WITNESS: I I don't recall.	7	MR. BLODGETT: Mr. Mace, just following up on
8	MR. BLODGETT: Do you know who Sam Mousa is?	8	the February 15th, 2018, meeting, do you have an
9	THE WITNESS: I know who he is, that he worked	9	understanding as to why representatives of the City
10	for the City in some capacity, but I I don't know	10	of Jacksonville attended that meeting about the
11	that I've ever spoken to Sam.	11	disposition or potential disposition of JEA assets?
12	MR. BLODGETT: And the meeting we're talking	12	THE WITNESS: No, I don't. I didn't have any
13	about is the February 15th, 2018, meeting at	13	conversations with them about that.
14	SpringHill Suites near the Jacksonville Airport,	14	MR. BLODGETT: Do you know why that meeting was
15	correct?	15	held offsite at a non-JEA and non-City location?
16	THE WITNESS: That's correct.	16	THE WITNESS: No, I assume that one of the
17	BY MR. RUSSELL:	17	components of it was just the efficiency to have all
18 19	Q The entry on the document we're talking about,	18 19	those firms be able to come in and out and and be
20	Mr. Mace, 3(a), says, Recommendation on the disposition of the MEAG Power purchase agreement for Plant Vogtle,	20	able to do that effectively. MR. BLODGETT: Were there any handouts or
20	Units 3 and 4, quote, Project J, closed parentheses, so	20	presentation materials distributed to the City or
22	as to maximize potential sale proceeds.	22	JEA employees at that meeting?
23	Can you explain to me, from your understanding,	23	THE WITNESS: There were materials distributed.
24	what MEAG had to do with maximizing the potential sales	24	Typically in something like this that the firms will
25	proceeds from the sale of JEA?	25	give out information, they'll give out books and
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
	· · · ·		,

	42	1	44
1	they're numbered and then they'll collect the books	1	14, please.
2	when they leave. So there were materials that they	2	A All right.
3	use in the meetings, but they were they were not	3	Q You make the statement near the bottom of that
4	what I would call distribution.	4	page, it's the last sentence of the second to last
5	MR. BLODGETT: Okay.	5	paragraph, In nearly every system sale, the seller or
6	BY MR. RUSSELL:		
		6	state regulators impose conditions on the sale that are
7	Q And make sure I understand. The books that	7	designed to protect task ratepayers, employees and
8	were being passed out, they were being passed out by the	8	the community from excessive change and unattended
9	consultants and they were given to the JEA employees and	9	consequences from a new ownership structure.
10	the City employees who were at the meeting?	10	And if you turn over to the second page, I'm
11	A Yes.	11	not going to go through all of them, but you have
12	Q Okay. And did you get one, a booklet?	12	identified a number of the things that would be
13	A Yes.	13	implemented in connection with the prior statement.
14	Q Okay. And they collected, to your knowledge,	14	Could you go through the items listed on page
15	that booklet back from you?	15	15 and tell me, if you can, please, how the regulators
16	A Yes.	16	go through this list of potential items, conditions it
17	Q Okay.	17	could impose on a transaction and decide which ones are
18	MR. BLODGETT: So if you would look at Exhibit	18	going to be imposed?
19	16, is that the booklet you were referring to, at	19	A I think it would be unique to the circumstances
20	least the booklet from Morgan Stanley that was	20	of any one of those any one of the asset sales and
21	discussed at the February 15th, 2018, meeting? It's	21	what were primary concerns of of the constituents of
22	entitled Discussion Materials.	22	the ratepayers.
23	THE WITNESS: Right, that looks like it.	23	So I can't really speak to how individual
24	MR. BLODGETT: All right. Thanks.	24	commissions or regulatory bodies would prioritize
25		25	those.
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
	43		45
1	BY MR. RUSSELL:	1	Q Are you aware of what any of these potential
2	Q Mr. Mace, would you look and see if you can	2	restrictions or regulatory activities, were any of these
3	find Exhibit 14? It's a document called The Future of	3	yet focused on as being potentially applicable to JEA
4	JEA: Opportunities and Considerations.	4	and were the consultants or others talking about how to
5	A I have that one up.	5	deal with these conditions?
6	(Mace's Exhibit 14 was marked for	6	A Well, I think any these four were identified
7	identification.)	7	in in discussions that I've had with some of the JEA
8	Q And this was a document prepared by you,	8	folks in the preparation of this report as to what would
9	Mr. Mace?	9	be applicable to JEA, not meant to be an exhaustive list
10	A Yeah.	10	by any means, but certainly if you look at these,
11	Q And did you actually present this document to	11	between employees, rates, there was a lot of discussion
12	the city council on February 14th, 2018?	12	of the headquarter's location at the time and the
13	A I presented a PowerPoint summary of of this	13	community impacts and these were the ones that were
14	document.	14	felt to be applicable, at a minimum, to JEA and
15	Q Okay. Do you recall anybody else making a	15	Jacksonville.
16		16	Q Okay. So you told me something I didn't know,
	presentation to the city council on that February 14th		but it sounds right. All four of these were thought
	presentation to the city council on that February 14th,		
17	2018, meeting?	17	
18	A That day, I Paul McElroy and the the then	18	about specifically in the context of the JEA transaction
18 19	2018, meeting? A That day, I Paul McElroy and the the then board chair of JEA was also there. I think the mayor	18 19	about specifically in the context of the JEA transaction as being applicable to the JEA?
18 19 20	2018, meeting? A That day, I Paul McElroy and the the then board chair of JEA was also there. I think the mayor may have made an introductory address as well.	18 19 20	about specifically in the context of the JEA transaction as being applicable to the JEA? A Yes.
18 19 20 21	 2018, meeting? A That day, I Paul McElroy and the the then board chair of JEA was also there. I think the mayor may have made an introductory address as well. Q Was it the mayor made the introductory address 	18 19 20 21	 about specifically in the context of the JEA transaction as being applicable to the JEA? A Yes. Q Okay. If you would, please, turn to page 19 of
18 19 20 21 22	 2018, meeting? A That day, I Paul McElroy and the the then board chair of JEA was also there. I think the mayor may have made an introductory address as well. Q Was it the mayor made the introductory address introducing PFM and this report? 	18 19 20 21 22	 about specifically in the context of the JEA transaction as being applicable to the JEA? A Yes. Q Okay. If you would, please, turn to page 19 of this document. At the bottom of page 19, I've
18 19 20 21 22 23	 2018, meeting? A That day, I Paul McElroy and the the then board chair of JEA was also there. I think the mayor may have made an introductory address as well. Q Was it the mayor made the introductory address introducing PFM and this report? A I don't think he was introducing, I think he 	18 19 20 21 22 23	 about specifically in the context of the JEA transaction as being applicable to the JEA? A Yes. Q Okay. If you would, please, turn to page 19 of this document. At the bottom of page 19, I've highlighted beginning one, two, three, four lines up, it
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 2018, meeting? A That day, I Paul McElroy and the the then board chair of JEA was also there. I think the mayor may have made an introductory address as well. Q Was it the mayor made the introductory address introducing PFM and this report? A I don't think he was introducing, I think he was just making general comments. 	18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 about specifically in the context of the JEA transaction as being applicable to the JEA? A Yes. Q Okay. If you would, please, turn to page 19 of this document. At the bottom of page 19, I've highlighted beginning one, two, three, four lines up, it says in your report, The sale of JEA could produce
18 19 20 21 22 23	 2018, meeting? A That day, I Paul McElroy and the the then board chair of JEA was also there. I think the mayor may have made an introductory address as well. Q Was it the mayor made the introductory address introducing PFM and this report? A I don't think he was introducing, I think he 	18 19 20 21 22 23	 about specifically in the context of the JEA transaction as being applicable to the JEA? A Yes. Q Okay. If you would, please, turn to page 19 of this document. At the bottom of page 19, I've highlighted beginning one, two, three, four lines up, it

	46		48
1	City. If JEA and the city elected to use a portion of	1	different.
2	those proceeds to remediate the Vogtle contract for an	2	Actually, it was in connection with the
3	assumed 1.2 billion, then the net proceeds to the City	3	long-term incentive plan, senior leadership team was
4	could range from 2.9 billion to 6.4 billion.	4	using an increase in net value of JEA to measure what
5	Where did the number of the Vogtle contract	5	will be paid out to the senior executives as an
6	costs come from?	6	incentive. And in this context, could you explain to me
7	A That was, I believe, an estimation of the net	7	how net value would differ from fair market value, net
8	present value of the debt that was associated or was a	8	book value?
9	component of the power purchase agreement for the 20	9	A I I wasn't involved in in any of the
10	years that JEA would take the output of that facility	10	metrics or discussions of that incentive plan, so I
11	Q Did you	11	I wouldn't be able to answer that.
12	A or it was just the debt in the first 20	12	Q Okay.
13	years or first 20 years of payment on the debt and	13	MR. BLODGETT: Do you know generally the
14	present value at some rate and that was the debt that	14	difference between net book value and fair market
15	was outstanding at that time.	15	value, if there is any difference?
16	Q Okay. Did you make that calculation or was it	16	THE WITNESS: Well, to me, net book value would
17	given to you?	17	be what was on the balance sheet, the financial
18	A The PFM made that calculation.	18	statements; whereas, market value would be what
19	Q Okay. And in connection with the valuation	19	someone was willing to pay for an asset. So there
20	that you give in this summary paragraph, did you	20	could be a material difference between those two.
21	consider in the valuation the effects of technology	21	BY MR. RUSSELL:
22	disruptions in JEA's business going forward?	22	Q In the preparation of your report, Mr. Mace,
23	A There was no specific line item that we	23	did you consider net book value?
24	evaluated that was on technology disruption.	24	A The net book value was used as one of the
25	Q Was there a particular reason that that	25	methodologies to arrive at an evaluation. So there were
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
4	47 wasn't considered?		49
1	A Well, in in the evaluation of, say,	1	multiple ways in which people analyzed large asset sales and one of them is the sale price relative to book
3	discounted cash flow or looking at any of the various	3	value. And that that would I don't think anybody
4	methods that we employed to come up with that	4	would take that to be the most reliable metric.
5	evaluation, that technology disruption wouldn't have	5	MR. BLODGETT: Can you explain why it's not the
6	been a specific factor in any of those. I would say in	6	most reliable metric?
7	the discounted cash flow analysis, you could look at a	7	THE WITNESS: There's greater variability in
8	range of potential future sensitivity to various	8	that metric if you look at the score at sales. And
9	components, revenues, costs, those types of things and	9	that's more of an output result yardstick as opposed
10	it could be captured in there, but there was no specific	10	to what someone would really use to determine to
11	line item for technology disruption.	11	determine value, that would primarily be based on
12	Q All right. The report was prepared by you,	12	discounted cash flow as opposed to a multiple book
13	Mr. Mace, in February of 2018. From your preparation of	13	value.
14	this report and what information you looked at and	14	BY MR. RUSSELL:
15	knowledge you gained, do you believe over the next five	15	Q Okay. If you'd turn to page 21, please, of the
16	years, that is from February 2018 to February 2023,	16	report.
17	would JEA have increased in value or decreased in	17	A Okay.
18	value?	18	Q And in the second paragraph, under Local
19	A We were not asked to give an opinion on that.	19	Employment and Economic Impacts, you wrote, In almost
20	Q Do you have one?	20	every acquisition of a major utility company, there's an
21	A No.	21	expectation that the new combined enterprise will
22	Q Okay. In connection with the way in which	22	experience synergies and efficiencies that allow for
23	the sale of JEA was being evaluated by the senior	23	cost reductions.
24	leadership team, there came a point in time when the	24	Could you explain how you applied that concept
~-		~-	
25	well, let me back up and ask that question a little bit Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.	25	to your valuation of JEA, Mr. Mace? Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

1AThat would have been in the sensitivities on1getting his next exhibit ready, I just have one2the discounted cash flow model that there was a line2quick question.3item there for various levels of synergies that could be3To your knowledge, at the February 14th, 2018,4achieved and what amount of those synergies might be5retained by the new owner.56So there was no specific line item of number of6facilitate a potential privatization of JEA?7employees and employee costs.7THE WITNESS: No.8QOkay. But the value on what would be synergies8MR. BLODGETT: So you had no discussions abou9and efficiencies would depend on who the acquirer was to9legislation to be proposed at the February 14th,10some degree, wouldn't it, Mr. Mace?102018, meeting about JEA privatization?11Al agree.11THE WITNESS: No.12QOkay. And did you know who was the likely12BY MR. RUSSELL:13acquirer of JEA at the time you prepared this report?13QOkay. If I could ask you, please, keep14ANo.14Exhibit 14 in front of you, if you can, and look at1515QOkay. Did other entities or people help you16AI don't have an Exhibit 20.16prepare this report, Mr. Mace?16AI don't have an Exhibit 20.17AThere was one other person within PFM that18it just now. I think so.	t
 3 item there for various levels of synergies that could be 4 achieved and what amount of those synergies might be 5 retained by the new owner. 6 So there was no specific line item of number of 7 employees and employee costs. 8 Q Okay. But the value on what would be synergies 9 and efficiencies would depend on who the acquirer was to 9 and efficiencies would depend on who the acquirer was to 9 and efficiencies would di you know who was the likely 10 some degree, wouldn't it, Mr. Mace? 11 A I agree. 12 Q Okay. And did you know who was the likely 13 acquirer of JEA at the time you prepared this report? 14 A No. 15 Q Okay. Did other entities or people help you 16 prepare this report, Mr. Mace? 17 A There was one other person within PFM that 18 worked with me on the report. 	c
 3 item there for various levels of synergies that could be achieved and what amount of those synergies might be achieved and what amount of those synergies might be retained by the new owner. 6 So there was no specific line item of number of employees and employee costs. 8 Q Okay. But the value on what would be synergies and efficiencies would depend on who the acquirer was to some degree, wouldn't it, Mr. Mace? 11 A I agree. 12 Q Okay. And did you know who was the likely acquirer of JEA at the time you prepared this report? 14 A No. 15 Q Okay. Did other entities or people help you prepare this report, Mr. Mace? 16 A I don't have an Exhibit 20. 17 A There was one other person within PFM that 18 worked with me on the report. 3 To your knowledge, at the February 14th, 2018, meeting, are you aware of any evidence that emergency legislation was going to be proposed to facilitate a potential privatization of JEA? 7 THE WITNESS: No. 8 Q Okay. And did you know who was the likely 14 A No. 15 Q Okay. Did other entities or people help you prepare this report, Mr. Mace? 16 A I don't have an Exhibit 20. 17 A There was one other person within PFM that 18 worked with me on the report. 	C.
 4 achieved and what amount of those synergies might be 5 retained by the new owner. 6 So there was no specific line item of number of 7 employees and employee costs. 8 Q Okay. But the value on what would be synergies 9 and efficiencies would depend on who the acquirer was to 9 and efficiencies would depend on who the acquirer was to 9 and efficiencies would it it, Mr. Mace? 10 some degree, wouldn't it, Mr. Mace? 11 A I agree. 12 Q Okay. And did you know who was the likely 13 acquirer of JEA at the time you prepared this report? 14 A No. 15 Q Okay. Did other entities or people help you 16 prepare this report, Mr. Mace? 17 A There was one other person within PFM that 18 worked with me on the report. 4 meeting, are you aware of any evidence that 6 mergency legislation was going to be proposed to 6 facilitate a potential privatization of JEA? 7 THE WITNESS: No. 8 MR. BLODGETT: So you had no discussions abou 9 legislation to be proposed at the February 14th, 10 2018, meeting about JEA privatization? 11 THE WITNESS: No. 12 BY MR. RUSSELL: 13 Q Okay. If I could ask you, please, keep 14 Exhibit 14 in front of you, if you can, and look at 15 Exhibit 20. 16 A I don't have an Exhibit 20. 17 A There was one other person within PFM that 18 worked with me on the report. 	E
 5 retained by the new owner. 6 So there was no specific line item of number of 7 employees and employee costs. 8 Q Okay. But the value on what would be synergies 9 and efficiencies would depend on who the acquirer was to 9 and efficiencies would depend on who the acquirer was to 9 and efficiencies would here. 10 some degree, wouldn't it, Mr. Mace? 11 A I agree. 12 Q Okay. And did you know who was the likely 13 acquirer of JEA at the time you prepared this report? 14 A No. 15 Q Okay. Did other entities or people help you 16 prepare this report, Mr. Mace? 17 A There was one other person within PFM that 18 worked with me on the report. 5 emergency legislation was going to be proposed to 6 facilitate a potential privatization of JEA? 7 THE WITNESS: No. 8 MR. BLODGETT: So you had no discussions abou 9 legislation to be proposed at the February 14th, 10 2018, meeting about JEA privatization? 11 THE WITNESS: No. 12 BY MR. RUSSELL: 13 Q Okay. If I could ask you, please, keep 14 Exhibit 14 in front of you, if you can, and look at 15 Exhibit 20. 16 A I don't have an Exhibit 20. 17 A There was one other person within PFM that 18 worked with me on the report. 18 it just now. I think so. 	C .
 6 So there was no specific line item of number of 7 employees and employee costs. 8 Q Okay. But the value on what would be synergies 9 and efficiencies would depend on who the acquirer was to 9 and efficiencies would depend on who the acquirer was to 9 some degree, wouldn't it, Mr. Mace? 11 A I agree. 12 Q Okay. And did you know who was the likely 13 acquirer of JEA at the time you prepared this report? 14 A No. 15 Q Okay. Did other entities or people help you 16 prepare this report, Mr. Mace? 17 A There was one other person within PFM that 18 worked with me on the report. 6 facilitate a potential privatization of JEA? 7 THE WITNESS: No. 8 MR. BLODGETT: So you had no discussions abou 9 legislation to be proposed at the February 14th, 10 2018, meeting about JEA privatization? 11 THE WITNESS: No. 12 BY MR. RUSSELL: 13 Q Okay. If I could ask you, please, keep 14 Exhibit 14 in front of you, if you can, and look at 15 Exhibit 20. 16 A I don't have an Exhibit 20. 17 A There was one other person within PFM that 18 worked with me on the report. 18 it just now. I think so. 	t
 7 employees and employee costs. 8 Q Okay. But the value on what would be synergies 9 and efficiencies would depend on who the acquirer was to 10 some degree, wouldn't it, Mr. Mace? 11 A Lagree. 12 Q Okay. And did you know who was the likely 13 acquirer of JEA at the time you prepared this report? 14 A No. 15 Q Okay. Did other entities or people help you 16 prepare this report, Mr. Mace? 17 A There was one other person within PFM that 18 worked with me on the report. 7 THE WITNESS: No. 7 THE WITNESS: No. 8 MR. BLODGETT: So you had no discussions about legislation to be proposed at the February 14th, 10 2018, meeting about JEA privatization? 11 THE WITNESS: No. 12 BY MR. RUSSELL: 13 Q Okay. If I could ask you, please, keep 14 Exhibit 14 in front of you, if you can, and look at 15 Exhibit 20. 16 A I don't have an Exhibit 20. 17 A There was one other person within PFM that 18 worked with me on the report. 	t
 8 Q Okay. But the value on what would be synergies 9 and efficiencies would depend on who the acquirer was to 9 some degree, wouldn't it, Mr. Mace? 1 A I agree. 1 A I agree. 1 A I agree. 1 THE WITNESS: No. 12 Q Okay. And did you know who was the likely 13 acquirer of JEA at the time you prepared this report? 14 A No. 15 Q Okay. Did other entities or people help you 16 prepare this report, Mr. Mace? 17 A There was one other person within PFM that 18 worked with me on the report. 8 MR. BLODGETT: So you had no discussions about 9 legislation to be proposed at the February 14th, 10 2018, meeting about JEA privatization? 11 THE WITNESS: No. 12 BY MR. RUSSELL: 13 Q Okay. If I could ask you, please, keep 14 Exhibit 14 in front of you, if you can, and look at 15 Exhibit 20. 16 A I don't have an Exhibit 20. 17 Q Well, good. We decided we weren't going to use 18 it just now. I think so. 	t
 9 and efficiencies would depend on who the acquirer was to 10 some degree, wouldn't it, Mr. Mace? 11 A Lagree. 12 Q Okay. And did you know who was the likely 13 acquirer of JEA at the time you prepared this report? 14 A No. 15 Q Okay. Did other entities or people help you 16 prepare this report, Mr. Mace? 17 A There was one other person within PFM that 18 worked with me on the report. 9 legislation to be proposed at the February 14th, 10 2018, meeting about JEA privatization? 11 THE WITNESS: No. 12 BY MR. RUSSELL: 13 Q Okay. If I could ask you, please, keep 14 Exhibit 14 in front of you, if you can, and look at 15 Exhibit 20. 16 A L don't have an Exhibit 20. 17 Q Well, good. We decided we weren't going to use 18 it just now. I think so. 	
10some degree, wouldn't it, Mr. Mace?102018, meeting about JEA privatization?11AI agree.11THE WITNESS: No.12QOkay. And did you know who was the likely12BY MR. RUSSELL:13acquirer of JEA at the time you prepared this report?13QOkay. If I could ask you, please, keep14ANo.14Exhibit 14 in front of you, if you can, and look at15QOkay. Did other entities or people help you15Exhibit 20.16prepare this report, Mr. Mace?16AI don't have an Exhibit 20.17AThere was one other person within PFM that17QWell, good. We decided we weren't going to use18worked with me on the report.18it just now. I think so.	
11AI agree.11THE WITNESS: No.12QOkay. And did you know who was the likely12BY MR. RUSSELL:13acquirer of JEA at the time you prepared this report?13QOkay. If I could ask you, please, keep14ANo.14Exhibit 14 in front of you, if you can, and look at15QOkay. Did other entities or people help you15Exhibit 20.16prepare this report, Mr. Mace?16AI don't have an Exhibit 20.17AThere was one other person within PFM that17QWell, good. We decided we weren't going to use18worked with me on the report.18it just now. I think so.	
12QOkay. And did you know who was the likely12BY MR. RUSSELL:13acquirer of JEA at the time you prepared this report?13QOkay. If I could ask you, please, keep14ANo.14Exhibit 14 in front of you, if you can, and look at15QOkay. Did other entities or people help you15Exhibit 20.16prepare this report, Mr. Mace?16AI don't have an Exhibit 20.17AThere was one other person within PFM that17QWell, good. We decided we weren't going to use18worked with me on the report.18it just now. I think so.	
13acquirer of JEA at the time you prepared this report?13QOkay. If I could ask you, please, keep14ANo.14Exhibit 14 in front of you, if you can, and look at15QOkay. Did other entities or people help you15Exhibit 20.16prepare this report, Mr. Mace?16AI don't have an Exhibit 20.17AThere was one other person within PFM that17QWell, good. We decided we weren't going to use18worked with me on the report.18it just now. I think so.	
14ANo.14Exhibit 14 in front of you, if you can, and look at15QOkay. Did other entities or people help you15Exhibit 20.16prepare this report, Mr. Mace?16AI don't have an Exhibit 20.17AThere was one other person within PFM that17QWell, good. We decided we weren't going to use18worked with me on the report.18it just now. I think so.	
15QOkay. Did other entities or people help you15Exhibit 20.16prepare this report, Mr. Mace?16AI don't have an Exhibit 20.17AThere was one other person within PFM that17QWell, good. We decided we weren't going to use18worked with me on the report.18it just now. I think so.	
16prepare this report, Mr. Mace?16AI don't have an Exhibit 20.17AThere was one other person within PFM that17QWell, good. We decided we weren't going to use18worked with me on the report.18it just now. I think so.	
17AThere was one other person within PFM that17QWell, good. We decided we weren't going to use18worked with me on the report.18it just now. I think so.	
18 worked with me on the report. 18 it just now. I think so.	
20 A That was Alan Brock who works in our utility 20 12, you said?	
21 group. 21 MR. BLODGETT: Yeah, page 12, Exhibit 19. So	
22 Q Did J.P. Morgan or Morgan Stanley provide the 22 we're going to be looking at Exhibit 19 next. Do	
23 information that you used in preparing this report? 23 you have that, Mr. Mace?	
24 A I I believe that we asked them for some of 24 THE WITNESS: Yes.	
25 the to confirm some of the data of the comparable 25	
Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc. Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.	
51 51	3
1 transactions that we used. 1 BY MR. RUSSELL:	
2 Q Okay. Do you recall anybody else who assisted 2 Q All right. This is an e-mail that came from	
3 in the preparation of this report? 3 William Pedersen at Morgan Stanley to you, Michael?	
4 A No. 4 A Yes.	
5 Q Do you recall Mike Weinstein, the morning of 5 (Mace's Exhibit 19 was marked for	
6 the city council meeting, on February 14th, 2018, that 6 identification.)	
7 morning, that Mike Weinstein was making changes to this 7 Q And the person copied, Grant Fraunfelder, I	
8 report? 8 think we identified him as an employee of Morgan Stanley	
9 A I don't recall that. 9 earlier?	
10MR. BLODGETT: Do you recall ever having10AThat's correct.	
11 meetings with Michael Weinstein to discuss this 11 Q Okay. And then in the e-mail, Mr. Pedersen	
12report.12asked you about the assumptions that were used by Morga	n
13THE WITNESS: We had he attended the meeting13Stanley in its modeling; is that correct?	
14at JEA the morning of the presentation. And I also14ACorrect.	
15had, I believe, two phone calls with Melissa Dykes15QOkay. Did you have a call with William	
16that he was on to just generally go over the outline16Pedersen, I guess, the next day or Grant Fraunfelder on	
17content format of of the report.17the modeling assumptions used by Morgan Stanley?	
18 MR. BLODGETT: Do you know why Mr. Weinstein 18 A I believe I did.	
19was participating in those discussions?19QAnd tell me what you recall about that	
20THE WITNESS: I don't know why, but based on20discussion.	
21 the the input that he had, I would assume it was 21 A I I can't recall which assumptions that we	
in trying to help the report be understandable and 22 talked about, but I I it was probably just going	
23applicable to the the audience that was intended23through some of the the summary output of the m	
24for the report.24that they provided in some of their presentation	
25 MR. BLODGETT: Okay. Mr. Mace, while Lanny is 25 materials to try to get at some of the underlying	
Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc. Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.	

	54		56
1	assumptions. I it's possible that if there were	1	selected as financial advisor?
2	maybe outliers on some of the preliminary valuations	2	MR. BLODGETT: To clarify, it was Morgan
3	that they had proposed, then I would have tried to dig	3	Stanley selected.
4	into some of those numbers.	4	Q I said excuse me, Morgan Stanley.
5	MR. BLODGETT: Did that modeling relate to	5	A Well, I'm pretty sure it was Joe. I think it
6	generating a purchase price or value of JEA upon a	6	might have been Mr. Greive as well. There might have
7	sale?	7	been other people from JEA and potentially the City.
8	THE WITNESS: I'll call it an estimated value	8	don't recall who exactly was there, but I I remember
9	that the potential buyer might apply, so.	9	having a discussion afterwards.
10	MR. BLODGETT: Thank you.	10	Q Okay.
11	BY MR. RUSSELL:	11	MR. BLODGETT: Do you do you recall Joe
12	Q Do you recall participating in a meeting after	12	Orfano and Joey Greive being there?
13	the February 15th, 2018, meeting at the SpringHill	13	THE WITNESS: I I'm sure Joe was there. I
14	Suites near the airport? I guess that's it. Do you	14	believe Mr. Greive was there.
15	recall participating in a meeting after the February	15	BY MR. RUSSELL:
16	15th meeting at the Spring a second meeting at	16	Q Tell me what the criteria was used to select
17	SpringHill Suites?	17	the winning entity. Do you recall?
18	A At a later date?	18	A I I think it would have been the
19	Q Yes, sir.	19	responsiveness to the various questions that we covered,
20	A No.	20	credentials, which firms maybe were ready to move
21	MR. BLODGETT: So just to clarify, Joe Orfano	21	forward more quickly, just general capability of the
22	gave a statement saying that after the SpringHill	22	firms. Those all each of those three firms are
23	Suites meeting, Morgan Stanley was selected as the	23	industry leaders, widely recognized.
24	privatization advisor or financial advisor that	24	Q And just so I'm clear, you thought other people
25	would represent or provide services in connection	25	may have been at the meeting, but who actually
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
	55		57
1	with a potential JEA privatization.	1	participated in the selection of of Morgan Stanley?
2	Did you participate in a meeting where Morgan	2	A I think that the final three people that
3	Stanley was selected for those services?	3	were and mostly involved and, again, I don't know if
4	THE WITNESS: We after the meetings at the	4	there were other people in the meeting when we were
5	SpringHill Suites, I believe there were several of	5	going over the the results and impressions from the
6	us that went and discussed the performances,	6	meeting, but I I would say the three representatives
7	attributes and what had been covered by the three	7	would have been myself, Joe Orfano and Mr. Greive.
8	firms. And I believe it was there that there	8	MR. BLODGETT: And to clarify, you remember
9	appeared to be a consensus that that if the JEA	9	that meeting occurring at SpringHill Suites or did
10	moved forward, that Morgan Stanley would have been	10	it occur somewhere else?
11	the firm to use. BY MR. RUSSELL:	11 12	THE WITNESS: Well, I I believe it was
12 13		12	after it was later in the day, after the the
14	Q Okay. So now I get from Kevin's question, this	14	three meetings. I don't think we had a separate
14	process, as you just described, concerning the selection	14	meeting for that.
16	of Morgan Stanley, it happened on the same day as the	16	MR. BLODGETT: Was there a scoring matrix or
17	first SpringHill's meeting, on the 15th? A I believe that was the case.	17	grid that was used in connection with the evaluation of those candidates?
18	Q Later in the day, not the following day?	18	THE WITNESS: I think there was a a sheet
19	A Correct.	19	that we used that people made notes on. I don't
20	Q Okay. So I thought it happened on the next	20	remember that there was a specific grid that people
21	day.	21	filled out and and and weighed the numbers
22	A It it may have.	22	on.
	-	23	
23	Q Okay. The date isn't all that significant, but	23	MR. BLODGETT: Do vou still have vour notes
23 24	 Q Okay. The date isn't all that significant, but do you recall I think we had probably identified 	23	MR. BLODGETT: Do you still have your notes from that decision-making process?
24	do you recall I think we had probably identified	24	from that decision-making process?

	58	1	60
1	doubt, they would be in my office, which I haven't	1	A Other than the initial discussions, but in the
2	been back to in seven months.	2	prior year, no. But it it is possible that, I think,
3	MR. BLODGETT: Understood. Thank you.	3	there were a couple of times throughout when this other
4	MR. RUSSELL: Give us just a moment, I think we	4	process was going on in 2019, where PFM was asked to
5	may be ready to just about wind up. Let me go over	5	update the debt to pheasant's cost of JEA's total
6	that sheet with you, Kevin.	6	outstanding debt portfolio. And there may have been a
7	(Brief recess.)	7	couple times we were asked to do that and essentially
8	BY MR. RUSSELL:	8	provided the answer in the form of of a couple of
9	Q Just a few more questions. I think just one	9	large numbers.
10	more document. Do you have Exhibit 21, Mr. Mace?	10	Q Okay. But any of the meetings that went on in
11	THE WITNESS: I don't think so. Let me check.	11	connection with the preparation of Exhibit 21, you
12	No, I only go up to 19.	12	didn't attend any of those meetings?
13	MR. BLODGETT: Cheryl, could you forward him	13	A That's correct.
14	that? I think I sent it to you about a week ago.	14	Q Okay. The documents that we've looked at
15	And then we can ask the other questions in the	15	here today have referenced either the sale or
16	meantime.	16	privatization of JEA, do you recall ever considering
17	THE WITNESS: Was that one that was in the	17	any other option for JEA going forward, other than sale
18	original e-mail?	18	or privatization?
19	MS. MADDOX: Yeah, everything was shared as	19	A Not in the time frame that we were working on
20	far as both things that were located at the link and	20	this in late December and early early 2018 or may
20	the documents that were attached to the request	20	have been discussed. I think we might have made a
22	e-mail.	22	_
22		22	reference to potential other structures, but there was no evaluation of it at that time.
23	MR. BLODGETT: Yeah, so I sent you a follow-up. THE WITNESS: Just a second, I can find it.	23 24	
24 25		24 25	MR. BLODGETT: Did you ever explore a cooperative or IPO option for JEA?
23	MS. MADDOX: If you if you need also, and I	23	
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
1	59	1	61
1	don't know if, Kevin and Lanny, if you have the ability to do this, we can share a document on the	2	THE WITNESS: No, PF in the very next
2	screen, if we need.	2	scope, we did not look at those. MR. BLODGETT: So in 2018 and 2019, you never
4	THE WITNESS: Actually, I have 20 and 21, which	3	
4 5	were which were in the e-mail that Cheryl had	4 5	provided services relating to a cooperative or IPO?
6	forwarded to me as opposed to all the others were in	6	THE WITNESS: That's correct.
7	the ZIP file.	7	MR. RUSSELL: I think we've covered those.
8	MS. MADDOX: Right.	8	MR. BLODGETT: One second. Let us let us
9	MR. RUSSELL: Okay.	9	
10	MR. BLODGETT: Got it. Thank you.	10	just talk amongst ourselves. We may be done. We're off the record.
11	BY MR. RUSSELL:	11	(Brief recess taken.)
12	Q Exhibit 21 is labeled discussion materials,	12	BY MR. RUSSELL:
13	JEA, June 20, 2019.	13	Q Mr. Mace, do you recall ever speaking with any
14	Have you ever seen this document before,	14	of the potential purchasers of JEA?
15	Mr. Mace?	15	A No.
16	A Other than when Cheryl had forwarded it to me,	16	Q Okay.
17	no.	17	MR. RUSSELL: I think that's it. Thank you
18	(Mace's Exhibit 21 was marked for	18	very much for your time. And we didn't run much
19	identification.)	19	over what we agreed to, so. Close.
20	Q Okay. Do you you know if, in fact, J.P.	20	THE WITNESS: Okay. Sure.
20	Morgan was, in June 20, 2019, preparing a valuation of	20	MR. RUSSELL: Take care. It was nice talking
22	JEA?	21	with you.
22	A I don't know that.	22	THE WITNESS: All right. Bye.
20		23	THE WITHESS. AN HURL BYE.
24		24	MS MADDOX. Thank you
24 25	Q Did you ever have any privatization of JEA	24 25	MS. MADDOX: Thank you.
24 25		24 25	MS. MADDOX: Thank you. MR. RUSSELL: Thank you, Cheryl. Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

1		1	64
	62	1	ERRATA SHEET
1	MS. MADDOX: You're welcome. Bye-bye.	2	DO NOT WRITE ON TRANSCRIPT - ENTER CHANGES In Re: JACKSONVILLE CITY COUNCIL
2	(Witness excused.)	3	
3	(The interview was concluded at 12:09 p.m.)		
4	(4	INTERVIEW OF MICHAEL MACE
		5	TAKEN - October 19, 2020
5		6	PAGE NUMBER LINE NUMBER CHANGE/REASON
6		Ŭ	INCE NOTBER EINE NOTBER CHARGE/REASON
7		7	
8		8	
9		9	
10		9	
11		10	
		11	
12			
13		12	
14		13	
15		14	
16		14	
17		15	
		16	
18		47	under penalties of perjury, I declare that I have read
19		17	the foregoing document and that the facts stated in it are true.
20		18	
21		19	
22			Date MICHAEL MACE
23		20 21	
24		22	
25		23 24	
20		25	
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc. 63		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE		
23	STATE OF FLORIDA		
4	COUNTY OF DUVAL		
5 6	I, Terrie L. Cook, RPR, CRR, FPR, certify that I		
7	was authorized to and did stenographically report the deposition of MICHAEL MACE; that a review of the		
9	transcript was requested; and that the foregoing		
10 11	transcript, pages 1 through 64 is a true record of my stenographic notes.		
12			
13 14	I further certify that I am not a relative, employee, attorney, or counsel of any of the parties,		
15	nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties'		
16 17	attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action.		
18			
19 20	DATED on October 29, 2020.		
21			
22			
23			
24	Terrie L. Cook, RPR, CRR, FPR		
25	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		
	Λ		
	Juni & Cask		
	Never a look		

1	54:13, 60:20, 61:3	6	15:19, 15:20, 21:1,	10:25, 14:20, 40:9,
	2019 [4] - 59:13,		21:13, 21:18	41:11
1 [5] - 4:3, 8:21, 8:25,	59:21, 60:4, 61:3	6 [2] - 31:6, 36:2	advisory [6] - 8:6,	assign [1] - 21:12
31:12, 63:10	2020 [3] - 1:9, 63:19,	6.4 [1] - 46:4	8:14, 13:13, 20:25,	assignments [1] -
1.2 [1] - 46:3	64:5	64 [1] - 63:10	21:20, 26:11	16:19
10 [1] - 4:4	2023 [1] - 47:16		affect [1] - 40:20	assisted [1] - 51:2
10:37 [1] - 1:10	20th [5] - 18:15, 19:6,	7	affiliates [1] - 31:23	associated [2] -
11 [1] - 34:22	22:2, 22:11, 31:16		afterwards [1] - 56:9	40:12, 46:8
12 [4] - 4:5, 34:22,	21 [8] - 4:12, 23:2,	7 [1] - 31:6	Agency [1] - 35:22	Associates [1] - 1:21
52:20, 52:21	49:15, 58:10, 59:4,	7.6 [1] - 45:25	aggressive [1] - 6:2	assume [6] - 13:20,
12/20 [1] - 16:23	59:12, 59:18, 60:11	-	ago [3] - 13:25, 18:6,	24:15, 26:23, 30:3,
12/20/2017 [1] - 16:23	21st [2] - 22:6, 22:11	8	58:14	41:16, 51:21
12/21/17 [1] - 22:24	22nd [1] - 22:12	8 [5] - 4:3, 4:7, 9:18,	agree [1] - 50:11	assumed [1] - 46:3
12:09 [2] - 1:10, 62:3	23 [4] - 25:19, 25:21,	31:7, 31:8		assumptions [4] -
13 [4] - 4:9, 34:23,		31.7, 31.0	agreed [2] - 5:2, 61:19	
35:1, 35:5	25:22, 25:23	0	agreement [11] -	53:12, 53:17, 53:21,
13-1 [1] - 35:4	23rd [7] - 26:2, 26:4,	9	17:20, 18:1, 32:12,	54:1
14 [5] - 4:10, 43:3,	26:5, 26:21, 27:10,	9 [4] - 4:8, 32:4, 32:5,	33:20, 34:14, 34:16,	attach [1] - 36:4
43:6, 44:1, 52:14	28:3, 28:7	32:8	39:20, 40:2, 40:4,	attached [3] - 13:12,
14th [5] - 43:12, 43:16,	24 [3] - 25:22, 25:23,	904)354-4111 [1] -	40:10, 46:9	14:14, 58:21
	30:25	1:23	agreements [3] -	attachments [3] -
51:6, 52:3, 52:9	24th [6] - 25:24, 28:5,	904)791-9103 [1] -	33:16, 36:7, 36:12	35:20, 36:2, 36:9
15 [4] - 29:2, 32:22,	28:12, 28:13, 29:6,	1:23	ahead [3] - 5:15,	attend [3] - 9:3, 28:8,
44:15	30:8	9:35 [1] - 10:22	12:22, 21:8	60:12
15th [7] - 35:11, 39:13,	250 [1] - 6:18		Airport [1] - 39:14	attendance [1] - 39:3
41:8, 42:21, 54:13,	27 [1] - 31:16	Α	airport [8] - 28:23,	attended [4] - 9:2,
54:16, 55:16	28th [1] - 8:23	A	29:1, 29:3, 29:4,	26:20, 41:10, 51:13
16 [1] - 42:19	29 [1] - 63:19	a.m [1] - 1:10	29:5, 30:7, 35:16,	attendees [1] - 18:14
17 [2] - 4:6, 31:15	2A [1] - 36:16	ability [1] - 59:2	54:14	attorney [2] - 63:14,
1735 [1] - 2:8		able [6] - 11:1, 31:2,	Alan [1] - 50:20	63:16
18th [2] - 24:21, 25:9	3	37:23, 41:18, 41:19,	alleviate [1] - 34:11	attributes [1] - 55:7
19 [9] - 1:9, 4:11,	0	48:11	allow [1] - 49:22	audience [1] - 51:23
45:21, 45:22, 52:21,	3 [5] - 4:5, 12:22,	access [1] - 8:19	almost [1] - 49:19	authority [1] - 36:18
52:22, 53:5, 58:12,	12:25, 14:14, 39:21	accounting [1] - 8:2	alternatives [5] -	authorized [1] - 63:7
64:5	3(a [1] - 39:19	achieved [1] - 50:4	36:25, 37:2, 40:19,	availability [1] - 37:22
19103 [1] - 2:9	300 [1] - 6:18	acquirer [2] - 50:9,	40:20, 40:23	awarded [1] - 31:17
	31 [1] - 4:7	50:13	amount [2] - 11:9,	aware [8] - 14:18,
2	32 [1] - 4:8	acquiring [1] - 30:21	50:4	30:24, 31:22, 31:25,
0	32202 [3] - 1:12, 1:22,	acquisition [1] - 49:20	analysis [2] - 38:9,	33:21, 40:2, 45:1,
2 [3] - 4:4, 9:25, 10:3	2:5	acquisitions [1] -	47:7	52:4
2(b [1] - 37:14	3300 [2] - 1:12, 2:5	26:12	analyst [1] - 13:21	awareness [1] - 15:6
2.9 [1] - 46:4	345 [1] - 1:22	action [2] - 63:16,	analyzed [1] - 49:1	
20 [8] - 46:9, 46:12,	35 [1] - 4:9	63:17	answer [6] - 9:22,	В
46:13, 52:15, 52:16,	3rd [1] - 23:19	activities [3] - 22:1,	20:22, 21:5, 21:8,	
59:4, 59:13, 59:21		32:3, 45:2	48:11, 60:8	balance [2] - 21:22,
2002 [1] - 18:7	4	activity [2] - 34:12,	answered [1] - 10:23	48:17
2016 [1] - 20:24	A rot A-6 0-5 0-12	34:18	APPEARANCES [1] -	bank [3] - 30:22,
2017 [14] - 8:23, 10:11,	4 [9] - 4:6, 9:5, 9:13,	actual [2] - 26:20, 27:9	2:1	36:16, 37:3
14:1, 14:19, 15:15,	16:6, 16:21, 17:7,	address [3] - 40:25,	appeared [1] - 55:9	Bank [1] - 36:1
16:12, 16:24, 18:15,	22:22, 31:6, 39:21	43:20, 43:21	applicable [5] - 45:3,	banker [3] - 24:24,
20:18, 22:7, 23:2,	4.1 [1] - 45:25	advice [3] - 6:18, 6:21,	45:9, 45:14, 45:19,	25:6, 38:5
31:15, 31:16	43 [1] - 4:10	13:13	51:23	banking [1] - 25:7
2018 [28] - 16:12,	43rd [1] - 2:9		applied [1] - 49:24	banks [7] - 24:17,
20:19, 20:20, 23:19,	4th [1] - 10:11	advisor [13] - 6:22,	applied [1] - 49.24 apply [1] - 54:9	32:21, 33:7, 33:10,
24:13, 24:22, 25:21,		6:24, 7:14, 7:17, 8:13, 13:6, 13:7	approval [1] - 11:23	34:4, 34:11, 36:11
26:4, 26:21, 28:5,	5	8:13, 13:6, 13:7,		based [3] - 13:20,
28:7, 29:6, 30:25,	5 [4] - 3:7, 9:14, 22:20,	13:17, 17:22, 18:7,	arrive [1] - 48:25	49:11, 51:20
31:12, 36:2, 39:13,	23:18	54:24, 56:1	asset [9] - 10:15,	basis [2] - 24:18,
41:8, 42:21, 43:12,	53 [1] - 4:11	advisors [2] - 7:19,	11:23, 26:18, 34:18, 37:20, 38:10, 44:20	27:19
43:17, 47:13, 47:16,	59 [1] - 4:12	21:15	37:20, 38:10, 44:20,	became [1] - 15:12
51:6, 52:3, 52:10,	vv[i] →.1∠	Advisors [10] - 6:16,	48:19, 49:1	beginning [2] - 34:25,
		7:2, 7:19, 8:5, 8:12,	assets [5] - 10:17,	J J(),

45:23	32:12	CHANGES [1] - 64:2	Committee [1] - 2:2	45:4
behalf [4] - 2:2, 2:6,	brought [1] - 17:14	check [2] - 23:4, 58:11	communicated [1] -	contemplated [1] -
13:11, 14:2	build [1] - 23:22	Cheryl [6] - 2:7, 8:9,	33:19	34:16
beside [1] - 16:22	building [2] - 22:15,	58:13, 59:5, 59:16,	communicating [1] -	content [3] - 17:17,
best [1] - 23:15	24:4	61:25	34:10	23:10, 51:17
between [8] - 5:2, 6:6,	bulk [1] - 40:12	chief [1] - 32:12	community [2] - 44:8,	context [4] - 12:1,
20:18, 28:8, 30:25,	Busey [2] - 1:11, 2:4	chose [1] - 33:7	45:13	36:6, 45:18, 48:6
45:11, 48:14, 48:20	business [5] - 7:17,	circumstances [2] -	companies [4] - 9:20,	contract [13] - 17:22,
billion [5] - 45:25,	7:20, 8:14, 27:18,	10:19, 44:19	32:25, 33:2, 37:23	17:23, 18:2, 18:4,
46:3, 46:4	46:22	City [37] - 7:6, 9:15,	company [4] - 6:11,	18:9, 18:10, 21:2,
bit [1] - 47:25	buyer [1] - 54:9	13:7, 13:11, 14:3,	6:13, 7:5, 49:20	21:11, 21:13, 21:17,
BLODGETT [51] -	BY [26] - 3:7, 5:10,	14:4, 14:6, 14:19,	comparable [1] -	40:18, 46:2, 46:5
12:13, 12:20, 15:8,	8:16, 12:21, 15:17,	16:11, 18:13, 20:2,	50:25	contracts [1] - 20:25
15:14, 16:9, 16:16,	16:20, 20:12, 28:11,	20:17, 21:2, 25:10,	completely [1] - 37:24	contractually [1] -
18:11, 18:20, 19:2,	31:10, 34:1, 34:20,	25:12, 26:25, 27:6,	component [1] - 46:9	41:3
19:13, 19:18, 20:3,	36:15, 37:13, 39:17,	27:7, 27:9, 29:8,	components [4] -	control [1] - 10:16
28:6, 33:6, 33:14,	42:6, 43:1, 48:21,	29:16, 29:21, 30:1,	11:6, 26:18, 41:17,	conversations [1] -
34:13, 35:25, 36:5,	49:14, 52:12, 53:1,	32:20, 39:1, 39:2,	47:9	41:13
37:8, 39:2, 39:5,	54:11, 55:12, 56:15,	39:10, 41:9, 41:15,	comps [1] - 28:14	Cook [4] - 1:14, 5:17,
39:8, 39:12, 41:7,	58:8, 59:11, 61:12	41:21, 42:10, 46:1,	concept [1] - 49:24	63:6, 63:23
41:14, 41:20, 42:5,	bye [3] - 61:23, 62:1	46:3, 56:7	concerning [1] - 55:14	cooperative [2] -
42:18, 42:24, 48:13,	bye-bye [1] - 62:1	city [4] - 43:12, 43:16,	concerns [1] - 44:21	60:25, 61:4
49:5, 51:10, 51:18,	<u> </u>	46:1, 51:6	concluded [1] - 62:3	copied [1] - 53:7
51:25, 52:8, 52:21,	C	CITY [2] - 1:1, 64:2	conditions [3] - 44:6,	corporation [1] - 8:3
54:5, 54:10, 54:21,	calculation [2] -	City's [1] - 31:15	44:16, 45:5	correct [17] - 14:11,
56:2, 56:11, 57:8,	46:16, 46:18	clad [1] - 40:4	confidentiality [1] -	18:3, 28:9, 28:10,
57:15, 57:23, 58:3,	Calderone [3] - 13:2,	clarification [2] -	32:12	30:23, 33:16, 35:3,
58:13, 58:23, 59:10,	13:19	20:23, 21:6	confirm [2] - 10:7,	36:14, 38:16, 39:15,
60:24, 61:3, 61:8	candidates [1] - 57:17	clarifications [1] -	50:25	39:16, 53:10, 53:13,
Blodgett [3] - 2:3,	capability [1] - 56:21	21:9	confused [1] - 29:1	53:14, 55:19, 60:13,
5:14, 12:14	capacity [4] - 16:4,	clarify [4] - 20:14,	connected [1] - 63:16	61:6
board [14] - 8:22, 9:10,	29:17, 30:17, 39:10	54:21, 56:2, 57:8	connection [11] -	cost [2] - 49:23, 60:5
9:18, 14:24, 17:12, 17:13, 17:16, 19:9,	captured [1] - 47:10	clear [3] - 19:17,	16:12, 30:21, 30:25,	costs [3] - 46:6, 47:9,
23:11, 23:14, 23:16,	care [1] - 61:21	32:10, 56:24	36:21, 44:13, 46:19,	50:7
24:16, 27:21, 43:19	case [3] - 27:17,	client [4] - 24:20,	47:22, 48:2, 54:25,	council [3] - 43:12,
Board [2] - 16:25,	35:19, 55:17	27:12, 27:24, 30:21	57:16, 60:11	43:16, 51:6
22:25	cash [4] - 47:3, 47:7,	clients [1] - 7:23	consensus [1] - 55:9	COUNCIL [2] - 1:1,
bodies [1] - 44:24	49:12, 50:2	close [2] - 10:24,	consent [1] - 21:13	64:2
bond [3] - 10:12,	centralized [1] - 34:10	61:19	consequences [1] -	Counsel [1] - 2:8
10:14, 15:11	certain [6] - 17:4,	closed [1] - 39:21	44:9	COUNSEL [1] - 2:1
book [7] - 48:8, 48:14,	19:24, 21:2, 24:14,	closing [2] - 15:11,	consider [2] - 46:21,	counsel [3] - 5:3,
48:16, 48:23, 48:24,	26:22, 27:2	22:11	48:23	63:14, 63:16
49:2, 49:12	certainly [4] - 9:9,	colleague [1] - 5:13	consideration [1] -	COUNTY [1] - 63:4
booklet [4] - 42:12,	15:4, 21:4, 45:10	collect [1] - 42:1	26:18	couple [4] - 18:8,
42:15, 42:19, 42:20	CERTIFICATE [1] -	collected [1] - 42:14	Considerations [1] -	60:3, 60:7, 60:8
books [3] - 41:25,	63:1	collection [1] - 36:9	43:4	court [2] - 5:15, 5:16
42:1, 42:7	certify [2] - 63:6,	combination [2] -	considerations [4] -	cover [2] - 32:5, 34:25
bottom [3] - 38:13,	63:13	11:24, 26:16	10:14, 30:6, 37:19,	covered [4] - 15:1, 55:7, 56:19, 61:7
44:3, 45:22	chain [2] - 10:1, 10:5	combined [1] - 49:21	38:11	
break [1] - 20:8	chair [3] - 9:6, 9:10,	coming [3] - 7:16, 22:8, 27:8	considered [1] - 47:1	credentials [5] - 26:17, 30:4, 30:19,
Brian [1] - 25:15	43:19		considering [2] -	38:6, 56:20
brief [3] - 38:2, 58:7,	chair's [1] - 9:6	comment [1] - 38:13	14:20, 60:16	credit [1] - 21:24
61:11	challenging [1] -	commentary [1] -	consistent [2] - 33:8,	criteria [1] - 56:16
broad [2] - 17:22, 18:2	40:25	21:25	36:12	
broader [2] - 6:15,	change [2] - 40:7,	comments [4] - 14:23,	constituents [1] -	CRR [3] - 1:14, 63:6, 63:23
34:8	44:8	19:9, 23:13, 43:24	44:21	Curry [2] - 28:1, 28:9
broadly [1] - 15:5	CHANGE/REASON	commissions [1] -	constitute [1] - 40:6	Guiry [2] - 20.1, 20.9
Brock [1] - 50:20	[1] - 64:6	44:24	consultants [8] - 30:8,	
Brooks [2] - 32:6,	changes [1] - 51:7	COMMITTEE [2] - 1:2, 64:3	30:9, 30:10, 30:17, 36:10, 38:15, 42:0	
·····, ····,		04.3	36:19, 38:15, 42:9,	
		1	1	

D	Discuss [1] - 16:24	10:7, 10:21, 11:4,	11:21, 37:24	experience [2] -
<u> </u>	discussed [13] - 9:22,	12:15, 13:2, 13:10,	Esquire [3] - 2:3, 2:3,	11:13, 49:22
data [3] - 11:17, 24:25,	11:18, 15:5, 16:2,	15:12, 32:5, 35:2,	2:7	expertise [1] - 36:25
50:25	16:5, 17:1, 17:12,	35:8, 35:9, 35:12,	essentially [1] - 60:7	explain [4] - 39:23,
Date [1] - 64:19	23:7, 26:14, 31:1,	35:20, 36:2, 53:2,	estimated [1] - 54:8	48:6, 49:5, 49:24
date [6] - 18:11, 18:12,	42:21, 55:6, 60:21	53:11, 58:18, 58:22,	estimation [1] - 46:7	explore [2] - 19:19,
22:9, 25:20, 54:18,	discussing [2] -	59:5	Eval [2] - 23:21, 24:24	60:24
55:23	18:13, 18:20	e-mails [1] - 10:1	eval [1] - 25:2	extensions [1] - 18:9
DATE [1] - 1:9	Discussion [1] - 42:22	early [3] - 24:13, 60:20		
DATED [1] - 63:19	discussion [14] -	East [1] - 1:22	evaluate [3] - 9:18,	extent [2] - 23:13,
dated [2] - 17:24,	10:19, 16:4, 17:11,	Economic [1] - 49:19	11:19, 36:20	29:25
31:11			Evaluate [1] - 28:13	
David [1] - 16:14	17:17, 19:7, 22:13, 22:25, 25:7, 26:17,	economic [1] - 38:9	evaluated [2] - 46:24,	F
days [2] - 23:6, 25:24		effectively [1] - 41:19	47:23	facilitate [1] - 52:6
deal [1] - 45:5	40:19, 45:11, 53:20,	effects [1] - 46:21	evaluation [14] -	facility [1] - 46:10
deals [2] - 10:14,	56:9, 59:12	efficiencies [2] -	16:25, 17:15, 17:18,	fact [3] - 12:9, 23:18,
26:11	discussions [18] -	49:22, 50:9	17:21, 22:3, 24:2,	59:20
debt [6] - 46:8, 46:12,	17:6, 17:10, 18:25,	efficiency [1] - 41:17	24:4, 36:17, 37:4,	factor [1] - 47:6
46:13, 46:14, 60:5,	19:3, 19:4, 19:11,	efficiently [1] - 6:4	47:2, 47:5, 48:25,	facts [1] - 64:17
40:13, 40:14, 60:5, 60:6	19:24, 23:9, 24:25,	either [7] - 12:11,	57:16, 60:23	fail [1] - 34:19
December [13] -	25:11, 36:23, 41:6,	21:18, 27:7, 34:19,	Evaluation [1] - 36:1	fair [2] - 48:7, 48:14
	45:7, 48:10, 51:19,	41:2, 59:25, 60:15	evidence [2] - 11:10,	fairly [3] - 15:5, 40:3,
10:11, 14:1, 14:16,	52:8, 59:25, 60:1	either/or [1] - 23:6	52:4	41:5
14:19, 15:14, 18:15,	disposition [3] -	elected [1] - 46:1	exactly [1] - 56:8	familiar [1] - 13:23
20:18, 22:2, 22:6,	39:19, 41:11	eligible [1] - 40:10	Examination [1] - 1:13	far [1] - 58:20
23:2, 31:16, 60:20	disruption [3] - 46:24,	emergency [1] - 52:5	EXAMINATION [2] -	
decent [1] - 11:8	47:5, 47:11	emerging [1] - 9:19	3:7, 5:9	FAX [1] - 1:23 Feb [2] - 29:2
decide [1] - 44:17	disruptions [1] -	employed [1] - 47:4	excessive [1] - 44:8	
decided [3] - 7:15,	46:22	employee [4] - 50:7,	excuse [6] - 16:23,	February [17] - 31:12,
7:16, 52:17	distributed [2] -	53:8, 63:14, 63:15	20:19, 22:6, 23:8,	32:22, 35:10, 36:2,
deciphering [1] -	41:21, 41:23	employees [12] -	26:15, 56:4	39:13, 41:8, 42:21,
23:13	distribution [1] - 42:4	25:10, 27:4, 29:21,	excused [1] - 62:2	43:12, 43:16, 47:13,
decision [2] - 11:20,	DO [1] - 64:2	30:1, 38:18, 41:22,	execute [5] - 32:16,	47:16, 51:6, 52:3,
57:24	document [22] - 9:5,	42:9, 42:10, 44:7,	32:17, 32:25, 33:20,	52:9, 54:13, 54:15
decision-making [2] -	9:13, 9:25, 34:25,	45:11, 50:7	34:7	feedback [2] - 12:5,
11:20, 57:24	35:22, 35:23, 35:25,	Employment [1] -	executives [1] - 48:5	12:6 felt [1] - 45:14
declare [1] - 64:16	37:9, 37:14, 39:18,	49:19	exempt [2] - 10:18,	
decreased [1] - 47:17	43:3, 43:8, 43:11,	ended [2] - 6:3, 41:5	40:11	few [5] - 7:23, 20:13,
definitive [1] - 19:10	43:14, 43:25, 45:22,	enter [1] - 32:19	exhaustive [1] - 45:9	30:15, 34:24, 58:9
degree [1] - 50:10	58:10, 59:2, 59:14,	ENTER [1] - 64:2	Exhibit [45] - 4:3, 4:4,	figure [3] - 17:15,
deposition [1] - 63:8	64:17	entered [1] - 18:4	4:5, 4:6, 4:7, 4:8,	23:14, 34:7
describe [1] - 40:3	documents [3] -	entering [1] - 32:11	4:9, 4:10, 4:11, 4:12,	file [1] - 59:7
described [1] - 55:14	34:23, 58:21, 60:14	enterprise [1] - 49:21	8:21, 8:25, 9:25,	filing [1] - 23:21
designed [1] - 44:7	Dodd [1] - 7:13	entire [1] - 36:18	10:3, 12:22, 12:25,	filings [2] - 23:25,
determine [2] - 49:10,	Dodd-Frank [1] - 7:13	entities [6] - 6:15,	14:14, 16:6, 16:21,	28:15
49:11	done [7] - 6:3, 16:5,	7:20, 12:8, 20:15,	17:7, 22:20, 22:22,	filled [1] - 57:21
determining [1] -	17:16, 17:19, 18:2,	31:17, 50:15	23:18, 31:7, 31:8,	final [1] - 57:2
11:14	38:7, 61:9	entitled [1] - 42:22	32:4, 32:5, 32:8,	finance [1] - 21:23
differ [1] - 48:7	doubt [1] - 58:1	entity [13] - 6:8, 6:16,	34:23, 35:1, 35:5,	financed [1] - 40:9
difference [4] - 6:6,	down [3] - 23:17,	6:20, 7:6, 7:10, 7:21,	42:18, 43:3, 43:6,	Financial [27] - 6:7,
48:14, 48:15, 48:20	24:21, 33:12	8:5, 21:18, 32:11,	52:14, 52:15, 52:16,	6:8, 6:9, 6:11, 6:16,
different [3] - 12:3,	Drive [2] - 1:11, 2:4	32:14, 40:5, 56:17	52:21, 52:22, 53:5,	7:2, 7:8, 7:9, 7:17,
37:24, 48:1	during [1] - 22:4	entries [2] - 22:20,	58:10, 59:12, 59:18,	7:18, 7:22, 7:24, 7:25, 8:4, 8:5, 8:10
dig [1] - 54:3	DUVAL [1] - 63:4	22:24	60:11	7:25, 8:4, 8:5, 8:10,
DIRECT [2] - 3:7, 5:9	Dykes [5] - 17:3,	entry [17] - 9:16,	exhibit [3] - 22:23,	8:12, 13:10, 15:19, 15:20, 16:11, 20:17
director [1] - 16:15	18:14, 18:22, 22:17,	16:22, 22:3, 23:1,	31:7, 52:1	15:20, 16:11, 20:17, 20:25, 21:1, 21:12
disclosure [1] - 34:14	51:15	23:18, 23:21, 23:23,	exhibits [1] - 8:17	20:25, 21:1, 21:12, 21:13, 21:17
discounted [4] - 47:3,	–	24:21, 24:22, 24:24,	Exhibits [1] - 34:22	
47:7, 49:12, 50:2	E	25:1, 25:9, 25:19,	expect [1] - 19:5	financial [24] - 6:18,
discuss [4] - 15:24,	e-mail [20] - 10:5,	28:12, 28:13, 39:18	expectation [2] -	6:23, 7:17, 8:13, 13:6, 13:7, 13:13,
19:1, 30:19, 51:11		environment [2] -	10:16, 49:21	10.0, 10.7, 10.10,

			1	
13:16, 17:21, 17:22,	FPR [3] - 1:14, 63:6,	highlighted [2] - 9:13,	47:14, 50:23	27:16, 33:4, 36:8,
18:7, 20:24, 21:15,	63:23	45:23		
			informational [1] -	50:22, 55:25, 59:20,
21:20, 21:25, 22:1,	frame [1] - 60:19	historically [1] - 24:2	19:24	59:25
24:2, 26:11, 26:23,	Frank [1] - 7:13	hold [1] - 8:1	initial [7] - 6:10, 17:6,	JACKSONVILLE [2] -
29:17, 38:9, 48:17,	Fraunfelder [3] - 35:2,	hotel [3] - 29:3, 35:15,	17:11, 22:13, 23:9,	1:1, 64:2
54:24, 56:1	53:7, 53:16	35:18	24:4, 60:1	Jacksonville [28] -
financially [1] - 63:17	free [1] - 21:5	Hughes [1] - 25:16	initiatives [1] - 13:13	1:12, 1:22, 2:5, 7:7,
financing [4] - 10:15,	frequently [1] - 34:5	Hulsey [2] - 1:11, 2:4	input [5] - 14:8, 31:23,	13:8, 13:11, 14:3,
10:18, 40:11, 40:12	front [2] - 8:18, 52:14		36:23, 37:6, 51:21	14:4, 14:19, 20:18,
firm [4] - 25:7, 26:10,	full [1] - 8:8		intended [4] - 32:15,	21:3, 22:6, 22:8,
26:11, 55:11	function [2] - 31:3,	•	32:17, 32:22, 51:23	22:13, 23:2, 23:5,
firms [14] - 19:22,	33:11	IDENTIFICATION [1] -	intent [1] - 15:4	23:6, 25:10, 25:12,
19:24, 19:25, 20:1,	future [1] - 47:8	4:2	interested [2] - 24:20,	25:20, 25:24, 26:3,
27:18, 30:5, 34:6,	Future [1] - 43:3	identification [10] -	63:17	28:18, 28:19, 28:24,
40:25, 41:18, 41:24,	Future [1] - 43.5	9:1, 10:4, 13:1, 17:8,		39:14, 41:10, 45:15
55:8, 56:20, 56:22		31:9, 32:9, 35:6,	interrogation [1] -	January [17] - 20:18,
first [8] - 10:5, 16:1,	G	43:7, 53:6, 59:19	5:25	23:19, 24:13, 24:21,
	gained [1] - 47:15	identified [4] - 44:12,	interview [4] - 5:4,	
26:2, 35:7, 35:22,	General [1] - 2:8	45:6, 53:8, 55:24	5:21, 6:1, 62:3	25:9, 25:18, 25:21,
46:12, 46:13, 55:16		identifies [2] - 31:14,	INTERVIEW [2] - 1:5,	25:24, 26:4, 26:21,
fits [1] - 9:19	general [10] - 19:2,	31:17	64:4	28:4, 28:7, 28:12,
five [5] - 11:5, 18:6,	19:7, 20:5, 21:20,		introducing [2] -	29:6, 30:8, 30:25,
18:10, 20:8, 47:15	26:17, 30:4, 34:18,	identify [1] - 33:2	43:22, 43:23	31:15
five-minute [1] - 20:8	40:18, 43:24, 56:21	Impacts [1] - 49:19	introductory [2] -	Jax [1] - 28:14
fixed [1] - 40:14	generally [4] - 23:10,	impacts [1] - 45:13	43:20, 43:21	JEA [100] - 1:2, 7:1,
Floor [1] - 2:9	25:4, 48:13, 51:16	implemented [1] -	investigation [1] -	7:2, 8:7, 8:22, 9:14,
FLORIDA [1] - 63:3	generating [1] - 54:6	44:13	5:22	9:15, 9:17, 9:18,
Florida [4] - 1:12,	given [2] - 42:9, 46:17	impose [2] - 44:6,	INVESTIGATORY [2] -	9:19, 10:9, 12:2,
1:22, 2:5, 13:11	Goldman [2] - 33:5,	44:17	1:2, 64:3	12:7, 12:16, 14:23,
	36:8	imposed [1] - 44:18		15:2, 15:7, 15:10,
flow [4] - 47:3, 47:7,	governed [1] - 37:21	impressions [1] - 57:5	Investigatory [1] - 2:2	15:24, 15:25, 16:5,
49:12, 50:2	governments [1] -	in-shared [1] - 8:2	investment [7] - 6:21,	16:25, 17:21, 18:5,
focus [1] - 37:1	6:19	Inc [16] - 6:7, 6:8,	6:22, 24:17, 30:22,	18:7, 18:21, 19:8,
focused [2] - 38:6,		•••	32:21, 34:4, 36:11	19:15, 19:20, 19:23,
45:3	Grant [3] - 35:2, 53:7,	6:11, 7:8, 7:10, 7:18,	investor [2] - 11:22,	
focuses [1] - 12:15	53:16	7:22, 7:25, 8:4, 8:10,	23:25	21:11, 21:19, 22:4,
folks [6] - 12:2, 27:9,	great [1] - 35:9	13:10, 16:11, 20:17,	investors [1] - 38:11	22:9, 22:15, 24:2,
30:16, 30:18, 40:1,	greater [1] - 49:7	21:1, 21:12	involved [10] - 14:5,	24:5, 24:13, 25:3,
45:8	Greive [7] - 29:11,	incentive [3] - 48:3,	14:16, 17:19, 23:12,	26:19, 26:23, 27:4,
follow [2] - 21:9, 58:23	29:13, 31:13, 56:6,	48:6, 48:10	25:6, 26:18, 32:2,	27:11, 27:17, 27:19,
follow-up [2] - 21:9,	56:12, 56:14, 57:7	including [1] - 18:22	37:12, 48:9, 57:3	27:23, 28:7, 28:22,
58:23	grid [2] - 57:16, 57:20	Incorporation [1] -	involvement [1] -	30:5, 30:21, 32:13,
following [4] - 19:6,	group [7] - 6:17, 6:24,	37:15	31:25	34:9, 34:11, 34:19,
28:4, 41:7, 55:18	6:25, 21:19, 36:24,	increase [1] - 48:4	IPO [2] - 60:25, 61:5	36:20, 36:21, 37:8,
	36:25, 50:21	increased [1] - 47:17		38:15, 38:18, 39:25,
follows [1] - 5:8	groups [1] - 34:8	Independent [2] -	IRMA [1] - 6:23	40:2, 40:6, 40:21,
FOR [1] - 4:2	guess [4] - 9:21, 17:5,	1:11, 2:4	iron [1] - 40:4	41:11, 41:15, 41:22,
for-profit [2] - 40:5,	53:16, 54:14	independent [2] -	ish [1] - 18:17	42:9, 43:4, 43:19,
40:8	55.10, 54.14	14:18, 21:15	issue [2] - 17:1, 40:25	45:3, 45:7, 45:9,
foregoing [2] - 63:9,			issued [4] - 14:2,	45:14, 45:18, 45:19,
64:17	н	indicated [2] - 13:16,	18:12, 22:7, 31:15	45:24, 46:1, 46:10,
form [1] - 60:8	handled [1] - 40:18	23:10	issuing [2] - 14:4,	47:17, 47:23, 48:4,
format [1] - 51:17	handouts [1] - 41:20	individual [3] - 25:6,	15:21	49:25, 50:13, 51:14,
Forsyth [1] - 1:22	headquarter's [1] -	36:18, 44:23	it'll [2] - 5:21, 6:2	52:6, 52:10, 54:6,
forth [1] - 8:3	45:12	industry [3] - 15:5,	item [4] - 46:23, 47:11,	55:1, 55:9, 56:7,
forward [6] - 23:15,		40:7, 56:23	50:3, 50:6	
46:22, 55:10, 56:21,	Hedquist [1] - 1:21	info [2] - 23:21, 23:22	items [3] - 9:15, 44:14,	59:13, 59:22, 59:24,
58:13, 60:17	held [5] - 28:16, 28:20,	information [18] - 9:5,	44:16	60:16, 60:17, 60:25,
forwarded [2] - 59:6,	35:10, 35:14, 41:15	12:4, 12:8, 17:18,	itself [2] - 14:4, 14:6	61:14, 64:3
59:16	help [3] - 6:6, 50:15,	23:11, 24:6, 24:8,		JEA's [3] - 10:25,
four [6] - 19:22, 31:14,	51:22	24:9, 24:11, 24:13,	1	46:22, 60:5
1001 101 - 19.22. 31.14.	Libeleful (c) E-04		J	Jeremy [5] - 13:3,
	helpful [1] - 5:21	24:19, 27:16, 27:20,	v	
31:19, 45:6, 45:17,	helping [1] - 5:14			13:4, 13:14, 13:21,
		24:19, 27:16, 27:20, 30:4, 41:1, 41:25,	J.P [9] - 24:6, 24:12,	

16:13	levels [1] - 50:3	20:21, 58:19, 58:25,	measure [1] - 48:4	20:22, 51:11, 53:3
Jody [5] - 32:5, 32:12,	liability [1] - 21:22	59:8, 61:24, 62:1	media [1] - 27:20	mid [1] - 18:17
33:24, 34:2, 34:14	likely [1] - 50:12	Maddox [1] - 2:7	meet [3] - 22:16, 29:5,	mid-day-ish [1] -
Joe [14] - 10:6, 26:24,	limited [1] - 6:2	mail [20] - 10:5, 10:7,	32:22	18:17
33:6, 33:14, 33:18,	line [6] - 7:20, 38:11,	10:21, 11:4, 12:15,	meeting [74] - 8:22,	might [10] - 16:2,
33:21, 33:24, 34:2,	46:23, 47:11, 50:2,	13:2, 13:10, 15:12,	9:2, 9:3, 9:7, 14:24,	17:19, 21:24, 24:19,
37:10, 54:21, 56:5,	50:6	32:5, 35:2, 35:8,	17:13, 17:14, 18:12,	40:20, 50:4, 54:9,
56:11, 56:13, 57:7	LINE [1] - 64:6	35:9, 35:12, 35:20,	18:15, 18:17, 22:6,	56:6, 60:21
Joey [4] - 29:13,	lines [2] - 21:24, 45:23	36:2, 53:2, 53:11,	22:9, 22:17, 23:1,	Mike [7] - 5:25, 21:4,
31:12, 31:13, 56:12	link [1] - 58:20	58:18, 58:22, 59:5	23:3, 23:8, 26:8,	25:15, 29:22, 31:13,
joined [1] - 27:9	list [2] - 44:16, 45:9	mails [1] - 10:1	26:9, 26:15, 26:20,	51:5, 51:7
JP [4] - 23:22, 24:1,	listed [1] - 44:14	maintaining [1] -	27:4, 27:9, 27:10,	minimum [1] - 45:14
24:9, 24:17	LLC [3] - 8:12, 15:19,	10:16	27:22, 28:1, 28:2,	minute [1] - 20:8
July [1] - 28:6	15:20	major [2] - 37:20,	28:7, 28:8, 28:14,	minutes [4] - 8:22,
June [4] - 20:19,	Local [1] - 49:18	49:20	28:16, 28:25, 29:4,	9:16, 11:2, 20:13
20:20, 59:13, 59:21		Management [16] -	29:8, 29:19, 29:22,	model [4] - 23:22,
20.20, 00.10, 00.21	local [1] - 6:19	6:7, 6:8, 6:11, 7:8,	30:2, 30:7, 30:14,	24:4, 50:2, 53:23
К	located [1] - 58:20	7:10, 7:18, 7:22,	30:25, 31:3, 35:10,	modeling [6] - 24:2,
	LOCATION [1] - 1:11	7:24, 7:25, 8:4, 8:10,	35:13, 38:1, 38:3,	24:7, 24:9, 53:13,
keep [1] - 52:13	location [3] - 35:13,	13:10, 16:11, 20:17,	38:17, 38:19, 39:12,	53:17, 54:5
kept [1] - 16:18	41:15, 45:12	21:1, 21:12	39:13, 41:8, 41:10,	models [1] - 24:11
Kevin [6] - 2:3, 5:14,	long-term [1] - 48:3	management [2] - 7:5,	41:14, 41:22, 42:10,	Moelis [9] - 26:10,
12:13, 16:8, 58:6,	look [16] - 11:3, 11:19,	8:11	42:21, 43:17, 51:6,	27:6, 27:10, 27:12,
59:1	11:22, 12:22, 16:6,	managing [2] - 16:15,	51:13, 52:4, 52:10,	27:14, 27:24, 28:4,
Kevin's [1] - 55:13	22:19, 25:18, 32:4,	37:15	54:12, 54:13, 54:15,	28:8, 30:18
kind [3] - 12:8, 29:1,	36:16, 42:18, 43:2,	marked [10] - 8:25,	54:16, 54:23, 55:2,	Moelis's [1] - 27:22
34:10	45:10, 47:7, 49:8,	10:3, 12:25, 17:7,	55:16, 55:25, 56:25,	moment [2] - 34:21,
knowledge [8] -	52:14, 61:2	31:8, 32:8, 35:5,	57:4, 57:6, 57:9,	58:4
14:13, 14:25, 15:3,	looked [2] - 47:14, 60:14	43:6, 53:5, 59:18	57:14	monday [1] - 1:9
20:5, 33:8, 42:14,		Market [1] - 2:8	meetings [19] - 19:10,	months [1] - 58:2
47:15, 52:3	looking [6] - 11:4,	market [4] - 28:14,	23:8, 23:14, 24:16,	Moore [1] - 16:14
	12:2, 12:16, 23:16,	48:7, 48:14, 48:18	25:19, 25:21, 26:3,	Morgan [29] - 24:1,
L	47:3, 52:22	marketing [1] - 8:2	26:14, 28:22, 29:2,	24:6, 24:12, 27:16,
labeled [1] - 59:12	looks [2] - 10:22,	marketplace [1] - 9:19	29:6, 32:22, 42:3,	30:12, 30:15, 30:16,
lack [1] - 11:16	42:23	material [1] - 48:20	51:11, 55:4, 57:13,	33:4, 36:8, 42:20,
	NA	Materials [1] - 42:22	60:10, 60:12	50:22, 53:3, 53:8,
language [1] - 16:24	М	materials [8] - 22:25,	Melissa [6] - 17:3,	53:12, 53:17, 54:23,
Lanny [5] - 2:3, 5:12,	MACE [6] - 1:6, 3:6,	24:1, 27:21, 41:21,	18:14, 18:22, 22:17,	55:2, 55:10, 55:15,
7:11, 51:25, 59:1	5:7, 63:8, 64:4,	41:23, 42:2, 53:25,	26:24, 51:15	55:25, 56:2, 56:4,
large [4] - 11:17,	64:19	59:12	member [1] - 22:5	57:1, 59:21, 59:25
35:21, 49:1, 60:9 large-scale [1] - 11:17	mace [27] - 12:13,	matrix [1] - 57:15	members [3] - 16:10,	morning [6] - 5:11,
largely [1] - 26:11	12:23, 15:8, 16:22,	matter [5] - 5:14, 5:23,	18:21, 19:9	5:12, 26:9, 51:5,
	18:11, 22:5, 24:22,	11:2, 13:9, 19:3	memo [3] - 14:21,	51:7, 51:14
last [3] - 9:16, 44:4	31:11, 33:6, 34:13,	MATTER [2] - 1:2,	14:22, 38:14	most [3] - 40:1, 49:4,
late [1] - 60:20 leaders [1] - 56:23	35:1, 36:6, 37:18,	64:3	mentioned [1] - 28:1	49:6
	39:19, 41:7, 43:2,	maximize [1] - 39:22	mergers [1] - 26:12	mostly [2] - 7:6, 57:3
leadership [3] - 18:22,	43:9, 47:13, 48:22,	maximizing [1] -	met [7] - 9:9, 9:21,	Mousa [4] - 25:15,
47:24, 48:3	49:25, 50:10, 50:16,	39:24	13:22, 22:14, 27:6,	29:22, 39:5, 39:8
learned [1] - 22:4	51:25, 52:23, 58:10,	Mayor [2] - 28:1, 28:9	27:7, 38:15	move [4] - 7:16, 23:15,
least [6] - 10:8, 10:23,	59:15, 61:13	mayor [3] - 28:3,	methodologies [1] -	24:21, 56:20
11:4, 18:6, 18:10, 42:20	Mace's [19] - 4:3, 4:4,	43:19, 43:21	48:25	moved [1] - 55:10
	4:5, 4:6, 4:7, 4:8,	McElroy [2] - 27:1,	methods [1] - 47:4	MR [93] - 3:7, 5:10,
			metric [3] - 49:4, 49:6,	7:12, 8:8, 8:11, 8:15,
leave [1] - 42:2	4:10, 4:11, 4:12,	43:18		7.12, 0.0, 0.11, 0.13,
legal [1] - 32:13	8:25, 10:3, 12:25,	43:18 MEAG [5] - 39:20,	49:8	8:16, 12:13, 12:20,
legal [1] - 32:13 legislation [2] - 52:5,	8:25, 10:3, 12:25, 17:7, 31:8, 32:8,			
legal [1] - 32:13 legislation [2] - 52:5, 52:9	8:25, 10:3, 12:25, 17:7, 31:8, 32:8, 35:5, 43:6, 53:5,	MEAG [5] - 39:20,	49:8	8:16, 12:13, 12:20,
legal [1] - 32:13 legislation [2] - 52:5, 52:9 letter [3] - 31:11,	8:25, 10:3, 12:25, 17:7, 31:8, 32:8, 35:5, 43:6, 53:5, 59:18	MEAG [5] - 39:20, 39:24, 40:3, 40:13	49:8 metrics [1] - 48:10	8:16, 12:13, 12:20, 12:21, 15:8, 15:14,
legal [1] - 32:13 legislation [2] - 52:5, 52:9 letter [3] - 31:11, 31:14	8:25, 10:3, 12:25, 17:7, 31:8, 32:8, 35:5, 43:6, 53:5, 59:18 mace's [1] - 4:9	MEAG [5] - 39:20, 39:24, 40:3, 40:13 means [3] - 23:23,	49:8 metrics [1] - 48:10 MICHAEL [6] - 1:6, 3:6, 5:7, 63:8, 64:4, 64:19	8:16, 12:13, 12:20, 12:21, 15:8, 15:14, 15:17, 16:8, 16:9,
legal [1] - 32:13 legislation [2] - 52:5, 52:9 letter [3] - 31:11, 31:14 letters [1] - 21:23	8:25, 10:3, 12:25, 17:7, 31:8, 32:8, 35:5, 43:6, 53:5, 59:18 mace's [1] - 4:9 MADDOX [10] - 7:8,	MEAG [5] - 39:20, 39:24, 40:3, 40:13 means [3] - 23:23, 34:10, 45:10	49:8 metrics [1] - 48:10 MICHAEL [6] - 1:6, 3:6, 5:7, 63:8, 64:4, 64:19 Michael [8] - 5:11, 6:5,	8:16, 12:13, 12:20, 12:21, 15:8, 15:14, 15:17, 16:8, 16:9, 16:16, 16:20, 18:11,
legal [1] - 32:13 legislation [2] - 52:5, 52:9 letter [3] - 31:11, 31:14	8:25, 10:3, 12:25, 17:7, 31:8, 32:8, 35:5, 43:6, 53:5, 59:18 mace's [1] - 4:9	MEAG [5] - 39:20, 39:24, 40:3, 40:13 means [3] - 23:23, 34:10, 45:10 meant [2] - 37:17,	49:8 metrics [1] - 48:10 MICHAEL [6] - 1:6, 3:6, 5:7, 63:8, 64:4, 64:19	8:16, 12:13, 12:20, 12:21, 15:8, 15:14, 15:17, 16:8, 16:9, 16:16, 16:20, 18:11, 18:20, 19:2, 19:13,
legal [1] - 32:13 legislation [2] - 52:5, 52:9 letter [3] - 31:11, 31:14 letters [1] - 21:23	8:25, 10:3, 12:25, 17:7, 31:8, 32:8, 35:5, 43:6, 53:5, 59:18 mace's [1] - 4:9 MADDOX [10] - 7:8,	MEAG [5] - 39:20, 39:24, 40:3, 40:13 means [3] - 23:23, 34:10, 45:10 meant [2] - 37:17, 45:9	49:8 metrics [1] - 48:10 MICHAEL [6] - 1:6, 3:6, 5:7, 63:8, 64:4, 64:19 Michael [8] - 5:11, 6:5,	8:16, 12:13, 12:20, 12:21, 15:8, 15:14, 15:17, 16:8, 16:9, 16:16, 16:20, 18:11, 18:20, 19:2, 19:13, 19:18, 20:3, 20:7,

28:11, 31:5, 31:10,	50:5	one [29] - 6:5, 10:2,	49:15, 52:19, 52:21	32:14, 32:15, 32:19,
33:6, 33:14, 34:1,	next [10] - 9:25, 16:24,	11:19, 12:24, 16:9,	PAGE [1] - 64:6	43:22, 46:18, 50:17,
34:13, 34:20, 35:25,	25:19, 31:7, 47:15,	22:24, 25:7, 26:7,	Page [2] - 3:5, 4:2	60:4
36:4, 36:5, 36:15,	52:1, 52:22, 53:16,	26:15, 29:3, 29:8,	pages [2] - 16:22,	PFM's [1] - 31:25
37:8, 37:13, 39:2,	55:20, 61:1	34:21, 35:1, 35:20,	63:10	pheasant's [1] - 60:5
39:5, 39:8, 39:12,	nice [1] - 61:21	36:1, 41:16, 42:12,		Philadelphia [1] - 2:9
, , ,			paid [2] - 15:18, 48:5	-
39:17, 41:7, 41:14,	Niedfeldt [4] - 13:4,	43:5, 44:20, 45:23,	paragraph [3] - 44:5,	phone [1] - 51:15
41:20, 42:5, 42:6,	13:14, 13:15	47:20, 48:24, 49:2,	46:20, 49:18	place [2] - 7:15, 17:20
42:18, 42:24, 43:1,	non [3] - 34:14, 41:15	50:17, 52:1, 58:9,	parentheses [1] -	plan [2] - 48:3, 48:10
48:13, 48:21, 49:5,	non-City [1] - 41:15	58:17, 61:8	39:21	Plant [1] - 39:20
49:14, 51:10, 51:18,	non-disclosure [1] -	One [2] - 1:11, 2:4		
51:25, 52:8, 52:12,			part [3] - 9:12, 20:1,	point [3] - 21:6, 41:6,
	34:14	ones [2] - 44:17, 45:13	35:23	47:24
52:19, 52:21, 53:1,	non-JEA [1] - 41:15	open [2] - 6:3, 41:5	participate [2] - 5:20,	points [2] - 11:5,
54:5, 54:10, 54:11,	nonconclusive [1] -	open-ended [2] - 6:3,	55:2	11:17
54:21, 55:12, 56:2,	41:6	41:5	participated [1] - 57:1	policies [2] - 21:25
56:11, 56:15, 57:8,	nondisclosure [5] -	opinion [2] - 10:8,	participating [4] -	portfolio [1] - 60:6
57:15, 57:23, 58:3,		47:19		• • • •
58:4, 58:8, 58:13,	32:11, 33:16, 33:20,		25:11, 51:19, 54:12,	portion [3] - 10:25,
	36:7, 36:11	opportunities [1] -	54:15	40:13, 46:1
58:23, 59:9, 59:10,	noon [1] - 35:11	43:4	particular [1] - 46:25	position [1] - 30:18
59:11, 60:24, 61:3,	NOT [1] - 64:2	opposed [8] - 14:3,	parties [5] - 5:3, 12:3,	possible [4] - 29:23,
61:7, 61:8, 61:12,	Notary [1] - 1:14	25:14, 36:20, 36:24,	17:18, 23:12, 63:14	40:17, 54:1, 60:2
61:17, 61:21, 61:25	-	37:22, 49:9, 49:12,		
MS [10] - 7:8, 7:13,	notes [3] - 57:19,		parties' [1] - 63:15	possibly [1] - 17:17
8:10, 8:12, 20:21,	57:23, 63:11	59:6	parts [1] - 34:19	potential [17] - 15:1,
	nothing [1] - 17:23	option [2] - 60:17,	passed [3] - 7:14, 42:8	16:25, 19:14, 30:5,
58:19, 58:25, 59:8,	notice [1] - 12:15	60:25	Patrick [2] - 29:11,	38:9, 38:10, 39:22,
61:24, 62:1	November [1] - 8:22	options [3] - 12:17,	31:13	39:24, 41:11, 44:16,
multiple [3] - 26:3,	number [11] - 9:18,	36:21, 41:2	Paul [2] - 27:1, 43:18	45:1, 47:8, 52:6,
49:1, 49:12		order [1] - 10:17		
municipal [9] - 6:23,	11:11, 11:15, 11:18,	Orfano [10] - 10:6,	pay [1] - 48:19	54:9, 55:1, 60:22,
7:14, 7:19, 8:6, 8:13,	12:3, 22:20, 22:23,		payment [1] - 46:13	61:14
	27:18, 44:12, 46:5,	10:10, 10:21, 26:25,	Pedersen [3] - 53:3,	potentially [5] - 12:3,
10:14, 11:17, 11:21,	50:6	33:6, 33:14, 54:21,	53:11, 53:16	26:24, 37:11, 45:3,
40:8		EC.10 E7.7		
	NUMBER [2] - 64:6	56:12, 57:7	penalties [1] - 64.16	56:7
	NUMBER [2] - 64:6		penalties [1] - 64:16	
N	Number [2] - 8:21,	oriented [1] - 15:7	people [19] - 6:18,	power [3] - 40:2, 40:9,
N	Number [2] - 8:21, 12:22	oriented [1] - 15:7 original [4] - 7:10,	people [19] - 6:18, 26:25, 27:6, 27:7,	power [3] - 40:2, 40:9, 46:9
N name [8] - 5:17, 6:13,	Number [2] - 8:21, 12:22 numbered [1] - 42:1	oriented [1] - 15:7 original [4] - 7:10, 18:9, 21:11, 58:18	people [19] - 6:18, 26:25, 27:6, 27:7, 27:11, 34:7, 34:8,	power [3] - 40:2, 40:9, 46:9 Power [2] - 39:20,
	Number [2] - 8:21, 12:22	oriented [1] - 15:7 original [4] - 7:10, 18:9, 21:11, 58:18 Orlando [3] - 13:5,	people [19] - 6:18, 26:25, 27:6, 27:7,	power [3] - 40:2, 40:9, 46:9
name [8] - 5:17, 6:13, 8:8, 13:3, 15:18,	Number [2] - 8:21, 12:22 numbered [1] - 42:1 numbers [3] - 54:4,	oriented [1] - 15:7 original [4] - 7:10, 18:9, 21:11, 58:18	people [19] - 6:18, 26:25, 27:6, 27:7, 27:11, 34:7, 34:8, 38:15, 38:18, 39:1,	power [3] - 40:2, 40:9, 46:9 Power [2] - 39:20,
name [8] - 5:17, 6:13, 8:8, 13:3, 15:18, 16:22, 31:13, 32:10	Number [2] - 8:21, 12:22 numbered [1] - 42:1	oriented [1] - 15:7 original [4] - 7:10, 18:9, 21:11, 58:18 Orlando [3] - 13:5,	people [19] - 6:18, 26:25, 27:6, 27:7, 27:11, 34:7, 34:8, 38:15, 38:18, 39:1, 39:3, 49:1, 50:15,	power [3] - 40:2, 40:9, 46:9 Power [2] - 39:20, 40:3 PowerPoint [1] -
name [8] - 5:17, 6:13, 8:8, 13:3, 15:18, 16:22, 31:13, 32:10 names [3] - 20:15,	Number [2] - 8:21, 12:22 numbered [1] - 42:1 numbers [3] - 54:4, 57:21, 60:9	oriented [1] - 15:7 original [4] - 7:10, 18:9, 21:11, 58:18 Orlando [3] - 13:5, 13:17, 16:14 ourselves [1] - 61:9	people [19] - 6:18, 26:25, 27:6, 27:7, 27:11, 34:7, 34:8, 38:15, 38:18, 39:1, 39:3, 49:1, 50:15, 56:7, 56:24, 57:2,	power [3] - 40:2, 40:9, 46:9 Power [2] - 39:20, 40:3 PowerPoint [1] - 43:13
name [8] - 5:17, 6:13, 8:8, 13:3, 15:18, 16:22, 31:13, 32:10 names [3] - 20:15, 26:22, 38:23	Number [2] - 8:21, 12:22 numbered [1] - 42:1 numbers [3] - 54:4,	oriented [1] - 15:7 original [4] - 7:10, 18:9, 21:11, 58:18 Orlando [3] - 13:5, 13:17, 16:14 ourselves [1] - 61:9 outliers [1] - 54:2	people [19] - 6:18, 26:25, 27:6, 27:7, 27:11, 34:7, 34:8, 38:15, 38:18, 39:1, 39:3, 49:1, 50:15, 56:7, 56:24, 57:2, 57:4, 57:19, 57:20	power [3] - 40:2, 40:9, 46:9 Power [2] - 39:20, 40:3 PowerPoint [1] - 43:13 preliminary [1] - 54:2
name [8] - 5:17, 6:13, 8:8, 13:3, 15:18, 16:22, 31:13, 32:10 names [3] - 20:15, 26:22, 38:23 narrowed [1] - 33:12	Number [2] - 8:21, 12:22 numbered [1] - 42:1 numbers [3] - 54:4, 57:21, 60:9	oriented [1] - 15:7 original [4] - 7:10, 18:9, 21:11, 58:18 Orlando [3] - 13:5, 13:17, 16:14 ourselves [1] - 61:9 outliers [1] - 54:2 outline [2] - 28:14,	people [19] - 6:18, 26:25, 27:6, 27:7, 27:11, 34:7, 34:8, 38:15, 38:18, 39:1, 39:3, 49:1, 50:15, 56:7, 56:24, 57:2, 57:4, 57:19, 57:20 performance [2] - 6:8,	power [3] - 40:2, 40:9, 46:9 Power [2] - 39:20, 40:3 PowerPoint [1] - 43:13 preliminary [1] - 54:2 preparation [6] - 14:9,
name [8] - 5:17, 6:13, 8:8, 13:3, 15:18, 16:22, 31:13, 32:10 names [3] - 20:15, 26:22, 38:23	Number [2] - 8:21, 12:22 numbered [1] - 42:1 numbers [3] - 54:4, 57:21, 60:9 O obstacles [1] - 38:12	oriented [1] - 15:7 original [4] - 7:10, 18:9, 21:11, 58:18 Orlando [3] - 13:5, 13:17, 16:14 ourselves [1] - 61:9 outliers [1] - 54:2 outline [2] - 28:14, 51:16	people [19] - 6:18, 26:25, 27:6, 27:7, 27:11, 34:7, 34:8, 38:15, 38:18, 39:1, 39:3, 49:1, 50:15, 56:7, 56:24, 57:2, 57:4, 57:19, 57:20 performance [2] - 6:8, 9:14	power [3] - 40:2, 40:9, 46:9 Power [2] - 39:20, 40:3 PowerPoint [1] - 43:13 preliminary [1] - 54:2 preparation [6] - 14:9, 45:8, 47:13, 48:22,
name [8] - 5:17, 6:13, 8:8, 13:3, 15:18, 16:22, 31:13, 32:10 names [3] - 20:15, 26:22, 38:23 narrowed [1] - 33:12	Number [2] - 8:21, 12:22 numbered [1] - 42:1 numbers [3] - 54:4, 57:21, 60:9 O obstacles [1] - 38:12 obtaining [1] - 34:3	oriented [1] - 15:7 original [4] - 7:10, 18:9, 21:11, 58:18 Orlando [3] - 13:5, 13:17, 16:14 ourselves [1] - 61:9 outliers [1] - 54:2 outline [2] - 28:14, 51:16 output [4] - 24:10,	people [19] - 6:18, 26:25, 27:6, 27:7, 27:11, 34:7, 34:8, 38:15, 38:18, 39:1, 39:3, 49:1, 50:15, 56:7, 56:24, 57:2, 57:4, 57:19, 57:20 performance [2] - 6:8,	power [3] - 40:2, 40:9, 46:9 Power [2] - 39:20, 40:3 PowerPoint [1] - 43:13 preliminary [1] - 54:2 preparation [6] - 14:9,
name [8] - 5:17, 6:13, 8:8, 13:3, 15:18, 16:22, 31:13, 32:10 names [3] - 20:15, 26:22, 38:23 narrowed [1] - 33:12 nature [3] - 11:13, 12:6, 27:23	Number [2] - 8:21, 12:22 numbered [1] - 42:1 numbers [3] - 54:4, 57:21, 60:9 O obstacles [1] - 38:12 obtaining [1] - 34:3 obvious [1] - 11:5	oriented [1] - 15:7 original [4] - 7:10, 18:9, 21:11, 58:18 Orlando [3] - 13:5, 13:17, 16:14 ourselves [1] - 61:9 outliers [1] - 54:2 outline [2] - 28:14, 51:16	people [19] - 6:18, 26:25, 27:6, 27:7, 27:11, 34:7, 34:8, 38:15, 38:18, 39:1, 39:3, 49:1, 50:15, 56:7, 56:24, 57:2, 57:4, 57:19, 57:20 performance [2] - 6:8, 9:14	power [3] - 40:2, 40:9, 46:9 Power [2] - 39:20, 40:3 PowerPoint [1] - 43:13 preliminary [1] - 54:2 preparation [6] - 14:9, 45:8, 47:13, 48:22,
name [8] - 5:17, 6:13, 8:8, 13:3, 15:18, 16:22, 31:13, 32:10 names [3] - 20:15, 26:22, 38:23 narrowed [1] - 33:12 nature [3] - 11:13, 12:6, 27:23 NDA [4] - 32:19,	Number [2] - 8:21, 12:22 numbered [1] - 42:1 numbers [3] - 54:4, 57:21, 60:9 O obstacles [1] - 38:12 obtaining [1] - 34:3	oriented [1] - 15:7 original [4] - 7:10, 18:9, 21:11, 58:18 Orlando [3] - 13:5, 13:17, 16:14 ourselves [1] - 61:9 outliers [1] - 54:2 outline [2] - 28:14, 51:16 output [4] - 24:10, 46:10, 49:9, 53:23	people [19] - 6:18, 26:25, 27:6, 27:7, 27:11, 34:7, 34:8, 38:15, 38:18, 39:1, 39:3, 49:1, 50:15, 56:7, 56:24, 57:2, 57:4, 57:19, 57:20 performance [2] - 6:8, 9:14 performances [1] - 55:6	power [3] - 40:2, 40:9, 46:9 Power [2] - 39:20, 40:3 PowerPoint [1] - 43:13 preliminary [1] - 54:2 preparation [6] - 14:9, 45:8, 47:13, 48:22, 51:3, 60:11 prepare [1] - 50:16
name [8] - 5:17, 6:13, 8:8, 13:3, 15:18, 16:22, 31:13, 32:10 names [3] - 20:15, 26:22, 38:23 narrowed [1] - 33:12 nature [3] - 11:13, 12:6, 27:23 NDA [4] - 32:19, 32:23, 32:25	Number [2] - 8:21, 12:22 numbered [1] - 42:1 numbers [3] - 54:4, 57:21, 60:9 O obstacles [1] - 38:12 obtaining [1] - 34:3 obvious [1] - 11:5	oriented [1] - 15:7 original [4] - 7:10, 18:9, 21:11, 58:18 Orlando [3] - 13:5, 13:17, 16:14 ourselves [1] - 61:9 outliers [1] - 54:2 outline [2] - 28:14, 51:16 output [4] - 24:10, 46:10, 49:9, 53:23 outstanding [2] -	people [19] - 6:18, 26:25, 27:6, 27:7, 27:11, 34:7, 34:8, 38:15, 38:18, 39:1, 39:3, 49:1, 50:15, 56:7, 56:24, 57:2, 57:4, 57:19, 57:20 performance [2] - 6:8, 9:14 performances [1] - 55:6 period [2] - 10:17,	power [3] - 40:2, 40:9, 46:9 Power [2] - 39:20, 40:3 PowerPoint [1] - 43:13 preliminary [1] - 54:2 preparation [6] - 14:9, 45:8, 47:13, 48:22, 51:3, 60:11 prepare [1] - 50:16 prepared [4] - 37:3,
name [8] - 5:17, 6:13, 8:8, 13:3, 15:18, 16:22, 31:13, 32:10 names [3] - 20:15, 26:22, 38:23 narrowed [1] - 33:12 nature [3] - 11:13, 12:6, 27:23 NDA [4] - 32:19, 32:23, 32:25 NDAs [3] - 33:3,	Number [2] - 8:21, 12:22 numbered [1] - 42:1 numbers [3] - 54:4, 57:21, 60:9 O obstacles [1] - 38:12 obtaining [1] - 34:3 obvious [1] - 11:5 occur [1] - 57:10	oriented [1] - 15:7 original [4] - 7:10, 18:9, 21:11, 58:18 Orlando [3] - 13:5, 13:17, 16:14 ourselves [1] - 61:9 outliers [1] - 54:2 outline [2] - 28:14, 51:16 output [4] - 24:10, 46:10, 49:9, 53:23 outstanding [2] - 46:15, 60:6	people [19] - 6:18, 26:25, 27:6, 27:7, 27:11, 34:7, 34:8, 38:15, 38:18, 39:1, 39:3, 49:1, 50:15, 56:7, 56:24, 57:2, 57:4, 57:19, 57:20 performance [2] - 6:8, 9:14 performances [1] - 55:6 period [2] - 10:17, 11:2	power [3] - 40:2, 40:9, 46:9 Power [2] - 39:20, 40:3 PowerPoint [1] - 43:13 preliminary [1] - 54:2 preparation [6] - 14:9, 45:8, 47:13, 48:22, 51:3, 60:11 prepare [1] - 50:16 prepared [4] - 37:3, 43:8, 47:12, 50:13
name [8] - 5:17, 6:13, 8:8, 13:3, 15:18, 16:22, 31:13, 32:10 names [3] - 20:15, 26:22, 38:23 narrowed [1] - 33:12 nature [3] - 11:13, 12:6, 27:23 NDA [4] - 32:19, 32:23, 32:25 NDAs [3] - 33:3, 33:25, 34:8	Number [2] - 8:21, 12:22 numbered [1] - 42:1 numbers [3] - 54:4, 57:21, 60:9 Obstacles [1] - 38:12 obtaining [1] - 34:3 obvious [1] - 11:5 occur [1] - 57:10 occurred [3] - 19:4, 19:5, 38:2	oriented [1] - 15:7 original [4] - 7:10, 18:9, 21:11, 58:18 Orlando [3] - 13:5, 13:17, 16:14 ourselves [1] - 61:9 outliers [1] - 54:2 outline [2] - 28:14, 51:16 output [4] - 24:10, 46:10, 49:9, 53:23 outstanding [2] - 46:15, 60:6 overview [1] - 38:2	people [19] - 6:18, 26:25, 27:6, 27:7, 27:11, 34:7, 34:8, 38:15, 38:18, 39:1, 39:3, 49:1, 50:15, 56:7, 56:24, 57:2, 57:4, 57:19, 57:20 performance [2] - 6:8, 9:14 performances [1] - 55:6 period [2] - 10:17, 11:2 perjury [1] - 64:16	power [3] - 40:2, 40:9, 46:9 Power [2] - 39:20, 40:3 PowerPoint [1] - 43:13 preliminary [1] - 54:2 preparation [6] - 14:9, 45:8, 47:13, 48:22, 51:3, 60:11 prepare [1] - 50:16 prepared [4] - 37:3, 43:8, 47:12, 50:13 preparing [3] - 37:9,
name [8] - 5:17, 6:13, 8:8, 13:3, 15:18, 16:22, 31:13, 32:10 names [3] - 20:15, 26:22, 38:23 narrowed [1] - 33:12 nature [3] - 11:13, 12:6, 27:23 NDA [4] - 32:19, 32:23, 32:25 NDAs [3] - 33:3,	Number [2] - 8:21, 12:22 numbered [1] - 42:1 numbers [3] - 54:4, 57:21, 60:9 Obstacles [1] - 38:12 obtaining [1] - 34:3 obvious [1] - 11:5 occur [1] - 57:10 occurred [3] - 19:4, 19:5, 38:2 occurring [1] - 57:9	oriented [1] - 15:7 original [4] - 7:10, 18:9, 21:11, 58:18 Orlando [3] - 13:5, 13:17, 16:14 ourselves [1] - 61:9 outliers [1] - 54:2 outline [2] - 28:14, 51:16 output [4] - 24:10, 46:10, 49:9, 53:23 outstanding [2] - 46:15, 60:6 overview [1] - 38:2 own [2] - 20:19, 24:11	people [19] - 6:18, 26:25, 27:6, 27:7, 27:11, 34:7, 34:8, 38:15, 38:18, 39:1, 39:3, 49:1, 50:15, 56:7, 56:24, 57:2, 57:4, 57:19, 57:20 performance [2] - 6:8, 9:14 performances [1] - 55:6 period [2] - 10:17, 11:2	power [3] - 40:2, 40:9, 46:9 Power [2] - 39:20, 40:3 PowerPoint [1] - 43:13 preliminary [1] - 54:2 preparation [6] - 14:9, 45:8, 47:13, 48:22, 51:3, 60:11 prepare [1] - 50:16 prepared [4] - 37:3, 43:8, 47:12, 50:13 preparing [3] - 37:9, 50:23, 59:21
name [8] - 5:17, 6:13, 8:8, 13:3, 15:18, 16:22, 31:13, 32:10 names [3] - 20:15, 26:22, 38:23 narrowed [1] - 33:12 nature [3] - 11:13, 12:6, 27:23 NDA [4] - 32:19, 32:23, 32:25 NDAs [3] - 33:3, 33:25, 34:8	Number [2] - 8:21, 12:22 numbered [1] - 42:1 numbers [3] - 54:4, 57:21, 60:9 Obstacles [1] - 38:12 obtaining [1] - 34:3 obvious [1] - 11:5 occur [1] - 57:10 occurred [3] - 19:4, 19:5, 38:2 occurring [1] - 57:9 October [3] - 1:9,	oriented [1] - 15:7 original [4] - 7:10, 18:9, 21:11, 58:18 Orlando [3] - 13:5, 13:17, 16:14 ourselves [1] - 61:9 outliers [1] - 54:2 outline [2] - 28:14, 51:16 output [4] - 24:10, 46:10, 49:9, 53:23 outstanding [2] - 46:15, 60:6 overview [1] - 38:2 own [2] - 20:19, 24:11 owner [2] - 10:15,	people [19] - 6:18, 26:25, 27:6, 27:7, 27:11, 34:7, 34:8, 38:15, 38:18, 39:1, 39:3, 49:1, 50:15, 56:7, 56:24, 57:2, 57:4, 57:19, 57:20 performance [2] - 6:8, 9:14 performances [1] - 55:6 period [2] - 10:17, 11:2 perjury [1] - 64:16	power [3] - 40:2, 40:9, 46:9 Power [2] - 39:20, 40:3 PowerPoint [1] - 43:13 preliminary [1] - 54:2 preparation [6] - 14:9, 45:8, 47:13, 48:22, 51:3, 60:11 prepare [1] - 50:16 prepared [4] - 37:3, 43:8, 47:12, 50:13 preparing [3] - 37:9,
$\begin{array}{r} \textbf{name} [8] - 5:17, \ 6:13, \\ 8:8, \ 13:3, \ 15:18, \\ 16:22, \ 31:13, \ 32:10 \\ \textbf{names} [3] - 20:15, \\ 26:22, \ 38:23 \\ \textbf{narrowed} [1] - 33:12 \\ \textbf{nature} [3] - 11:13, \\ 12:6, \ 27:23 \\ \textbf{NDA} [4] - 32:19, \\ 32:23, \ 32:25 \\ \textbf{NDAs} [3] - 33:3, \\ 33:25, \ 34:8 \\ \textbf{near} [6] - 28:23, \ 29:3, \\ 35:15, \ 39:14, \ 44:3, \\ \end{array}$	Number [2] - 8:21, 12:22 numbered [1] - 42:1 numbers [3] - 54:4, 57:21, 60:9 O obstacles [1] - 38:12 obtaining [1] - 34:3 obvious [1] - 11:5 occur [1] - 57:10 occurred [3] - 19:4, 19:5, 38:2 occurring [1] - 57:9 October [3] - 1:9, 63:19, 64:5	oriented [1] - 15:7 original [4] - 7:10, 18:9, 21:11, 58:18 Orlando [3] - 13:5, 13:17, 16:14 ourselves [1] - 61:9 outliers [1] - 54:2 outline [2] - 28:14, 51:16 output [4] - 24:10, 46:10, 49:9, 53:23 outstanding [2] - 46:15, 60:6 overview [1] - 38:2 own [2] - 20:19, 24:11	people [19] - 6:18, 26:25, 27:6, 27:7, 27:11, 34:7, 34:8, 38:15, 38:18, 39:1, 39:3, 49:1, 50:15, 56:7, 56:24, 57:2, 57:4, 57:19, 57:20 performance [2] - 6:8, 9:14 performances [1] - 55:6 period [2] - 10:17, 11:2 perjury [1] - 64:16 person [3] - 13:5,	power [3] - 40:2, 40:9, 46:9 Power [2] - 39:20, 40:3 PowerPoint [1] - 43:13 preliminary [1] - 54:2 preparation [6] - 14:9, 45:8, 47:13, 48:22, 51:3, 60:11 prepare [1] - 50:16 prepared [4] - 37:3, 43:8, 47:12, 50:13 preparing [3] - 37:9, 50:23, 59:21
$\begin{array}{r} \textbf{name} [8] - 5:17, \ 6:13, \\ 8:8, \ 13:3, \ 15:18, \\ 16:22, \ 31:13, \ 32:10 \\ \textbf{names} [3] - 20:15, \\ 26:22, \ 38:23 \\ \textbf{narrowed} [1] - 33:12 \\ \textbf{nature} [3] - 11:13, \\ 12:6, \ 27:23 \\ \textbf{NDA} [4] - 32:19, \\ 32:23, \ 32:25 \\ \textbf{NDAs} [3] - 33:3, \\ 33:25, \ 34:8 \\ \textbf{near} [6] - 28:23, \ 29:3, \\ 35:15, \ 39:14, \ 44:3, \\ 54:14 \\ \end{array}$	Number [2] - 8:21, 12:22 numbered [1] - 42:1 numbers [3] - 54:4, 57:21, 60:9 Obstacles [1] - 38:12 obtaining [1] - 34:3 obvious [1] - 11:5 occur [1] - 57:10 occurred [3] - 19:4, 19:5, 38:2 occurring [1] - 57:9 October [3] - 1:9,	oriented [1] - 15:7 original [4] - 7:10, 18:9, 21:11, 58:18 Orlando [3] - 13:5, 13:17, 16:14 ourselves [1] - 61:9 outliers [1] - 54:2 outline [2] - 28:14, 51:16 output [4] - 24:10, 46:10, 49:9, 53:23 outstanding [2] - 46:15, 60:6 overview [1] - 38:2 own [2] - 20:19, 24:11 owner [2] - 10:15, 50:5	people [19] - 6:18, 26:25, 27:6, 27:7, 27:11, 34:7, 34:8, 38:15, 38:18, 39:1, 39:3, 49:1, 50:15, 56:7, 56:24, 57:2, 57:4, 57:19, 57:20 performance [2] - 6:8, 9:14 performances [1] - 55:6 period [2] - 10:17, 11:2 perjury [1] - 64:16 person [3] - 13:5, 50:17, 53:7 personnel [2] - 22:9,	power [3] - 40:2, 40:9, 46:9 Power [2] - 39:20, 40:3 PowerPoint [1] - 43:13 preliminary [1] - 54:2 preparation [6] - 14:9, 45:8, 47:13, 48:22, 51:3, 60:11 prepare [1] - 50:16 prepared [4] - 37:3, 43:8, 47:12, 50:13 preparing [3] - 37:9, 50:23, 59:21 presence [1] - 30:20 present [8] - 29:18,
$\begin{array}{r} \textbf{name} [8] - 5:17, \ 6:13, \\ 8:8, \ 13:3, \ 15:18, \\ 16:22, \ 31:13, \ 32:10 \\ \textbf{names} [3] - 20:15, \\ 26:22, \ 38:23 \\ \textbf{narrowed} [1] - 33:12 \\ \textbf{nature} [3] - 11:13, \\ 12:6, \ 27:23 \\ \textbf{NDA} [4] - 32:19, \\ 32:23, \ 32:25 \\ \textbf{NDAs} [3] - 33:3, \\ 33:25, \ 34:8 \\ \textbf{near} [6] - 28:23, \ 29:3, \\ 35:15, \ 39:14, \ 44:3, \\ 54:14 \\ \textbf{nearly} [1] - 44:5 \\ \end{array}$	Number [2] - 8:21, 12:22 numbered [1] - 42:1 numbers [3] - 54:4, 57:21, 60:9 O obstacles [1] - 38:12 obtaining [1] - 34:3 obvious [1] - 11:5 occur [1] - 57:10 occurred [3] - 19:4, 19:5, 38:2 occurring [1] - 57:9 October [3] - 1:9, 63:19, 64:5	oriented [1] - 15:7 original [4] - 7:10, 18:9, 21:11, 58:18 Orlando [3] - 13:5, 13:17, 16:14 ourselves [1] - 61:9 outliers [1] - 54:2 outline [2] - 28:14, 51:16 output [4] - 24:10, 46:10, 49:9, 53:23 outstanding [2] - 46:15, 60:6 overview [1] - 38:2 own [2] - 20:19, 24:11 owner [2] - 10:15,	people [19] - 6:18, 26:25, 27:6, 27:7, 27:11, 34:7, 34:8, 38:15, 38:18, 39:1, 39:3, 49:1, 50:15, 56:7, 56:24, 57:2, 57:4, 57:19, 57:20 performance [2] - 6:8, 9:14 performances [1] - 55:6 period [2] - 10:17, 11:2 perjury [1] - 64:16 person [3] - 13:5, 50:17, 53:7 personnel [2] - 22:9, 28:23	power $[3] - 40:2, 40:9, 46:9$ Power $[2] - 39:20, 40:3$ PowerPoint $[1] - 43:13$ preliminary $[1] - 54:2$ preparation $[6] - 14:9, 45:8, 47:13, 48:22, 51:3, 60:11$ prepare $[1] - 50:16$ prepared $[4] - 37:3, 43:8, 47:12, 50:13$ preparing $[3] - 37:9, 50:23, 59:21$ presence $[1] - 30:20$ present $[8] - 29:18, 30:8, 30:13, 30:19, 50:23, 50:23, 50:23, 50:23$
$\begin{array}{r} \textbf{name} [8] - 5:17, \ 6:13, \\ 8:8, \ 13:3, \ 15:18, \\ 16:22, \ 31:13, \ 32:10 \\ \textbf{names} [3] - 20:15, \\ 26:22, \ 38:23 \\ \textbf{narrowed} [1] - 33:12 \\ \textbf{nature} [3] - 11:13, \\ 12:6, \ 27:23 \\ \textbf{NDA} [4] - 32:19, \\ 32:23, \ 32:25 \\ \textbf{NDAs} [3] - 33:3, \\ 33:25, \ 34:8 \\ \textbf{near} [6] - 28:23, \ 29:3, \\ 35:15, \ 39:14, \ 44:3, \\ 54:14 \\ \textbf{nearly} [1] - 44:5 \\ \textbf{need} [4] - 20:7, \ 21:10, \\ \end{array}$	Number [2] - 8:21, 12:22 numbered [1] - 42:1 numbers [3] - 54:4, 57:21, 60:9 O obstacles [1] - 38:12 obtaining [1] - 34:3 obvious [1] - 11:5 occur [1] - 57:10 occurred [3] - 19:4, 19:5, 38:2 occurring [1] - 57:9 October [3] - 1:9, 63:19, 64:5 OF [5] - 1:5, 2:1, 63:3, 63:4, 64:4	oriented [1] - 15:7 original [4] - 7:10, 18:9, 21:11, 58:18 Orlando [3] - 13:5, 13:17, 16:14 ourselves [1] - 61:9 outliers [1] - 54:2 outline [2] - 28:14, 51:16 output [4] - 24:10, 46:10, 49:9, 53:23 outstanding [2] - 46:15, 60:6 overview [1] - 38:2 own [2] - 20:19, 24:11 owner [2] - 10:15, 50:5 ownership [1] - 44:9	people [19] - 6:18, 26:25, 27:6, 27:7, 27:11, 34:7, 34:8, 38:15, 38:18, 39:1, 39:3, 49:1, 50:15, 56:7, 56:24, 57:2, 57:4, 57:19, 57:20 performance [2] - 6:8, 9:14 performances [1] - 55:6 period [2] - 10:17, 11:2 perjury [1] - 64:16 person [3] - 13:5, 50:17, 53:7 personnel [2] - 22:9, 28:23 Petway [7] - 9:7, 9:8,	power $[3] - 40:2, 40:9, 46:9$ Power $[2] - 39:20, 40:3$ PowerPoint $[1] - 43:13$ preliminary $[1] - 54:2$ preparation $[6] - 14:9, 45:8, 47:13, 48:22, 51:3, 60:11$ prepare $[1] - 50:16$ prepared $[4] - 37:3, 43:8, 47:12, 50:13$ preparing $[3] - 37:9, 50:23, 59:21$ presence $[1] - 30:20$ present $[8] - 29:18, 30:8, 30:13, 30:19, 39:6, 43:11, 46:8, 40:20$
$\begin{array}{r} \textbf{name} [8] - 5:17, \ 6:13, \\ 8:8, \ 13:3, \ 15:18, \\ 16:22, \ 31:13, \ 32:10 \\ \textbf{names} [3] - 20:15, \\ 26:22, \ 38:23 \\ \textbf{narrowed} [1] - 33:12 \\ \textbf{nature} [3] - 11:13, \\ 12:6, \ 27:23 \\ \textbf{NDA} [4] - 32:19, \\ 32:23, \ 32:25 \\ \textbf{NDAs} [3] - 33:3, \\ 33:25, \ 34:8 \\ \textbf{near} [6] - 28:23, \ 29:3, \\ 35:15, \ 39:14, \ 44:3, \\ 54:14 \\ \textbf{nearly} [1] - 44:5 \\ \end{array}$	Number [2] - 8:21, 12:22 numbered [1] - 42:1 numbers [3] - 54:4, 57:21, 60:9 O obstacles [1] - 38:12 obtaining [1] - 34:3 obvious [1] - 11:5 occur [1] - 57:10 occurred [3] - 19:4, 19:5, 38:2 occurring [1] - 57:9 October [3] - 1:9, 63:19, 64:5 OF [5] - 1:5, 2:1, 63:3, 63:4, 64:4 office [7] - 8:1, 13:6,	oriented [1] - 15:7 original [4] - 7:10, 18:9, 21:11, 58:18 Orlando [3] - 13:5, 13:17, 16:14 ourselves [1] - 61:9 outliers [1] - 54:2 outline [2] - 28:14, 51:16 output [4] - 24:10, 46:10, 49:9, 53:23 outstanding [2] - 46:15, 60:6 overview [1] - 38:2 own [2] - 20:19, 24:11 owner [2] - 10:15, 50:5	people [19] - 6:18, 26:25, 27:6, 27:7, 27:11, 34:7, 34:8, 38:15, 38:18, 39:1, 39:3, 49:1, 50:15, 56:7, 56:24, 57:2, 57:4, 57:19, 57:20 performance [2] - 6:8, 9:14 performances [1] - 55:6 period [2] - 10:17, 11:2 perjury [1] - 64:16 person [3] - 13:5, 50:17, 53:7 personnel [2] - 22:9, 28:23 Petway [7] - 9:7, 9:8, 9:11, 9:12, 9:17,	power [3] - 40:2, 40:9, 46:9 Power [2] - 39:20, 40:3 PowerPoint [1] - 43:13 preliminary [1] - 54:2 preparation [6] - 14:9, 45:8, 47:13, 48:22, 51:3, 60:11 prepare [1] - 50:16 prepared [4] - 37:3, 43:8, 47:12, 50:13 preparing [3] - 37:9, 50:23, 59:21 presence [1] - 30:20 present [8] - 29:18, 30:8, 30:13, 30:19, 39:6, 43:11, 46:8, 46:14
$\begin{array}{r} \textbf{name} [8] - 5:17, \ 6:13, \\ 8:8, \ 13:3, \ 15:18, \\ 16:22, \ 31:13, \ 32:10 \\ \textbf{names} [3] - 20:15, \\ 26:22, \ 38:23 \\ \textbf{narrowed} [1] - 33:12 \\ \textbf{nature} [3] - 11:13, \\ 12:6, \ 27:23 \\ \textbf{NDA} [4] - 32:19, \\ 32:23, \ 32:25 \\ \textbf{NDAs} [3] - 33:3, \\ 33:25, \ 34:8 \\ \textbf{near} [6] - 28:23, \ 29:3, \\ 35:15, \ 39:14, \ 44:3, \\ 54:14 \\ \textbf{nearly} [1] - 44:5 \\ \textbf{need} [4] - 20:7, \ 21:10, \\ \end{array}$	Number [2] - 8:21, 12:22 numbered [1] - 42:1 numbers [3] - 54:4, 57:21, 60:9 O obstacles [1] - 38:12 obtaining [1] - 34:3 obvious [1] - 11:5 occur [1] - 57:10 occurred [3] - 19:4, 19:5, 38:2 occurring [1] - 57:9 October [3] - 1:9, 63:19, 64:5 OF [5] - 1:5, 2:1, 63:3, 63:4, 64:4 office [7] - 8:1, 13:6, 13:17, 13:21, 16:14,	oriented [1] - 15:7 original [4] - 7:10, 18:9, 21:11, 58:18 Orlando [3] - 13:5, 13:17, 16:14 ourselves [1] - 61:9 outliers [1] - 54:2 outline [2] - 28:14, 51:16 output [4] - 24:10, 46:10, 49:9, 53:23 outstanding [2] - 46:15, 60:6 overview [1] - 38:2 own [2] - 20:19, 24:11 owner [2] - 10:15, 50:5 ownership [1] - 44:9	people [19] - 6:18, 26:25, 27:6, 27:7, 27:11, 34:7, 34:8, 38:15, 38:18, 39:1, 39:3, 49:1, 50:15, 56:7, 56:24, 57:2, 57:4, 57:19, 57:20 performance [2] - 6:8, 9:14 performances [1] - 55:6 period [2] - 10:17, 11:2 perjury [1] - 64:16 person [3] - 13:5, 50:17, 53:7 personnel [2] - 22:9, 28:23 Petway [7] - 9:7, 9:8, 9:11, 9:12, 9:17, 9:23	power [3] - 40:2, 40:9, 46:9 Power [2] - 39:20, 40:3 PowerPoint [1] - 43:13 preliminary [1] - 54:2 preparation [6] - 14:9, 45:8, 47:13, 48:22, 51:3, 60:11 prepare [1] - 50:16 prepared [4] - 37:3, 43:8, 47:12, 50:13 preparing [3] - 37:9, 50:23, 59:21 presence [1] - 30:20 present [8] - 29:18, 30:8, 30:13, 30:19, 39:6, 43:11, 46:8, 46:14 Presentation [1] -
$\begin{array}{r} \textbf{name} [8] - 5:17, \ 6:13, \\ 8:8, \ 13:3, \ 15:18, \\ 16:22, \ 31:13, \ 32:10 \\ \textbf{names} [3] - 20:15, \\ 26:22, \ 38:23 \\ \textbf{narrowed} [1] - 33:12 \\ \textbf{nature} [3] - 11:13, \\ 12:6, \ 27:23 \\ \textbf{NDA} [4] - 32:19, \\ 32:23, \ 32:25 \\ \textbf{NDAs} [3] - 33:3, \\ 33:25, \ 34:8 \\ \textbf{near} [6] - 28:23, \ 29:3, \\ 35:15, \ 39:14, \ 44:3, \\ 54:14 \\ \textbf{nearly} [1] - 44:5 \\ \textbf{need} [4] - 20:7, \ 21:10, \\ 58:25, \ 59:3 \\ \end{array}$	Number [2] - 8:21, 12:22 numbered [1] - 42:1 numbers [3] - 54:4, 57:21, 60:9 O obstacles [1] - 38:12 obtaining [1] - 34:3 obvious [1] - 11:5 occur [1] - 57:10 occurred [3] - 19:4, 19:5, 38:2 occurring [1] - 57:9 October [3] - 1:9, 63:19, 64:5 OF [5] - 1:5, 2:1, 63:3, 63:4, 64:4 office [7] - 8:1, 13:6, 13:17, 13:21, 16:14, 22:15, 58:1	oriented [1] - 15:7 original [4] - 7:10, 18:9, 21:11, 58:18 Orlando [3] - 13:5, 13:17, 16:14 ourselves [1] - 61:9 outliers [1] - 54:2 outline [2] - 28:14, 51:16 output [4] - 24:10, 46:10, 49:9, 53:23 outstanding [2] - 46:15, 60:6 overview [1] - 38:2 own [2] - 20:19, 24:11 owner [2] - 10:15, 50:5 ownership [1] - 44:9 P p.m [2] - 1:10, 62:3	people [19] - 6:18, 26:25, 27:6, 27:7, 27:11, 34:7, 34:8, 38:15, 38:18, 39:1, 39:3, 49:1, 50:15, 56:7, 56:24, 57:2, 57:4, 57:19, 57:20 performance [2] - 6:8, 9:14 performances [1] - 55:6 period [2] - 10:17, 11:2 perjury [1] - 64:16 person [3] - 13:5, 50:17, 53:7 personnel [2] - 22:9, 28:23 Petway [7] - 9:7, 9:8, 9:11, 9:12, 9:17,	power [3] - 40:2, 40:9, 46:9 Power [2] - 39:20, 40:3 PowerPoint [1] - 43:13 preliminary [1] - 54:2 preparation [6] - 14:9, 45:8, 47:13, 48:22, 51:3, 60:11 prepare [1] - 50:16 prepared [4] - 37:3, 43:8, 47:12, 50:13 preparing [3] - 37:9, 50:23, 59:21 presence [1] - 30:20 present [8] - 29:18, 30:8, 30:13, 30:19, 39:6, 43:11, 46:8, 46:14
$\begin{array}{r} \textbf{name} [8] - 5:17, \ 6:13, \\ 8:8, \ 13:3, \ 15:18, \\ 16:22, \ 31:13, \ 32:10 \\ \textbf{names} [3] - 20:15, \\ 26:22, \ 38:23 \\ \textbf{narrowed} [1] - 33:12 \\ \textbf{nature} [3] - 11:13, \\ 12:6, \ 27:23 \\ \textbf{NDA} [4] - 32:19, \\ 32:23, \ 32:25 \\ \textbf{NDAs} [3] - 33:3, \\ 33:25, \ 34:8 \\ \textbf{near} [6] - 28:23, \ 29:3, \\ 35:15, \ 39:14, \ 44:3, \\ 54:14 \\ \textbf{nearly} [1] - 44:5 \\ \textbf{need} [4] - 20:7, \ 21:10, \\ 58:25, \ 59:3 \\ \textbf{needed} [1] - 21:24 \\ \textbf{net} [10] - 45:25, \ 46:3, \\ \end{array}$	Number [2] - 8:21, 12:22 numbered [1] - 42:1 numbers [3] - 54:4, 57:21, 60:9 O obstacles [1] - 38:12 obtaining [1] - 34:3 obvious [1] - 11:5 occur [1] - 57:10 occurred [3] - 19:4, 19:5, 38:2 occurring [1] - 57:9 October [3] - 1:9, 63:19, 64:5 OF [5] - 1:5, 2:1, 63:3, 63:4, 64:4 office [7] - 8:1, 13:6, 13:17, 13:21, 16:14, 22:15, 58:1 officer [1] - 32:13	oriented [1] - 15:7 original [4] - 7:10, 18:9, 21:11, 58:18 Orlando [3] - 13:5, 13:17, 16:14 ourselves [1] - 61:9 outliers [1] - 54:2 outline [2] - 28:14, 51:16 output [4] - 24:10, 46:10, 49:9, 53:23 outstanding [2] - 46:15, 60:6 overview [1] - 38:2 own [2] - 20:19, 24:11 owner [2] - 10:15, 50:5 ownership [1] - 44:9 P p.m [2] - 1:10, 62:3 PA [1] - 2:9	people [19] - 6:18, 26:25, 27:6, 27:7, 27:11, 34:7, 34:8, 38:15, 38:18, 39:1, 39:3, 49:1, 50:15, 56:7, 56:24, 57:2, 57:4, 57:19, 57:20 performance [2] - 6:8, 9:14 performances [1] - 55:6 period [2] - 10:17, 11:2 perjury [1] - 64:16 person [3] - 13:5, 50:17, 53:7 personnel [2] - 22:9, 28:23 Petway [7] - 9:7, 9:8, 9:11, 9:12, 9:17, 9:23	power [3] - 40:2, 40:9, 46:9 Power [2] - 39:20, 40:3 PowerPoint [1] - 43:13 preliminary [1] - 54:2 preparation [6] - 14:9, 45:8, 47:13, 48:22, 51:3, 60:11 prepare [1] - 50:16 prepared [4] - 37:3, 43:8, 47:12, 50:13 preparing [3] - 37:9, 50:23, 59:21 presence [1] - 30:20 present [8] - 29:18, 30:8, 30:13, 30:19, 39:6, 43:11, 46:8, 46:14 Presentation [1] -
$\begin{array}{r} \textbf{name} [8] - 5:17, \ 6:13, \\ 8:8, \ 13:3, \ 15:18, \\ 16:22, \ 31:13, \ 32:10 \\ \textbf{names} [3] - 20:15, \\ 26:22, \ 38:23 \\ \textbf{narrowed} [1] - 33:12 \\ \textbf{nature} [3] - 11:13, \\ 12:6, \ 27:23 \\ \textbf{NDA} [4] - 32:19, \\ 32:23, \ 32:25 \\ \textbf{NDAs} [3] - 33:3, \\ 33:25, \ 34:8 \\ \textbf{near} [6] - 28:23, \ 29:3, \\ 35:15, \ 39:14, \ 44:3, \\ 54:14 \\ \textbf{nearly} [1] - 44:5 \\ \textbf{need} [4] - 20:7, \ 21:10, \\ 58:25, \ 59:3 \\ \textbf{needed} [1] - 21:24 \\ \textbf{net} [10] - 45:25, \ 46:3, \\ 46:7, \ 48:4, \ 48:7, \\ \end{array}$	Number [2] - 8:21, 12:22 numbered [1] - 42:1 numbers [3] - 54:4, 57:21, 60:9 O obstacles [1] - 38:12 obtaining [1] - 34:3 obvious [1] - 11:5 occur [1] - 57:10 occurred [3] - 19:4, 19:5, 38:2 occurring [1] - 57:9 October [3] - 19:4, 19:5, 38:2 occurring [1] - 57:9 October [3] - 1:9, 63:19, 64:5 OF [5] - 1:5, 2:1, 63:3, 63:4, 64:4 office [7] - 8:1, 13:6, 13:17, 13:21, 16:14, 22:15, 58:1	oriented [1] - 15:7 original [4] - 7:10, 18:9, 21:11, 58:18 Orlando [3] - 13:5, 13:17, 16:14 ourselves [1] - 61:9 outliers [1] - 54:2 outline [2] - 28:14, 51:16 output [4] - 24:10, 46:10, 49:9, 53:23 outstanding [2] - 46:15, 60:6 overview [1] - 38:2 own [2] - 20:19, 24:11 owner [2] - 10:15, 50:5 ownership [1] - 44:9 P p.m [2] - 1:10, 62:3 PA [1] - 2:9 package [1] - 36:9	people [19] - 6:18, 26:25, 27:6, 27:7, 27:11, 34:7, 34:8, 38:15, 38:18, 39:1, 39:3, 49:1, 50:15, 56:7, 56:24, 57:2, 57:4, 57:19, 57:20 performance [2] - 6:8, 9:14 performances [1] - 55:6 period [2] - 10:17, 11:2 perjury [1] - 64:16 person [3] - 13:5, 50:17, 53:7 personnel [2] - 22:9, 28:23 Petway [7] - 9:7, 9:8, 9:11, 9:12, 9:17, 9:23 Pf [1] - 61:1 PFM [26] - 2:8, 6:6,	power [3] - 40:2, 40:9, 46:9 Power [2] - 39:20, 40:3 PowerPoint [1] - 43:13 preliminary [1] - 54:2 preparation [6] - 14:9, 45:8, 47:13, 48:22, 51:3, 60:11 prepare [1] - 50:16 prepared [4] - 37:3, 43:8, 47:12, 50:13 preparing [3] - 37:9, 50:23, 59:21 presence [1] - 30:20 present [8] - 29:18, 30:8, 30:13, 30:19, 39:6, 43:11, 46:8, 46:14 Presentation [1] - 35:22 presentation [6] -
$\begin{array}{r} \textbf{name} [8] - 5:17, 6:13,\\ 8:8, 13:3, 15:18,\\ 16:22, 31:13, 32:10\\ \textbf{names} [3] - 20:15,\\ 26:22, 38:23\\ \textbf{narrowed} [1] - 33:12\\ \textbf{nature} [3] - 11:13,\\ 12:6, 27:23\\ \textbf{NDA} [4] - 32:19,\\ 32:23, 32:25\\ \textbf{NDAs} [3] - 33:3,\\ 33:25, 34:8\\ \textbf{near} [6] - 28:23, 29:3,\\ 35:15, 39:14, 44:3,\\ 54:14\\ \textbf{nearly} [1] - 44:5\\ \textbf{need} [4] - 20:7, 21:10,\\ 58:25, 59:3\\ \textbf{needed} [1] - 21:24\\ \textbf{net} [10] - 45:25, 46:3,\\ 46:7, 48:4, 48:7,\\ 48:14, 48:16, 48:23,\\ \end{array}$	Number [2] - 8:21, 12:22 numbered [1] - 42:1 numbers [3] - 54:4, 57:21, 60:9 O obstacles [1] - 38:12 obtaining [1] - 34:3 obvious [1] - 11:5 occur [1] - 57:10 occurred [3] - 19:4, 19:5, 38:2 occurring [1] - 57:9 October [3] - 1:9, 63:19, 64:5 OF [5] - 1:5, 2:1, 63:3, 63:4, 64:4 office [7] - 8:1, 13:6, 13:17, 13:21, 16:14, 22:15, 58:1 officer [1] - 32:13	oriented [1] - 15:7 original [4] - 7:10, 18:9, 21:11, 58:18 Orlando [3] - 13:5, 13:17, 16:14 ourselves [1] - 61:9 outliers [1] - 54:2 outline [2] - 28:14, 51:16 output [4] - 24:10, 46:10, 49:9, 53:23 outstanding [2] - 46:15, 60:6 overview [1] - 38:2 own [2] - 20:19, 24:11 owner [2] - 10:15, 50:5 ownership [1] - 44:9 P p.m [2] - 1:10, 62:3 PA [1] - 2:9	people [19] - 6:18, 26:25, 27:6, 27:7, 27:11, 34:7, 34:8, 38:15, 38:18, 39:1, 39:3, 49:1, 50:15, 56:7, 56:24, 57:2, 57:4, 57:19, 57:20 performance [2] - 6:8, 9:14 performances [1] - 55:6 period [2] - 10:17, 11:2 perjury [1] - 64:16 person [3] - 13:5, 50:17, 53:7 personnel [2] - 22:9, 28:23 Petway [7] - 9:7, 9:8, 9:11, 9:12, 9:17, 9:23 PF [1] - 61:1 PFM [26] - 2:8, 6:6, 6:15, 6:16, 7:2, 7:5,	power [3] - 40:2, 40:9, 46:9 Power [2] - 39:20, 40:3 PowerPoint [1] - 43:13 preliminary [1] - 54:2 preparation [6] - 14:9, 45:8, 47:13, 48:22, 51:3, 60:11 prepare [1] - 50:16 prepared [4] - 37:3, 43:8, 47:12, 50:13 preparing [3] - 37:9, 50:23, 59:21 presence [1] - 30:20 present [8] - 29:18, 30:8, 30:13, 30:19, 39:6, 43:11, 46:8, 46:14 Presentation [1] - 35:22 presentation [6] - 26:16, 38:5, 41:21,
$\begin{array}{r} \textbf{name} [8] - 5:17, 6:13,\\ 8:8, 13:3, 15:18,\\ 16:22, 31:13, 32:10\\ \textbf{names} [3] - 20:15,\\ 26:22, 38:23\\ \textbf{narrowed} [1] - 33:12\\ \textbf{nature} [3] - 11:13,\\ 12:6, 27:23\\ \textbf{NDA} [4] - 32:19,\\ 32:23, 32:25\\ \textbf{NDAs} [3] - 33:3,\\ 33:25, 34:8\\ \textbf{near} [6] - 28:23, 29:3,\\ 35:15, 39:14, 44:3,\\ 54:14\\ \textbf{nearly} [1] - 44:5\\ \textbf{need} [4] - 20:7, 21:10,\\ 58:25, 59:3\\ \textbf{needed} [1] - 21:24\\ \textbf{net} [10] - 45:25, 46:3,\\ 46:7, 48:4, 48:7,\\ 48:14, 48:16, 48:23,\\ 48:24\\ \end{array}$	Number [2] - 8:21, 12:22 numbered [1] - 42:1 numbers [3] - 54:4, 57:21, 60:9 O obstacles [1] - 38:12 obtaining [1] - 34:3 obvious [1] - 11:5 occur [1] - 57:10 occurred [3] - 19:4, 19:5, 38:2 occurring [1] - 57:9 October [3] - 19:4, 19:5, 38:2 occurring [1] - 57:9 October [3] - 19, 63:19, 64:5 OF [5] - 1:5, 2:1, 63:3, 63:4, 64:4 office [7] - 8:1, 13:6, 13:17, 13:21, 16:14, 22:15, 58:1 officer [1] - 32:13 official [1] - 16:4 offsite [1] - 41:15	oriented [1] - 15:7 original [4] - 7:10, 18:9, 21:11, 58:18 Orlando [3] - 13:5, 13:17, 16:14 ourselves [1] - 61:9 outliers [1] - 54:2 outline [2] - 28:14, 51:16 output [4] - 24:10, 46:10, 49:9, 53:23 outstanding [2] - 46:15, 60:6 overview [1] - 38:2 own [2] - 20:19, 24:11 owner [2] - 10:15, 50:5 ownership [1] - 44:9 P p.m [2] - 1:10, 62:3 PA [1] - 2:9 package [1] - 36:9	people [19] - 6:18, 26:25, 27:6, 27:7, 27:11, 34:7, 34:8, 38:15, 38:18, 39:1, 39:3, 49:1, 50:15, 56:7, 56:24, 57:2, 57:4, 57:19, 57:20 performance [2] - 6:8, 9:14 performances [1] - 55:6 period [2] - 10:17, 11:2 perjury [1] - 64:16 person [3] - 13:5, 50:17, 53:7 personnel [2] - 22:9, 28:23 Petway [7] - 9:7, 9:8, 9:11, 9:12, 9:17, 9:23 PF [1] - 61:1 PFM [26] - 2:8, 6:6, 6:15, 6:16, 7:2, 7:5, 7:18, 8:5, 8:12, 13:5,	power [3] - 40:2, 40:9, 46:9 Power [2] - 39:20, 40:3 PowerPoint [1] - 43:13 preliminary [1] - 54:2 preparation [6] - 14:9, 45:8, 47:13, 48:22, 51:3, 60:11 prepare [1] - 50:16 prepared [4] - 37:3, 43:8, 47:12, 50:13 preparing [3] - 37:9, 50:23, 59:21 presence [1] - 30:20 present [8] - 29:18, 30:8, 30:13, 30:19, 39:6, 43:11, 46:8, 46:14 Presentation [1] - 35:22 presentation [6] - 26:16, 38:5, 41:21, 43:16, 51:14, 53:24
$\begin{array}{r} \textbf{name} [8] - 5:17, 6:13,\\ 8:8, 13:3, 15:18,\\ 16:22, 31:13, 32:10\\ \textbf{names} [3] - 20:15,\\ 26:22, 38:23\\ \textbf{narrowed} [1] - 33:12\\ \textbf{nature} [3] - 11:13,\\ 12:6, 27:23\\ \textbf{NDA} [4] - 32:19,\\ 32:23, 32:25\\ \textbf{NDAs} [3] - 33:3,\\ 33:25, 34:8\\ \textbf{near} [6] - 28:23, 29:3,\\ 35:15, 39:14, 44:3,\\ 54:14\\ \textbf{nearly} [1] - 44:5\\ \textbf{need} [4] - 20:7, 21:10,\\ 58:25, 59:3\\ \textbf{needed} [1] - 21:24\\ \textbf{net} [10] - 45:25, 46:3,\\ 46:7, 48:4, 48:7,\\ 48:14, 48:16, 48:23,\\ 48:24\\ \textbf{never} [5] - 9:21, 9:22,\\ \end{array}$	Number [2] - 8:21, 12:22 numbered [1] - 42:1 numbers [3] - 54:4, 57:21, 60:9 O obstacles [1] - 38:12 obtaining [1] - 34:3 obvious [1] - 11:5 occur [1] - 57:10 occurred [3] - 19:4, 19:5, 38:2 occurring [1] - 57:9 October [3] - 19, 63:19, 64:5 OF [5] - 1:5, 2:1, 63:3, 63:4, 64:4 office [7] - 8:1, 13:6, 13:17, 13:21, 16:14, 22:15, 58:1 officer [1] - 32:13 official [1] - 16:4 offsite [1] - 41:15 old [1] - 18:10	oriented [1] - 15:7 original [4] - 7:10, 18:9, 21:11, 58:18 Orlando [3] - 13:5, 13:17, 16:14 ourselves [1] - 61:9 outliers [1] - 54:2 outline [2] - 28:14, 51:16 output [4] - 24:10, 46:10, 49:9, 53:23 outstanding [2] - 46:15, 60:6 overview [1] - 38:2 own [2] - 20:19, 24:11 owner [2] - 10:15, 50:5 ownership [1] - 44:9 P p.m [2] - 1:10, 62:3 PA [1] - 2:9 package [1] - 36:9 page [14] - 9:5, 9:13, 9:14, 25:19, 35:7,	people [19] - 6:18, 26:25, 27:6, 27:7, 27:11, 34:7, 34:8, 38:15, 38:18, 39:1, 39:3, 49:1, 50:15, 56:7, 56:24, 57:2, 57:4, 57:19, 57:20 performance [2] - 6:8, 9:14 performances [1] - 55:6 period [2] - 10:17, 11:2 perjury [1] - 64:16 person [3] - 13:5, 50:17, 53:7 personnel [2] - 22:9, 28:23 Petway [7] - 9:7, 9:8, 9:11, 9:12, 9:17, 9:23 PF [1] - 61:1 PFM [26] - 2:8, 6:6, 6:15, 6:16, 7:2, 7:5, 7:18, 8:5, 8:12, 13:5, 13:10, 14:2, 15:19,	power [3] - 40:2, 40:9, 46:9 Power [2] - 39:20, 40:3 PowerPoint [1] - 43:13 preliminary [1] - 54:2 preparation [6] - 14:9, 45:8, 47:13, 48:22, 51:3, 60:11 prepare [1] - 50:16 prepared [4] - 37:3, 43:8, 47:12, 50:13 preparing [3] - 37:9, 50:23, 59:21 presence [1] - 30:20 present [8] - 29:18, 30:8, 30:13, 30:19, 39:6, 43:11, 46:8, 46:14 Presentation [1] - 35:22 presentation [6] - 26:16, 38:5, 41:21, 43:16, 51:14, 53:24 presented [1] - 43:13
$\begin{array}{r} \textbf{name} [8] - 5:17, \ 6:13, \\ 8:8, \ 13:3, \ 15:18, \\ 16:22, \ 31:13, \ 32:10 \\ \textbf{names} [3] - 20:15, \\ 26:22, \ 38:23 \\ \textbf{narrowed} [1] - 33:12 \\ \textbf{nature} [3] - 11:13, \\ 12:6, \ 27:23 \\ \textbf{NDA} [4] - 32:19, \\ 32:23, \ 32:25 \\ \textbf{NDAs} [3] - 33:3, \\ 33:25, \ 34:8 \\ \textbf{near} [6] - 28:23, \ 29:3, \\ 35:15, \ 39:14, \ 44:3, \\ 54:14 \\ \textbf{nearly} [1] - 44:5 \\ \textbf{need} [4] - 20:7, \ 21:10, \\ 58:25, \ 59:3 \\ \textbf{needed} [1] - 21:24 \\ \textbf{net} [10] - 45:25, \ 46:3, \\ 46:7, \ 48:4, \ 48:7, \\ 48:14, \ 48:16, \ 48:23, \\ 48:24 \\ \end{array}$	Number [2] - 8:21, 12:22 numbered [1] - 42:1 numbers [3] - 54:4, 57:21, 60:9 O obstacles [1] - 38:12 obtaining [1] - 34:3 obvious [1] - 11:5 occur [1] - 57:10 occurred [3] - 19:4, 19:5, 38:2 occurring [1] - 57:9 October [3] - 19:4, 19:5, 38:2 occurring [1] - 18:1, 13:17, 13:21, 16:14, 22:15, 58:1 office [1] - 18:10 older [1] - 18:2	oriented [1] - 15:7 original [4] - 7:10, 18:9, 21:11, 58:18 Orlando [3] - 13:5, 13:17, 16:14 ourselves [1] - 61:9 outliers [1] - 54:2 outline [2] - 28:14, 51:16 output [4] - 24:10, 46:10, 49:9, 53:23 outstanding [2] - 46:15, 60:6 overview [1] - 38:2 own [2] - 20:19, 24:11 owner [2] - 10:15, 50:5 ownership [1] - 44:9 P p.m [2] - 1:10, 62:3 PA [1] - 2:9 package [1] - 36:9 page [14] - 9:5, 9:13, 9:14, 25:19, 35:7, 43:25, 44:4, 44:10,	people [19] - 6:18, 26:25, 27:6, 27:7, 27:11, 34:7, 34:8, 38:15, 38:18, 39:1, 39:3, 49:1, 50:15, 56:7, 56:24, 57:2, 57:4, 57:19, 57:20 performance [2] - 6:8, 9:14 performances [1] - 55:6 period [2] - 10:17, 11:2 perjury [1] - 64:16 person [3] - 13:5, 50:17, 53:7 personnel [2] - 22:9, 28:23 Petway [7] - 9:7, 9:8, 9:11, 9:12, 9:17, 9:23 PF [1] - 61:1 PFM [26] - 2:8, 6:6, 6:15, 6:16, 7:2, 7:5, 7:18, 8:5, 8:12, 13:5, 13:10, 14:2, 15:19, 15:20, 17:21, 21:1,	power [3] - 40:2, 40:9, 46:9 Power [2] - 39:20, 40:3 PowerPoint [1] - 43:13 preliminary [1] - 54:2 preparation [6] - 14:9, 45:8, 47:13, 48:22, 51:3, 60:11 prepare [1] - 50:16 prepared [4] - 37:3, 43:8, 47:12, 50:13 preparing [3] - 37:9, 50:23, 59:21 presence [1] - 30:20 present [8] - 29:18, 30:8, 30:13, 30:19, 39:6, 43:11, 46:8, 46:14 Presentation [1] - 35:22 presentation [6] - 26:16, 38:5, 41:21, 43:16, 51:14, 53:24 presented [1] - 43:13 pretty [9] - 8:20, 11:5,
$\begin{array}{r} \textbf{name} [8] - 5:17, \ 6:13, \\ 8:8, \ 13:3, \ 15:18, \\ 16:22, \ 31:13, \ 32:10 \\ \textbf{names} [3] - 20:15, \\ 26:22, \ 38:23 \\ \textbf{narrowed} [1] - 33:12 \\ \textbf{nature} [3] - 11:13, \\ 12:6, \ 27:23 \\ \textbf{NDA} [4] - 32:19, \\ 32:23, \ 32:25 \\ \textbf{NDAs} [3] - 33:3, \\ 33:25, \ 34:8 \\ \textbf{near} [6] - 28:23, \ 29:3, \\ 35:15, \ 39:14, \ 44:3, \\ 54:14 \\ \textbf{nearly} [1] - 44:5 \\ \textbf{need} [4] - 20:7, \ 21:10, \\ 58:25, \ 59:3 \\ \textbf{needed} [1] - 21:24 \\ \textbf{net} [10] - 45:25, \ 46:3, \\ 46:7, \ 48:4, \ 48:7, \\ 48:14, \ 48:16, \ 48:23, \\ 48:24 \\ \textbf{never} [5] - 9:21, \ 9:22, \\ \end{array}$	Number [2] - 8:21, 12:22 numbered [1] - 42:1 numbers [3] - 54:4, 57:21, 60:9 O obstacles [1] - 38:12 obtaining [1] - 34:3 obvious [1] - 11:5 occur [1] - 57:10 occurred [3] - 19:4, 19:5, 38:2 occurring [1] - 57:9 October [3] - 19, 63:19, 64:5 OF [5] - 1:5, 2:1, 63:3, 63:4, 64:4 office [7] - 8:1, 13:6, 13:17, 13:21, 16:14, 22:15, 58:1 officer [1] - 32:13 official [1] - 16:4 offsite [1] - 41:15 old [1] - 18:10	oriented [1] - 15:7 original [4] - 7:10, 18:9, 21:11, 58:18 Orlando [3] - 13:5, 13:17, 16:14 ourselves [1] - 61:9 outliers [1] - 54:2 outline [2] - 28:14, 51:16 output [4] - 24:10, 46:10, 49:9, 53:23 outstanding [2] - 46:15, 60:6 overview [1] - 38:2 own [2] - 20:19, 24:11 owner [2] - 10:15, 50:5 ownership [1] - 44:9 P p.m [2] - 1:10, 62:3 PA [1] - 2:9 package [1] - 36:9 page [14] - 9:5, 9:13, 9:14, 25:19, 35:7,	people [19] - 6:18, 26:25, 27:6, 27:7, 27:11, 34:7, 34:8, 38:15, 38:18, 39:1, 39:3, 49:1, 50:15, 56:7, 56:24, 57:2, 57:4, 57:19, 57:20 performance [2] - 6:8, 9:14 performances [1] - 55:6 period [2] - 10:17, 11:2 perjury [1] - 64:16 person [3] - 13:5, 50:17, 53:7 personnel [2] - 22:9, 28:23 Petway [7] - 9:7, 9:8, 9:11, 9:12, 9:17, 9:23 PF [1] - 61:1 PFM [26] - 2:8, 6:6, 6:15, 6:16, 7:2, 7:5, 7:18, 8:5, 8:12, 13:5, 13:10, 14:2, 15:19,	power [3] - 40:2, 40:9, 46:9 Power [2] - 39:20, 40:3 PowerPoint [1] - 43:13 preliminary [1] - 54:2 preparation [6] - 14:9, 45:8, 47:13, 48:22, 51:3, 60:11 prepare [1] - 50:16 prepared [4] - 37:3, 43:8, 47:12, 50:13 preparing [3] - 37:9, 50:23, 59:21 presence [1] - 30:20 present [8] - 29:18, 30:8, 30:13, 30:19, 39:6, 43:11, 46:8, 46:14 Presentation [1] - 35:22 presentation [6] - 26:16, 38:5, 41:21, 43:16, 51:14, 53:24 presented [1] - 43:13
$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{name} \ [8] - 5:17, \ 6:13, \\ 8:8, \ 13:3, \ 15:18, \\ 16:22, \ 31:13, \ 32:10 \\ \textbf{names} \ [3] - 20:15, \\ 26:22, \ 38:23 \\ \textbf{narrowed} \ [1] - 33:12 \\ \textbf{nature} \ [3] - 11:13, \\ 12:6, \ 27:23 \\ \textbf{NDA} \ [4] - 32:19, \\ 32:23, \ 32:25 \\ \textbf{NDAs} \ [3] - 33:3, \\ 33:25, \ 34:8 \\ \textbf{near} \ [6] - 28:23, \ 29:3, \\ 35:15, \ 39:14, \ 44:3, \\ 54:14 \\ \textbf{nearly} \ [1] - 44:5 \\ \textbf{neede} \ [4] - 20:7, \ 21:10, \\ 58:25, \ 59:3 \\ \textbf{needed} \ [1] - 21:24 \\ \textbf{net} \ [10] - 45:25, \ 46:3, \\ 46:7, \ 48:4, \ 48:7, \\ 48:14, \ 48:16, \ 48:23, \\ 48:24 \\ \textbf{never} \ [5] - 9:21, \ 9:22, \\ 14:6, \ 19:16, \ 61:3 \\ \end{array}$	Number [2] - 8:21, 12:22 numbered [1] - 42:1 numbers [3] - 54:4, 57:21, 60:9 O obstacles [1] - 38:12 obtaining [1] - 34:3 obvious [1] - 11:5 occur [1] - 57:10 occurred [3] - 19:4, 19:5, 38:2 occurring [1] - 57:9 October [3] - 19:4, 19:5, 38:2 occurring [1] - 18:1, 13:17, 13:21, 16:14, 22:15, 58:1 office [1] - 18:10 older [1] - 18:2	oriented [1] - 15:7 original [4] - 7:10, 18:9, 21:11, 58:18 Orlando [3] - 13:5, 13:17, 16:14 ourselves [1] - 61:9 outliers [1] - 54:2 outline [2] - 28:14, 51:16 output [4] - 24:10, 46:10, 49:9, 53:23 outstanding [2] - 46:15, 60:6 overview [1] - 38:2 own [2] - 20:19, 24:11 owner [2] - 10:15, 50:5 ownership [1] - 44:9 P p.m [2] - 1:10, 62:3 PA [1] - 2:9 package [1] - 36:9 page [14] - 9:5, 9:13, 9:14, 25:19, 35:7, 43:25, 44:4, 44:10,	people [19] - 6:18, 26:25, 27:6, 27:7, 27:11, 34:7, 34:8, 38:15, 38:18, 39:1, 39:3, 49:1, 50:15, 56:7, 56:24, 57:2, 57:4, 57:19, 57:20 performance [2] - 6:8, 9:14 performances [1] - 55:6 period [2] - 10:17, 11:2 perjury [1] - 64:16 person [3] - 13:5, 50:17, 53:7 personnel [2] - 22:9, 28:23 Petway [7] - 9:7, 9:8, 9:11, 9:12, 9:17, 9:23 PF [1] - 61:1 PFM [26] - 2:8, 6:6, 6:15, 6:16, 7:2, 7:5, 7:18, 8:5, 8:12, 13:5, 13:10, 14:2, 15:19, 15:20, 17:21, 21:1,	power [3] - 40:2, 40:9, 46:9 Power [2] - 39:20, 40:3 PowerPoint [1] - 43:13 preliminary [1] - 54:2 preparation [6] - 14:9, 45:8, 47:13, 48:22, 51:3, 60:11 prepare [1] - 50:16 prepared [4] - 37:3, 43:8, 47:12, 50:13 preparing [3] - 37:9, 50:23, 59:21 presence [1] - 30:20 present [8] - 29:18, 30:8, 30:13, 30:19, 39:6, 43:11, 46:8, 46:14 Presentation [1] - 35:22 presentation [6] - 26:16, 38:5, 41:21, 43:16, 51:14, 53:24 presented [1] - 43:13 pretty [9] - 8:20, 11:5,

	-	-	-	-
21:21, 26:7, 38:25,	20:25, 21:11	36:7	report [19] - 9:6,	RUSSELL [42] - 3:7,
56:5	public [10] - 6:9, 7:8,	recent [1] - 17:12	43:22, 45:8, 45:24,	5:10, 7:12, 8:8, 8:11,
previously [1] - 13:16	7:22, 8:10, 14:23,	recess [2] - 58:7,	47:12, 47:14, 48:22,	8:15, 8:16, 12:21,
price [3] - 40:20, 49:2,	15:12, 24:16, 37:16,	61:11	49:16, 50:13, 50:16,	15:17, 16:8, 16:20,
54:6	37:21	Recess [1] - 20:11	50:18, 50:23, 51:3,	20:7, 20:10, 20:12,
primarily [4] - 6:18,	purchase [6] - 39:20,	recognized [1] - 56:23	51:8, 51:12, 51:17,	28:11, 31:5, 31:10,
7:20, 8:1, 49:11	40:2, 40:5, 40:10,	recollect [1] - 27:3	51:22, 51:24, 63:7	34:1, 34:20, 36:4,
primary [1] - 44:21	46:9, 54:6	recollection [1] -	reporter [2] - 5:15,	36:15, 37:13, 39:17,
principally [1] - 7:1	purchasers [1] - 61:14	36:13	5:16	42:6, 43:1, 48:21,
prioritize [1] - 44:24	purpose [2] - 19:17,	Recommendation [1]	REPORTER'S [1] -	49:14, 52:12, 52:19,
private [4] - 9:19,	32:18	- 39:19	63:1	53:1, 54:11, 55:12,
37:23, 40:4, 40:8	pursuant [2] - 21:14,	record [4] - 7:1, 20:14,	represent [1] - 54:25	56:15, 58:4, 58:8,
privatization [12] -	31:17	61:10, 63:10	representatives [8] -	59:9, 59:11, 61:7,
11:17, 12:17, 15:1,	pursue [2] - 33:25,	recorded [2] - 5:17,	26:10, 28:4, 29:7,	61:12, 61:17, 61:21,
19:14, 19:20, 52:6,	34:3	9:16	30:12, 32:24, 38:22,	61:25
52:10, 54:24, 55:1,	put [5] - 5:15, 7:14,	records [2] - 23:4,	41:9, 57:6	Russell [1] - 2:3
59:24, 60:16, 60:18	7:18, 11:24, 12:1	37:21	representing [2] -	Ryan [5] - 17:4, 18:22,
privatize [1] - 10:9		reductions [1] - 49:23	16:11, 33:23	22:18, 26:24, 37:11
privatizing [1] - 14:20	Q	refer [2] - 25:1, 35:24	request [7] - 13:12,	<u> </u>
proceeds [5] - 39:22,	questioned [1] - 15:5	reference [1] - 60:22	13:23, 14:9, 15:21,	S
39:25, 45:25, 46:2,	questionnaire [1] -	referenced [1] - 60:15	15:25, 58:21	Sachs [2] - 33:5, 36:8
46:3	37:4	references [3] - 25:19,	requested [1] - 63:9	sale [20] - 12:15,
process [15] - 11:7,	questions [7] - 6:2,	34:15, 35:9	requests [1] - 23:14	12:18, 26:19, 30:5,
11:14, 11:20, 14:5,	14:13, 34:24, 36:17,	referred [2] - 29:13,	respect [1] - 37:15	36:18, 36:20, 36:24,
14:17, 20:2, 22:4,	56:19, 58:9, 58:15	35:25	respective [1] - 5:3	37:1, 37:20, 39:22,
30:6, 32:1, 33:12, 37:16, 37:21, 55:14	Questions [1] - 36:1	referring [1] - 42:19	response [3] - 10:20, 14:9, 15:20	39:25, 40:20, 44:5,
37:16, 37:21, 55:14, 57:24, 60:4	quick [2] - 16:9, 52:2	regardless [1] - 9:5	responsible [1] -	44:6, 45:24, 47:23,
processes [1] - 11:23	quickly [5] - 7:9, 8:20,	registered [2] - 6:22, 6:23	33:18	49:2, 54:7, 60:15,
produce [2] - 15:21,	11:2, 12:5, 56:21		responsive [2] -	60:17
45:24	quite [3] - 11:20,	regulated [1] - 7:21 regulations [1] - 7:14	23:15, 38:7	sales [5] - 11:23,
profit [2] - 40:5, 40:8	17:24, 34:23	regulators [1] - 7.14 regulators [2] - 44:6,	responsiveness [1] -	39:24, 44:20, 49:1,
project [7] - 22:25,	quote [2] - 9:18, 39:21	44:15	56:19	49:8
24:24, 25:1, 25:2,		regulatory [2] - 44:24,	restrictions [1] - 45:2	Sam [5] - 25:15, 29:21, 39:5, 39:8,
25:3, 28:13, 40:13	R	45:2	result [4] - 11:12,	39:11
Project [1] - 39:21	raised [2] - 15:4,	relate [3] - 16:3,	17:12, 24:15, 49:9	saw [4] - 13:25, 14:15,
proposal [5] - 13:12,	24:16	17:16, 54:5	results [1] - 57:5	14:21, 18:18
13:24, 14:10, 15:22,	range [2] - 46:4, 47:8	related [13] - 9:15,	retained [1] - 50:5	scale [1] - 11:17
15:25	rate [1] - 46:14	17:24, 19:8, 19:11,	revenues [1] - 47:9	schedule [1] - 23:4
proposed [4] - 14:3,	ratepayers [2] - 44:7,	19:14, 21:22, 24:7,	review [5] - 22:25,	scope [1] - 61:2
52:5, 52:9, 54:3	44:22	26:18, 32:3, 34:18,	23:24, 24:25, 28:14,	score [1] - 49:8
prospective [2] -	rates [1] - 45:11	36:23, 40:15	63:8	scoring [1] - 57:15
27:23	rather [2] - 6:15, 14:22	relates [1] - 20:14	revision [1] - 19:12	screen [1] - 59:3
prospectively [1] -	Rating [1] - 35:22	relating [1] - 61:4	RFP [29] - 14:2, 14:4,	SEC [3] - 6:22, 6:23,
30:21	Re [1] - 64:2	relation [2] - 10:12,	14:13, 14:18, 14:22,	21:14
protect [1] - 44:7 provide [7] - 6:18, 8:3,	reach [1] - 34:6	37:20	15:1, 15:9, 16:12, 18:12, 18:13, 18:10	second [8] - 20:22,
12:5, 24:18, 36:5,	read [3] - 14:16,	relative [3] - 49:2,	18:12, 18:13, 18:19, 18:21, 19:7, 19:12,	36:4, 44:4, 44:10,
50:22, 54:25	20:19, 64:16	63:13, 63:15	19:14, 19:19, 19:22,	49:18, 54:16, 58:24,
provided [8] - 12:6,	reading [1] - 5:4	relevant [1] - 24:19 reliable [2] - 49:4,	22:7, 31:1, 31:3,	61:8
24:1, 35:13, 37:5,	reads [1] - 36:17	49:6	31:15, 31:18, 31:20,	section [1] - 36:16
38:8, 53:24, 60:8,	ready [3] - 52:1, 56:20, 58:5	remediate [1] - 46:2	31:24, 32:2, 33:7,	security [2] - 29:5
61:4	58:5 really [4] - 7:9, 33:9,	remediated [1] - 40:2	33:11, 33:13	see [8] - 6:3, 10:20,
provides [2] - 6:21,	• • • •	remember [16] - 16:1,	rights [1] - 21:14	22:20, 23:4, 24:22, 31:12, 35:1, 43:2
	44.23 49.10			
8:6	44:23, 49:10		role [1] - 27:22	
	reason [1] - 46:25	17:4, 25:8, 25:17, 27:5, 27:8, 29:9,	role [1] - 27:22 roughly [1] - 45:25	segregate [1] - 7:20
8:6	reason [1] - 46:25 reasonable [1] - 10:16	17:4, 25:8, 25:17,		segregate [1] - 7:20 select [1] - 56:16
8:6 providing [1] - 24:7 Public [14] - 1:14, 6:10, 6:11, 7:9, 7:17,	reason [1] - 46:25	17:4, 25:8, 25:17, 27:5, 27:8, 29:9,	roughly [1] - 45:25 RPR [3] - 1:14, 63:6, 63:23	segregate [1] - 7:20 select [1] - 56:16 selected [6] - 20:1,
8:6 providing [1] - 24:7 Public [14] - 1:14, 6:10, 6:11, 7:9, 7:17, 7:24, 7:25, 8:4,	reason [1] - 46:25 reasonable [1] - 10:16 rebids [1] - 18:8	17:4, 25:8, 25:17, 27:5, 27:8, 29:9, 29:24, 30:11, 33:9,	roughly [1] - 45:25 RPR [3] - 1:14, 63:6, 63:23 rules [1] - 40:15	segregate [1] - 7:20 select [1] - 56:16
8:6 providing [1] - 24:7 Public [14] - 1:14, 6:10, 6:11, 7:9, 7:17,	reason [1] - 46:25 reasonable [1] - 10:16 rebids [1] - 18:8 receive [1] - 33:15	17:4, 25:8, 25:17, 27:5, 27:8, 29:9, 29:24, 30:11, 33:9, 36:22, 38:22, 56:8,	roughly [1] - 45:25 RPR [3] - 1:14, 63:6, 63:23	segregate [1] - 7:20 select [1] - 56:16 selected [6] - 20:1, 31:19, 54:23, 55:3,

selection [10] - 19:22,	specialized [1] - 26:11	54:23, 55:5, 57:9	toward [1] - 15:7	58:23
31:23, 32:1, 32:3,	specific [9] - 17:23,	summary [3] - 43:13,	track [1] - 20:5	update [2] - 28:14,
33:15, 33:18, 33:22,	18:24, 20:14, 36:23,	46:20, 53:23	transaction [8] -	60:5
33:23, 55:14, 57:1	46:23, 47:6, 47:10,	synergies [4] - 49:22,	10:24, 15:11, 30:19,	utilities [1] - 23:25
sell [1] - 10:24	50:6, 57:20	50:3, 50:4, 50:8	34:15, 40:16, 44:17,	utility [6] - 9:20,
seller [1] - 44:5	specifically [2] -	system [1] - 44:5	45:18	11:23, 40:8, 49:20,
selling [1] - 38:10	19:11, 45:18	systems [1] - 36:18	transactions [4] -	50:20
send [1] - 32:23	specifics [2] - 37:15,	-	10:13, 11:13, 38:6,	
sending [2] - 32:2,	40:22	Т	51:1	V
32:19	speculated [1] - 16:3		transcript [3] - 5:18,	
senior [5] - 13:21,	spoken [1] - 39:11	TAKEN [2] - 1:9, 64:5	63:9, 63:10	valuation [4] - 46:19,
18:21, 47:23, 48:3,	Spring [1] - 54:16	talks [1] - 9:14	TRANSCRIPT [1] -	46:21, 49:25, 59:21
48:5	SpringHill [7] - 35:17,	task [1] - 44:7	64:2	valuations [1] - 54:2
sense [1] - 6:7	39:14, 54:13, 54:17,	tax [5] - 10:14, 10:17,	transferred [1] - 21:3	value [21] - 9:15, 46:8,
sensitivities [1] - 50:1	54:22, 55:5, 57:9	40:10, 40:15, 41:3	transferring [2] -	46:14, 47:17, 47:18,
sensitivity [1] - 47:8	SpringHill's [1] -	team [4] - 16:10,	20:23, 20:24	48:4, 48:7, 48:8,
sent [9] - 8:17, 10:22,	55:16	18:22, 47:24, 48:3	transitioned [1] -	48:14, 48:15, 48:16,
31:13, 32:13, 34:14,	staff [1] - 26:24	technology [4] -	21:17	48:18, 48:23, 48:24,
36:9, 58:14, 58:23	stage [1] - 41:1	46:21, 46:24, 47:5,	tried [1] - 54:3	49:3, 49:11, 49:13, 50:8, 54:6, 54:8
sentence [1] - 44:4	standpoint [1] - 41:3	47:11	true [2] - 63:10, 64:17	
separate [2] - 6:20,	Stanley [19] - 30:12,	template [1] - 34:13	truly [1] - 5:25	variability [1] - 49:7 various [7] - 23:25,
57:13	30:15, 30:16, 33:4,	term [1] - 48:3	try [4] - 17:15, 23:14,	,
separately [2] - 16:18,	36:8, 42:20, 50:22,	Terrie [4] - 5:17, 31:6,	34:6, 53:25	32:21, 32:25, 47:3, 47:8, 50:3, 56:19
38:15	53:3, 53:8, 53:13,	63:6, 63:23	trying [3] - 26:1,	verses [1] - 36:18
services [7] - 8:2, 8:3,	53:17, 54:23, 55:3,	terrie [1] - 1:14	32:23, 51:22	verses [1] - 30.18 via [1] - 2:7
8:6, 13:13, 54:25,	55:10, 55:15, 56:3,	testified [1] - 5:8	turn [6] - 5:24, 16:21,	
55:3, 61:4	56:4, 57:1, 59:25	THE [47] - 12:19,	43:25, 44:10, 45:21,	viewed [1] - 40:25
seven [1] - 58:2	start [1] - 6:5	15:10, 15:16, 16:13,	49:15	views [2] - 12:4, 30:5
several [1] - 55:5	STATE [1] - 63:3	16:17, 18:16, 18:24,	turns [1] - 9:7	violate [1] - 40:15
share [1] - 59:2	state [2] - 6:19, 44:6	19:5, 19:16, 19:21,	two [12] - 6:14, 6:15,	Vogtle [3] - 39:20,
shared [2] - 8:2, 58:19	statement [4] - 37:18,	20:9, 28:10, 33:9,	10:8, 10:23, 11:12,	46:2, 46:5
sheet [7] - 21:22, 22:3,	44:3, 44:13, 54:22	33:17, 34:17, 36:3, 36:14, 37:10, 39:4,	20:15, 23:6, 26:5,	volume [1] - 34:23
22:19, 23:18, 48:17,	statements [1] - 48:18	39:7, 39:9, 39:16,	45:23, 48:20, 51:15	W
57:18, 58:6	states [1] - 37:14	41:12, 41:16, 41:23,	Tyler [5] - 13:2, 13:18,	VV
side [2] - 21:22, 29:17	stenographic [1] -	42:23, 48:16, 49:7,	13:19, 13:22, 16:13	waived [1] - 5:5
sign [1] - 33:3	63:11	51:13, 51:20, 52:7,	type [1] - 26:19	Wannemacher [3] -
signatures [1] - 34:3	stenographically [1] -	52:11, 52:24, 54:8,	types [2] - 38:12, 47:9	17:4, 18:23, 22:18
signed [2] - 36:7,	63:7	55:4, 56:13, 57:11,	typical [1] - 38:5	ways [2] - 40:17, 49:1
36:11	step [1] - 11:19	57:18, 57:25, 58:11,	typically [3] - 24:18,	week [1] - 58:14
significant [2] - 11:6,	still [5] - 7:24, 22:22,	58:17, 58:24, 59:4,	37:23, 41:24	weeks [1] - 19:6
55:23	23:5, 25:23, 57:23	61:1, 61:6, 61:20,		weighed [1] - 57:21
signing [1] - 5:4	stipulated [1] - 5:2	61:23	U	Weinstein [7] - 25:15,
similar [2] - 27:15,	stopped [1] - 27:7	themselves [1] - 11:8	unattended [1] - 44:8	29:22, 31:14, 51:5,
30:18	strategic [1] - 13:12	Thoughts [1] - 36:17	under [3] - 18:2,	51:7, 51:11, 51:18
single [1] - 25:6	Street [2] - 1:22, 2:8	three [8] - 16:21,	49:18, 64:16	welcome [1] - 62:1
size [1] - 6:21	structure [1] - 44:9	35:21, 45:23, 55:7,	underlying [1] - 53:25	whereas [1] - 48:18
skip [2] - 31:5, 34:22	structures [1] - 60:22	56:22, 57:2, 57:6,	understandable [1] -	widely [1] - 56:23
Smith [2] - 1:11, 2:4	subject [1] - 19:3	57:13	51:22	William [2] - 53:3,
solely [1] - 33:10	submits [1] - 13:12	throughout [1] - 60:3	understood [1] - 58:3	53:15
someone [2] - 48:19,	submitted [1] - 27:16	TIME [1] - 1:10	unique [1] - 44:19	willing [1] - 48:19
49:10	subsequent [1] -	timeline [1] - 37:19	Units [1] - 39:21	wind [1] - 58:5
somewhere [1] -	17:13	today [3] - 5:19, 10:24,	unsolicited [2] -	winners [3] - 31:14,
57:10	subset [1] - 20:1	60:15	24:18, 27:18	31:19, 31:24
sorry [2] - 6:10, 13:18	substance [2] - 17:9,	together [1] - 11:25	up [18] - 8:1, 8:24,	winning [1] - 56:17
sounds [1] - 45:17	26:13	Tom [2] - 9:7, 9:23	10:2, 11:1, 17:14,	witness [3] - 1:13, 5:3,
source [1] - 40:9	substantial [1] - 10:24	topic [3] - 9:23, 12:5,	20:13, 21:9, 32:7,	5:5
speaking [1] - 61:13	suggested [1] - 9:17	24:15	35:8, 36:3, 41:7,	Witness [2] - 2:6, 62:2
SPECIAL [2] - 1:2,	Suite [2] - 1:12, 2:5	topics [2] - 20:6, 38:8	43:5, 45:23, 47:4,	WITNESS [48] - 3:5,
64:3	Suites [7] - 35:17,	total [1] - 60:5	47:25, 58:5, 58:12,	12:19, 15:10, 15:16,
Special [1] - 2:2	39:14, 54:14, 54:17,			

	-
16:13, 16:17, 18:16,	
18:24, 19:5, 19:16,	
19:21, 20:9, 28:10,	
33:9, 33:17, 34:17,	
36:3, 36:14, 37:10,	
39:4, 39:7, 39:9,	
39:16, 41:12, 41:16,	
41:23, 42:23, 48:16,	
49:7, 51:13, 51:20,	
52:7, 52:11, 52:24,	
54:8, 55:4, 56:13,	
57:11, 57:18, 57:25,	
58:11, 58:17, 58:24,	
59:4, 61:1, 61:6,	
61:20, 61:23	
won [1] - 33:7	
works [4] - 7:1, 7:6,	
13:5, 50:20	
WRITE [1] - 64:2	
writing [1] - 20:19	
wrote [1] - 49:19	
Y	
-	
yardstick [1] - 49:9	
year [1] - 60:2	
years [11] - 10:8, 10:9,	
10:23, 11:12, 13:25,	
18:6, 18:10, 46:10,	
46:13, 47:16	
40.10, 47.10	
7	
Z	
Z ZIP [1] - 59:7	
ZIP [1] - 59:7	
ZIP [1] - 59:7 ZOOM [1] - 1:5	
ZIP [1] - 59:7	
ZIP [1] - 59:7 ZOOM [1] - 1:5	
ZIP [1] - 59:7 ZOOM [1] - 1:5	
ZIP [1] - 59:7 ZOOM [1] - 1:5	
ZIP [1] - 59:7 ZOOM [1] - 1:5	
ZIP [1] - 59:7 ZOOM [1] - 1:5	
ZIP [1] - 59:7 ZOOM [1] - 1:5	
ZIP [1] - 59:7 ZOOM [1] - 1:5	
ZIP [1] - 59:7 ZOOM [1] - 1:5	
ZIP [1] - 59:7 ZOOM [1] - 1:5	
ZIP [1] - 59:7 ZOOM [1] - 1:5	
ZIP [1] - 59:7 ZOOM [1] - 1:5	
ZIP [1] - 59:7 ZOOM [1] - 1:5	
ZIP [1] - 59:7 ZOOM [1] - 1:5	
ZIP [1] - 59:7 ZOOM [1] - 1:5	
ZIP [1] - 59:7 ZOOM [1] - 1:5	
ZIP [1] - 59:7 ZOOM [1] - 1:5	
ZIP [1] - 59:7 ZOOM [1] - 1:5	
ZIP [1] - 59:7 ZOOM [1] - 1:5	
ZIP [1] - 59:7 ZOOM [1] - 1:5	
ZIP [1] - 59:7 ZOOM [1] - 1:5	
ZIP [1] - 59:7 ZOOM [1] - 1:5	
ZIP [1] - 59:7 ZOOM [1] - 1:5	
ZIP [1] - 59:7 ZOOM [1] - 1:5	
ZIP [1] - 59:7 ZOOM [1] - 1:5	
ZIP [1] - 59:7 ZOOM [1] - 1:5	
ZIP [1] - 59:7 ZOOM [1] - 1:5	
ZIP [1] - 59:7 ZOOM [1] - 1:5	
ZIP [1] - 59:7 ZOOM [1] - 1:5	
ZIP [1] - 59:7 ZOOM [1] - 1:5	